Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Central Intelligence Agency
Inspector General

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

ALLEGATIONS OF CONNECTIONS BETWEEN CIA AND THE CONTRAS IN COCAINE TRAFFICKING TO THE UNITED STATES
(96-0143-IG)

VOLUME II: THE CONTRA STORY

October 8, 1998

L. Britt Snider
Inspector General

Richard B. Still
Acting Assistant Inspector General for Investigations

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

GLOSSARY OF TERMS viii

INTRODUCTION 1

QUESTIONS PRESENTED 16

FINDINGS 18

WHAT WERE CIA'S LEGAL AND REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES DURING THE CONTRA PROGRAM REGARDING THE REPORTING OF POTENTIAL DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIMES? *18*

WHAT POLICIES AND GUIDELINES GOVERNED CIA'S CONTACTS DURING THE CONTRA PROGRAM WITH PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS ALLEGED TO BE INVOLVED IN DRUG TRAFFICKING? *42*

WHAT DO CIA HEADQUARTERS AND FIELD PERSONNEL RECALL REGARDING ALLEGED DRUG TRAFFICKING BY THE CONTRAS? *45*

CONTRA ORGANIZATIONS 62

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING CONTRA ORGANIZATIONS? HOW DID

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES? 62

15th of September Legion--Justiniano Perez/Manuel Porro/Juan Francisco Rivera/Hugo Villagra/Fernando Brautigan/Felix Alcides Espinoza/Edwin Hoocker 62

SOUTHERN FRONT CONTRAS 68

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING SOUTHERN FRONT CONTRAS? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES? 68

The Southern Front Trafficking Reports 68

Adolfo Jose Chamorro 81

Roberto Jose Chamorro 87

Marcos Antonio Aguado 90

Gerardo Duran 94

Alfonso Robelo 101

Octaviano Cesar 105

Edmundo Jose Chamorro 109

Fernando Jose Chamorro 111

Sebastian Gonzalez 115

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Carol Prado 122

Jenelee Hodgson 125

Alfredo Cesar 127

Jose Davila 129

Harold Martinez 133

Rene Corvo 135

Carlos Alberto Amador 139

Jose Orlando Bolanos 147

Moises Nunez 152

Gustavo Quezada 157

Felipe Vidal 160

NORTHERN FRONT CONTRAS 166

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING NORTHERN FRONT CONTRAS? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES? 166

Adolfo Calero 166

Enrique Bermudez 168

Mario Jose Calero 172

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Juan Ramon Rivas 175

Stedman Fagoth 182

Roger Herman 185

Sebastian Pinel 187

Arnoldo Jose Arana 189

Jose Francisco Cardenal/Bergman Arguello/Eduard Jose Sacasa-Urouyo/Rolando Murillo/Juan Savala (or Zavala)/Renato Pena/Roger J. Ramiro 193

OTHER INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN THE CONTRA PROGRAM 207

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING OTHER INDIVIDUALS SUPPORTING THE CONTRA PROGRAM? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES? 207

Ivan Gomez 207

A CIA Independent Contractor 230

A Second CIA Independent Contractor 233

A Third CIA Independent Contractor 236

John Floyd Hull 238

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

PILOTS, COMPANIES, AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS WORKING FOR COMPANIES USED TO SUPPORT THE CONTRA PROGRAM 252

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING PILOTS AND COMPANIES SUPPORTING THE CONTRA PROGRAM? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES? 252

Frigorificos De Puntarenas/Ocean Hunter 255

SETCO 258

DIACSA 261

Vortex/Universal 263

Hondu Carib 282

Allegations Involving Other Companies Associated With the Contras 286

Southern Air Transport 286

Markair 288

Alan Hyde 290

Allegations Involving Air Crew Members of Companies that Provided Services to the Contras Under Contract or Subcontract with CIA 305

WHAT WAS THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF CIA'S KNOWLEDGE OF ALLEGATIONS OF CONTRA DRUG TRAFFICKING AT THE ILOPANGO AIR BASE? 318

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

TO WHAT EXTENT DID CIA DISSEMINATE "FINISHED INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTS" THAT INCLUDED INFORMATION ABOUT DRUG TRAFFICKING ON THE PART OF INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONTRAS? *333*

TO WHAT EXTENT DID CIA SHARE INFORMATION WITH CONGRESS REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF DRUG TRAFFICKING ON THE PART OF INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONTRAS? *342*

APPENDICES

A. Jack Terrell

B. Frank Castro

C. To what extent did CIA have information that the Government of Nicaragua, the Government of Cuba, or Nicaraguan- or Cuban-sponsored individuals were involved in alleged drug trafficking activities of individuals associated with the Contras?

D. Potential Disinformation and CIA-Contra Drug Allegations

E. Allegations by Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey of CIA and Contra Involvement in Drug Smuggling

EXHIBITS

1. March 2, 1982 DoJ-CIA Memorandum of Understanding regarding "Reporting and Use of Information Concerning Federal Crimes"

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

2. February 11, 1982 Letter to DCI William Casey from Attorney General William French Smith regarding DoJ-CIA Memorandum of Understanding

3. February 8, 1985 DoJ Memorandum to Mark Richard from A. R. Cinquegrana, "CIA Reporting of Drug Offenses"

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ADCI	Acting Director of Central Intelligence
ADDO	Associate Deputy Director for Operations
ADREN	Nicaraguan Democratic Revolutionary Alliance, an anti-Sandinista group formed in 1980 and disbanded in 1982
A.K.A.	Also Known As
ALA	Directorate of Intelligence/Office of African and Latin American Analysis
ARDE	Democratic Revolutionary Alliance, an anti-Sandinista, political-military organization founded in Costa Rica in 1982
ATF	Department of Justice, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms
AUSA	Assistant United States Attorney
BOS	Southern Opposition Bloc, an anti-Sandinista group founded in Costa Rica in 1985
CATF	Directorate of Operations/Latin America Division/Central America Task Force
CGT	French General Confederation of Labor

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
CMA	Civilian Military Assistance
CMS	Directorate of Operations/Career Management Staff
COPS	Chief of Operations
CONDOR	Nicaraguan Coalition of Opposition to the Regime, an anti-Sandinista group based in Miami, Florida, and formed in 1985
COS	Chief of Station
DCI	Director of Central Intelligence
DCOS	Deputy Chief of Station
DDCI	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
DEA	Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration
DGSE	General Directorate of State Security, the Sandinista secret police and Ministry of Interior special forces
DI	Directorate of Intelligence
DIA	Defense Intelligence Agency
DO	Directorate of Operations
DDO	Deputy Director for Operations
DoD	Department of Defense

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

DoJ	Department of Justice
DoS	Department of State
E.O.	Executive Order
EPS	DO/Special Activities Staff/Special Operations Group/Evaluation and Plans Staff
ERN	Army of the Nicaraguan Resistance, a Contra organization formed in 1987 consisting of the ERN/North front and the ERN/South front
ERP	Employee Review Panel
FARN	Nicaraguan Revolutionary Armed Force, the military arm of the anti-Sandinista Nicaraguan Democratic Union founded in 1980
FBI	DoJ, Federal Bureau of Investigation
FDN	Nicaraguan Democratic Force, an armed anti-Sandinista organization founded in 1982
FRS	Sandino Revolutionary Front, an anti-Sandinista, political-military organization founded in 1982
FSLN	Sandinista National Liberation Front, Marxist organization founded with Cuban help in 1961
FY	Fiscal Year

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

GOC	Government of Cuba
GRN	Government of National Reconstruction, official designation of the government of Nicaragua from July 1979 until January 1985
HAC	House Appropriations Committee
HN	Headquarters Notice
HR	Headquarters Regulation
HPSCI	House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence
IG	Inspector General
IMS	DO/Information Management Staff
INS	DoJ, Immigration and Naturalization Service
KISAN	United Indigenous Peoples of Nicaragua, Atlantic Coast Indian organization established in 1985
MDN	Nicaraguan Democratic Movement, a social democratic party
MFR	Memorandum for the Record
MINT	GRN, Ministry of the Interior
MISURASATA	Miskito, Sumo, Rama, Sandinista All Together, an Atlantic Coast Indian organization formed in 1979

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NHAO	DoS, Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office
NIE	National Intelligence Estimate
NIO	National Intelligence Officer
NOG	DO/LA Division/CATF/Nicaraguan Operations Group
NSC	National Security Council
OCA	DCI/Office of Congressional Affairs
OES	Special Secret Operations Command of the 15th of September Legion
OGC	DCI/Office of General Counsel
OGI	DI/Office of Global Issues
OIC	Office of Independent Counsel
OIG	DCI/Office of Inspector General
OIJ	Costa Rican Office of Judicial Investigation
OIPR	DoJ, Office of Intelligence Policy and Review
OMS	DA/Office of Medical Services
OS	DA/Office of Security

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

PAR	Performance Appraisal Report
PDF	Panamanian Defense Forces
PRA	Permanent Resident Alien
RN	Nicaraguan Resistance, formed in 1987 by unification of BOS and the Unified Nicaraguan Opposition
SAS	DO/Special Activities Staff
SAT	Southern Air Transport
SFRC	Senate Foreign Relations Committee
SICC	Southern Indigenous Creole Community
SOG	DO/LA Division/CATF/Special Operations Group
SOG	DO/SAS/Special Operations Group
SSCI	Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
UDN	Nicaraguan Democratic Union, Southern Front Contra organization founded in 1980
UNO	Unified Nicaraguan Opposition in 1985-1987, joined with BOS in 1987 to form the RN
USDAO	U.S. Defense Attaché Office

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

YATAMA	The United Nations of Yapti Tasba--the Sacred Motherland, a Miskito Indian group formed by the 1987 merger of the anti-Sandinista forces of KISAN, MISURA, and MISURASATA

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
INVESTIGATIONS STAFF

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

ALLEGATIONS OF CONNECTIONS BETWEEN CIA AND THE CONTRAS IN COCAINE TRAFFICKING TO THE UNITED STATES[1]
(96-0143-IG)

VOLUME II: THE CONTRA STORY

OCTOBER 8, 1998

INTRODUCTION

1. **Scope of IG Investigation.** In August 1996, the *San Jose Mercury News* published a three-part series of articles entitled "Dark Alliance." The series discussed drug rings in California and their alleged connections to the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)-backed Nicaraguan Contra resistance in the 1980s. On September 3, 1996, Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) John Deutch asked the CIA Inspector General to investigate these allegations of connections

[1] This report is an unclassified version of a classified Report of Investigation of the same title, issued by the Inspector General on April 27, 1998. Classified information that discloses CIA employees undercover and persons who had an intelligence relationship with CIA has been deleted, as has law enforcement information that discloses specific informant relationships. In addition, the names of certain other persons mentioned or discussed in the classified report have been deleted from this version because public disclosure is not clearly authorized under the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. §552a, and the Office of Inspector General (OIG) statement of routine uses for information in OIG's system of records governed by the Privacy Act. In such cases, the deleted name has been replaced with a generic descriptor, such as "a U.S. citizen." Where deletions have been made, the unclassified text is as close as possible to the original classified text.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

between CIA, the Contras and drug trafficking. A 17-person team was formed to conduct the investigation.

2. The CIA Inspector General (IG) investigation included an examination of all information in CIA's possession concerning the individuals specifically cited in the *San Jose Mercury News* articles, and CIA knowledge of any drug trafficking allegations in regard to persons directly or indirectly involved in Contra activities, CIA assets, other individuals associated with CIA who dealt with the Contras, and companies and individuals involved in supporting Contra activities in Central America in the 1980s on behalf of CIA. The Report of Investigation consists of two volumes.

3. **Volume I.** Volume I, The "California Story," addresses findings regarding whether CIA knew of narcotics trafficking by Ricky Ross, Norwin Meneses and Danilo Blandon in Southern California. It also includes findings related to whether CIA knew of the narcotics trafficking activities of Julio Zavala and Carlos Cabezas in Northern California, their possible ties to the Contras and CIA's contacts with the San Francisco U.S. Attorney's Office in connection with their prosecution. Volume I was issued as a classified report on December 17, 1997. An unclassified version and an unclassified overview were made public on January 29, 1998.[2]

4. Volume I of the Report describes in detail the *San Jose Mercury News* allegations; the Scope of the IG Investigation; the Procedures and Resources used in the investigation; the Origin and Development of the Contra Conflict; CIA's Involvement with the Contras; Cocaine Flows through Central America in the 1980s; and Results of Previous Investigations into Alleged Contra Drug Trafficking.

5. **Volume II.** Volume II, The "Contra Story," addresses CIA's knowledge of any alleged drug trafficking by the Contras and persons or organizations who supported the Contra program in the 1980s. Volume II was issued as a classified report on April 27, 1998.

---

[2] These documents are available on the Internet at www.odci-gov/cia.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

The investigation included a review of any information in CIA's possession relating to:

- CIA's knowledge of drug trafficking allegations regarding Contra-related individuals, organizations, independent contractors, and other individuals supporting the Contra program.

- CIA's handling of, and response to, such drug trafficking allegations; and

- CIA's sharing with other U.S. Government entities, including law enforcement agencies and the Congress, of such allegations.

6. The investigation on which Volume II is based was not intended to prove or disprove the allegations or information received by the Agency concerning possible drug trafficking by specific individuals or organizations. Further, the description of such allegations or information in Volume II is not intended as representing the judgment of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) regarding the veracity of the allegations or information. The investigation also was not intended to review or evaluate the effectiveness of any CIA programs in Central America in the 1980s. Finally, factual information in Volume II regarding whether particular allegations or information were or were not shared with other U.S. Government agencies or the Congress does not represent a judgment as to whether or not such information was required to be so shared.

7. **Organization of Volume II.** In the course of the investigation, OIG reviewed CIA records regarding hundreds of Contra organizations, Contra leaders, Contra supporters, and individuals and companies that were involved in the Contra program. Based on this review, several dozen Contra-related individuals and one Contra organization were found to have been the subject of allegations or information concerning involvement in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

drug trafficking. All information that was made available to the OIG from CIA records regarding these Contra-related organizations and individuals was examined.

8. Volume II is divided into five separate sections pertaining to Contra-related groups of organizations and individuals that were found to have been the subject of drug trafficking allegations or information.

- **Contra Organizations**--Any Contra group that was known to CIA to have had an organizational policy of trafficking in drugs to raise money for the organization. The OIG investigation found information about only one such group.

- **Southern Front Contras**--Contra leaders, members and supporters--including those associated with the FRS, BOS, UNO/South, and ARDE--who were based primarily in Costa Rica.

- **Northern Front Contras**--Contra leaders, members and supporters--including those associated with the FDN, UNO, 15th of September Legion, ERN, MISURA, MISURASATA, and YATAMA--who were based primarily in Honduras.

- **Other Individuals Involved in the Contra Program**--Individuals operating on behalf of CIA in support of the Contras, including foreign nationals used by CIA as intermediaries with various Contra organizations.

- **Pilots and Companies**--Pilots and companies assisting in the Contra supply effort.

9. Within each of these five categories, Volume II examines the organization or individual's background; the Agency's knowledge of drug trafficking allegations regarding the organization or individual; CIA's response to the allegations; and CIA's sharing of such

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

allegations with other U.S. Government entities, including law enforcement agencies and Congress.

10. Volume II also discusses the guidance that was available by statute, regulation, or CIA policy for dealing with known or suspected drug traffickers and how CIA personnel understood this guidance. The extent to which CIA disseminated intelligence relating to drug trafficking on the part of organizations and individuals associated with the Contras is also explained.

11. This Volume also includes three exhibits and five appendices. The appendices discuss information and issues related to Contra-drug trafficking allegations and other matters that were deemed to be relevant to this investigation.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

KEY FINDINGS

Did CIA conspire with or assist Contra organizations or Contra-related individuals in narcotics trafficking to raise funds for the Contras or for any other purpose?

12. **CIA and CIA Employees.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA as an organization or its employees conspired with, or assisted, Contra-related organizations or individuals in drug trafficking to raise funds for the Contras or for any other purpose.

To what extent was CIA aware of allegations or information indicating involvement by Contra organizations or Contra-related individuals in drug trafficking? What did CIA do after becoming aware of such allegations or information?

13. **Contra-Related Organizations.** CIA received information that one Contra-related organization--the ADREN "15th of September" group--engaged in drug trafficking for fund raising purposes. This anti-Sandinista group formed in 1980 and disbanded in January 1982. No information has been found to indicate that other Contra organizations engaged in drug trafficking for fundraising or any other purpose, although individual members were alleged from time to time to be involved in drug trafficking.

14. **Contra-Related Individuals--Southern Front.** CIA received allegations or information regarding drug trafficking by Contra-related individuals in the Southern Front that operated from Costa Rica. In 1984, CIA received allegations that five individuals associated with the Democratic Revolutionary Alliance (ARDE)/Sandino Revolutionary Front (FRS) were engaged in a drug trafficking conspiracy with a known narcotics trafficker, Jorge Morales. CIA broke off contact with ARDE in October 1984, but

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

continued to have contact through 1986-87 with four of the individuals involved with Morales.

15. *The Morales Connection.* In December 1988, the Senate Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations published a report entitled "Drugs, Law Enforcement and Foreign Policy." One section of that report summarized the involvement of ARDE/FRS members with drug trafficker Jorge Morales based upon Department of State information:

> Information developed by the intelligence community indicates that a senior member of Eden Pastora's Sandino Revolutionary Front (FRS) agreed in late 1984 with (Morales) that FRS pilots would aid in transporting narcotics in exchange for financial assistance . . . the FRS officials agreed to use FRS operational facilities in Costa Rica and Nicaragua to facilitate transportation of narcotics. (Morales) agreed to provide financial support to the FRS, in addition to aircraft and training for FRS pilots. After undergoing flight training, the FRS pilots were to continue to work for the FRS, but would also fly narcotics shipments from South America to sites in Costa Rica and Nicaragua for later transport to the United States. Shortly thereafter (Morales) reportedly provided the FRS one C-47 aircraft and two crated helicopters. He is reported to have paid the sum of $100,000 to the FRS, but there was no information available on who actually received the money.

(Ellipses and parentheses are as they appear in the Subcommittee report.)

16. In addition to the five individuals associated with ARDE, CIA received drug trafficking allegations or information concerning 16 other individuals who supported Southern Front Contra operations based in Costa Rica.

17. **Contra-Related Individuals--Northern Front.** CIA also received allegations or information concerning drug trafficking by nine Contra-related individuals in the Northern Front, based in Honduras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

18. **Other Individuals Involved in the Contra Program.** CIA received drug trafficking allegations or information concerning five individuals who were used to support the Contra program.

19. **Companies, Pilots and Other Individuals Working for Companies Used in Support of the Contra Program.** CIA received drug trafficking allegations or information concerning 14 pilots and two other individuals who were associated with companies that provided support for the Contra program. CIA also learned of drug trafficking allegations or information concerning three companies that were used to support Contra activities from 1984 until at least 1988.

20. CIA received drug trafficking allegations or information concerning an individual who flew Contra support missions from Ilopango Air Base in El Salvador in 1985 and 1986.

21. CIA also received other information in 1986 to 1989 regarding additional suspicious activities, individuals and aircraft at Ilopango Air Base. However, no information has been found to indicate that CIA was aware that this information indicated that Contra-related organizations or individuals used Ilopango Air Base for drug trafficking.

What was the nature and extent of any statutory, regulatory, or policy guidance concerning CIA's handling of information about Contra-related organizations or individuals that were subject to allegations or information indicating they were involved in drug trafficking?

22. ***Statutory Guidance.*** The Department of Defense and Military Construction Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1987[3], which authorized $100 million for Agency support to the Contras, included a prohibition on the provision of any assistance to any

[3] See Public Law 99-500, October 18, 1986, Section 204(b)(2).

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

group that, among other things, retained in its ranks any individual "who has been found to engage in . . . drug smuggling . . . ."

23. ***Executive Branch Guidance: Reporting Potential Crimes to Department of Justice.*** From August 15, 1979 to March 2, 1982, Attorney General Guidelines issued under Executive Order 12036 required CIA to report to DoJ possible violations of "any" federal laws--thereby including narcotics laws--by persons who were employed by, assigned to, or acting for CIA. The definition of "employee" in the Guidelines included assets, agents and independent contractors. Reporting of possible violations of federal law by non-employees was limited to a specific list of types of offenses that did not include narcotics violations.[4]

24. From March 2, 1982 to August 3, 1995, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Attorney General and the DCI under Executive Order 12333 governed reporting of potential crimes. That MOU continued to require CIA to report to DoJ possible violations of "any" federal laws--again thereby including narcotics laws--by CIA employees. However, because of a change in the definition of "employee," agents, assets and independent contractors were moved to the non-employee category and thereby subject to the list of reportable offenses that did not include narcotics violations. The MOU provided that CIA would continue to have the discretion to report any offense by a non-employee to DoJ in addition to the potential crimes that were specified in the MOU.[5]

25. A February 11, 1982 letter from Attorney General William French Smith to DCI William Casey that accompanied the MOU noted that the 1982 MOU contained no formal requirement regarding the reporting of narcotics violations by non-employees and urged

---

[4] Federal agencies that are outside the Intelligence Community, as defined in Executive Order 12333, are only required by law to report violations by their employees of criminal provisions in Title 18 of the U.S. Code—which does not include narcotics violations--and are not required to report any violations of federal law by non-employees.

[5] This discretion was exercised by CIA in 1984, for example, when information pertaining to association by Southern Front Contra members with drug trafficker Jorge Morales was reported to DoJ. It also was exercised in a 1988 referral to DoJ of drug trafficking allegations concerning another Contra official.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

CIA's continuing cooperation with DoJ and the Drug Enforcement Administration. This letter did not, however, establish a legal requirement that CIA report potential narcotics violations by non-employees because no such requirement was included in the MOU. A February 8, 1985 internal DoJ memorandum stated explicitly that there was no requirement for CIA to report non-employee narcotics violations and suggested that the MOU would have to be renegotiated in order to include narcotics violations by non-employees as reportable crimes.

26. In August 1995, the 1982 DoJ-CIA Crimes Reporting MOU was revised. Under that revised MOU, assets and independent contractors are again considered "employees" for crimes reporting purposes. Further, narcotics violations are included among the list of "non-employee" crimes that must be reported to DoJ.

27. ***CIA Guidance.*** There was no Agency-wide regulation explaining the crime reporting responsibilities of CIA employees under E.O. 12333 and the DoJ-CIA MOU until December 23, 1987.

28. CIA's Directorate of Operations (DO) developed a draft DO Handbook in December 1980 that included a section that focused on restrictions and prohibitions regarding the use of narcotics intelligence collection agents who might be involved in narcotics trafficking. The instructions were not applicable to the Contra-related individuals or contractors discussed in Volume II, however, since none of those individuals or contractors were involved in the collection of narcotics intelligence. A summary of the 86-page draft DO Handbook was sent to all DO field stations in July 1982 and stated that the draft had been approved by the DCI and represented Agency policy. The DO Handbook was not formally issued until January 1996, however, more than 15 years later.

29. On March 6, 1987, Headquarters sent a cable to CIA personnel in Central America that, among other things, included a statement of the prohibition in the FY 1987 Department of Defense and Military Construction Appropriations Act on providing assistance to any group that retained in its ranks any individual who

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

has been found to engage in drug smuggling. A January 21, 1988 Headquarters cable to CIA personnel in Central America that were directly involved in supporting the Contra program also summarized that statutory restriction.

30. On April 9, 1987, Acting DCI Robert Gates sent a memorandum to the Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) Clair George stating that it was imperative that CIA avoid involvement with individuals tied to the Contra program who were "even suspected of involvement in narcotics trafficking." The Gates memorandum instructed the DDO to vet contract air crews, air services companies and subcontractors with the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA), U.S. Customs and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to ensure that the Agency would not be involved in any way with individuals suspected of being involved in drug trafficking.

31. ***Were relevant CIA regulations and policies timely and adequate?*** Then-current CIA regulations and policies did not address a number of drug trafficking issues that were repeatedly encountered by Agency managers and personnel during the Contra program:

- CIA had no published regulations or policies that addressed CIA employees' contacts with individuals or companies that were known or suspected to have been involved in drug trafficking, unless they were part of a counternarcotics operation or program. The Contra program was not such an operation or program.

- CIA had no regulations or policies regarding CIA's responsibilities to identify and pursue allegations or information indicating that organizations or individuals were involved in drug trafficking.

- CIA had no regulations or policies that required that information be requested from DEA, the Customs Service, or U.S. Government entities, other than the FBI, regarding

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

individuals or entities of whom CIA had knowledge of drug allegations or information.

- ADCI Gates' April 1987 memorandum stating that it was imperative that CIA avoid involvement with individuals in Central America who were even suspected of narcotics trafficking was not issued in any form that would advise Agency employees generally of this policy.

- Agency personnel involved in the Contra program were not generally notified until January 1988 of the prohibition in the Department of Defense and Military Construction Appropriations Act for FY 1987, which went into effect in October 1986, on assistance to any group that retained in its ranks any individual who was found to engage in drug smuggling. A number of CIA personnel who were involved in the Contra program say they were aware of the statutory proscription prior to 1988, but no written guidance was provided to Agency personnel for determining that an individual had been "found to engage in drug smuggling" under the FY 1987 provision.

32. ***Recollections of CIA Personnel Regarding Alleged Drug Trafficking by the Contras.*** Notwithstanding the shortcomings in applicable regulations and policy, many employees and former employees say today that they understood the potential seriousness of information that linked participants in the Contra program to drug trafficking. Indeed, many say they believed that the Agency's policy was not to have relationships with such persons.

CONCLUSIONS

Were CIA actions in dealing with Contra-related organizations or individuals that were subject to allegations or information indicating they were involved in drug trafficking consistent with relevant statutes, regulations and policies?

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

33. ***Statutory Requirements.*** The provision in the FY 1987 Department of Defense and Military Construction Appropriations Act called for a cutoff of funding to any Contra group that retained a member who "has been found" to engage in drug smuggling. During the period from October 1986 until December 1987 in which this prohibition was in effect, CIA was aware of allegations or information of varying credibility suggesting that ten Contras may have been involved in drug trafficking. Additional actions could have been taken by CIA in each of these cases to determine the credibility of the allegations and information in order to comply with the intent and spirit of the legislation.

34. ***Executive Branch Requirements.*** CIA crimes referrals practices pertaining to potential federal narcotics violations were consistent with the applicable provisions of Executive Orders 12036 and 12333, the Attorney General Guidelines under E.O. 12036 and the 1982 MOU between the Department of Justice and CIA under E.O. 12333. No information has been found to indicate that the non-inclusion of narcotics violations by assets in the crimes reporting requirements of the 1982 DoJ-CIA MOU was intended to protect Contra activities.

35. ***CIA Policies and Practices.*** CIA acted inconsistently in handling allegations or information indicating that Contra-related organizations and individuals were involved in drug trafficking. In some cases, CIA pursued confirmation of allegations or information of drug allegations. In other cases, CIA knowledge of allegations or information indicating that organizations or individuals had been involved in drug trafficking did not deter their use by CIA. In other cases, CIA did not act to verify drug trafficking allegations or information even when it had the opportunity to do so. In still other cases, CIA deemed the allegation or information to be unsubstantiated or not credible.

36. With respect to air services companies, contract air crew members and other companies that were used to support the Contra program, CIA took prompt action in responding to ADCI Gates'

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

April 9, 1987 instructions by requesting relevant information from U.S. law enforcement agencies in addition to the FBI. However, CIA's actions in response to information received from law enforcement agencies that indicated a possible drug trafficking connection by air services companies and individual crew members were inconsistent. Despite such information, several pilots and one mechanic continued to be associated with their companies in support of the Contra program.

To what extent did CIA share allegations and information indicating that Contra-related organizations or individuals were involved in drug trafficking with other U.S. Government entities?

37. ***Congress.*** Although records of congressional briefings in this regard were incomplete and often lacked specific detail, CIA briefings of the congressional intelligence oversight committees on Contra-related matters occasionally included allegations or information indicating involvement by Contra-related organizations or individuals in drug trafficking. CIA determined what was responsive to the requirement of keeping the congressional intelligence oversight committees "fully and currently" informed about Contra-related drug allegations.

38. CIA did inform the intelligence oversight committees in a timely manner of the 1984 allegations of association by ARDE members with drug trafficker Jorge Morales and their agreement for Morales to provide aircraft in exchange for facilitation of drug transport. However, CIA did not inform Congress of all allegations or information it received indicating that Contra-related organizations or individuals were involved in drug trafficking. During the period in which the FY 1987 statutory prohibition was in effect, for example, no information has been found to indicate that CIA informed Congress of eight of the ten Contra-related individuals concerning whom CIA had received drug trafficking allegations or information.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

39. ***Law Enforcement and Other Agencies.*** The March 1982 DoJ-CIA Crimes Reporting MOU did not require that CIA report to DoJ narcotics trafficking violations by assets, or independent contractors associated with the Contras because assets and independent contractors were not defined as "employees" for crimes reporting purposes. However, the 1982 MOU gave CIA discretion to report offenses not included in the MOU. This discretion was exercised in 1984 when information pertaining to association by Southern Front Contra members with drug trafficker Jorge Morales was reported to DoJ. It also was exercised in a 1988 referral to DoJ of allegations of drug trafficking concerning another Contra official.

40. Allegations and information indicating drug trafficking by 25 Contra-related individuals was shared in a variety of ways with other Executive branch agencies, including law enforcement agencies as formal intelligence reports, cables and briefings in Washington, D.C., and the field. However, no information has been found to indicate that any U.S. law enforcement entity or Executive branch agency was informed by CIA of drug trafficking allegations or information concerning 11 Contra-related individuals and assets. Beginning in January 1988, CIA began providing a U.S. law enforcement agency's regional office in Central America with information received by CIA regarding possible drug-related or other suspicious activities at Ilopango Air Base.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

41. Volume II of this Report reviews the Agency's knowledge and handling of drug trafficking allegations regarding Contra-related individuals and organizations and others involved in supporting the Contras on behalf of CIA. The specific questions addressed in Volume II are as follows:

- What were CIA's legal and regulatory responsibilities during the Contra program regarding the reporting of potential drug trafficking crimes?

- What policies and guidelines governed CIA's contacts during the Contra program with persons and organizations alleged to be involved in drug trafficking?

- What do CIA Headquarters and field personnel recall regarding alleged drug trafficking by the Contras?

- What drug trafficking allegations was CIA aware of, and when, involving Contra organizations? How did CIA respond to this information, and how was this information shared with other U.S. Government entities?

- What drug trafficking allegations was CIA aware of, and when, involving Southern Front Contras? How did CIA respond to this information, and how was this information shared with other U.S. Government entities?

- What drug trafficking allegations was CIA aware of, and when, involving Northern Front Contras? How did CIA respond to this information, and how was this information shared with other U.S. Government entities?

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- What drug trafficking allegations was CIA aware of, and when, involving other individuals supporting the Contra program? How did CIA respond to this information, and how was this information shared with other U.S. Government entities?

- What drug trafficking allegations was CIA aware of, and when, involving pilots and companies supporting the Contra program? How did CIA respond to this information, and how was this information shared with other U.S. Government entities?

- What was the nature and extent of CIA's knowledge of allegations of Contra drug trafficking at the Ilopango air base?

- To what extent did CIA disseminate "finished intelligence products" that included information about drug trafficking on the part of individuals, organizations, and independent contractors associated with the Contras?

- To what extent did CIA share information with Congress regarding allegations of drug trafficking on the part of individuals, organizations, and independent contractors associated with the Contras?

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

FINDINGS

WHAT WERE CIA'S LEGAL AND REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES DURING THE CONTRA PROGRAM REGARDING THE REPORTING OF POTENTIAL DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIMES?

Reporting Potential Crimes to Department of Justice

42. **Background.** For over 20 years, CIA had broad discretion to report or not report information that came to its attention regarding potential violations of federal law by its employees, assets and other persons. According to a 1954 memorandum from CIA General Counsel Lawrence Houston to the DCI, Houston discussed the issue of reporting Federal criminal violations to the Department of Justice (DoJ) with Deputy Attorney General William P. Rogers on February 18, 1954. According to that memorandum, Rogers and Houston agreed that CIA would be responsible for determining whether a potential violation of criminal law by persons associated with CIA would be referred to DoJ for prosecution. This arrangement was based on the belief that CIA was in the best position to determine whether classified information might be revealed in the course of such a prosecution. The memorandum also stated that CIA would be obligated to refer to DoJ potential criminal matters that could be prosecuted without revealing classified information, and that any doubts would be resolved in favor of referring the matter to DoJ. Finally, Rogers and Houston agreed, according to the memorandum, that it was not necessary at that time to enter a formal agreement of any kind that would embody these understandings.

43. In the mid-1970s, this arrangement became more widely known and was subject to criticism by the Congress[6] and others.

---

[6] In its April 14, 1976 report, the Senate's Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities (the "Church" Committee) concluded that the 1954 CIA-DoJ agreement "essentially delegated [to the CIA] . . . the power to determine whether criminal prosecution should be initiated against individuals who violated federal law. This delegation was

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Then-Assistant Attorney General for DoJ's Criminal Division Richard Thornburgh wrote CIA General Counsel John Warner on July 24, 1975 to remind CIA of its duty to comply with 28 U.S.C. 535, a provision of law that imposes a duty on every department and agency in the Executive Branch to report promptly to the Attorney General any information, allegations, or complaints relating to possible violations of Title 18 of the United States Code by officers and employees of the U.S. Government. Warner responded on July 29 and acknowledged that "any other informal referral agreement that may have been in effect in the past was abrogated." At the same time, however, Warner noted that the DCI was charged under the National Security Act of 1947 with "protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure" and that CIA would be seeking DoJ's advice as to fulfilling this responsibility in regard to "cases that will be reported."

44. **CIA Regulation Regarding Crimes Reporting.** As of November 28, 1975, CIA's policy for reporting information regarding potential violations of federal criminal law by employees and others was set forth in Headquarters Regulation (HR) 7-1 a(7). That regulation provided:

> Information, allegations, or complaints of violations of the criminal provisions of the United States Code by CIA officers and employees, or relating to CIA affairs, shall be reported immediately by an employee to the Inspector General, who shall inform the General Counsel. Information, allegations, or complaints of violations of Title 18 of the United States Code involving Government officers and employees shall be expeditiously reported to the Attorney General by the General Counsel in compliance with 28 U.S.C. 535. Such report to the Attorney General shall include an evaluation of the impact, if any, of a prosecution on the national security or foreign relations of the United States, including intelligence operations which may be jeopardized or intelligence sources and methods which may be compromised thereby. CIA will not exercise a prosecutorial function.

---

and is unacceptable." Book I, p. 288. See also the June 1975 Report to the President by the Commission on CIA Activities Within the United States, at 16.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

45. **E.O. 11905.** A presidential directive that CIA report information to DoJ concerning potential violations of certain federal criminal laws by employees and non-employees was first established by President Gerald Ford in Executive Order (E.O.) 11905, dated February 18, 1976. The pertinent part of E.O. 11905--Section 4(a)--stated:

> In carrying out their duties and responsibilities, senior officials [including those at CIA] . . . shall:
>
> . . . .
>
> (5) Report to the Attorney General that information which relates to detection or prevention of **possible violations of law by any person**, including an employee of the senior official's department or agency:
>
> . . . .

(Emphasis added.)

The preamble to Section 4 stated that:

> Unless otherwise specified within this section, its provisions apply to activities both inside and outside the United States, and all references to law are to applicable laws of the United States.

The reporting obligations imposed upon CIA and other U.S. intelligence agencies by this provision exceeded those required of other federal agencies. Whereas the responsibilities of other agencies in this regard are limited by 28 U.S.C. 535 to potential violations of Title 18 by U.S. Government employees, E.O. 11905 required CIA and other intelligence agencies to report **all** possible violations of **any** law by any person.

46. A May 7, 1976 opinion by DoJ's Office of Legal Counsel confirmed the breadth of Section 4(a)(5) of E.O. 11905 by concluding that it required reports of possible violations of any law, civil or criminal, with respect to which DoJ had either investigative or prosecutorial jurisdiction. This opinion also noted, however, that the agencies were required to report such information to the Attorney

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

General only when such information was acquired by them in the exercise of their functions under the E.O.

47. **E.O. 12036**. On January 26, 1978, President Jimmy Carter signed E.O. 12036, "United States Intelligence Activities," which superseded E.O. 11905. Section 1-7 of E.O. 12036 contained the following language regarding the obligation to report federal crimes:

> The senior officials of each of the agencies within the Intelligence Community shall:
>
> . . . .
>
> (1-706) Report to the Attorney General evidence of possible violations of federal criminal law by an **employee** of their department or agency, and report to the Attorney General evidence of possible violations by **any other person** of those federal criminal laws specified in guidelines adopted by the Attorney General.
>
> . . . .

(Emphasis added.)

Section 4-204 of the E.O. defined "employee" as:

> Employee means a person employed by, assigned to, or acting for an agency within the Intelligence Community.

48. E.O. 12036 thus narrowed the CIA's responsibilities with respect to reporting employee violations because it expressly limited the requirement to federal criminal violations. On the other hand, E.O. 12036 continued to require that intelligence agencies report any federal criminal violation by their employees, not just Title 18 violations. For example, most narcotics violations fall under Title 21 and would not be reportable by other U.S. Government agencies under a literal reading of 28 U.S.C. 535. Moreover, E.O. 12036 did not alter the fact that only the Intelligence Community agencies were required to report federal crimes by non-employees, although it did recognize that the scope of this portion of the reporting requirement could be narrowed by Attorney General guidelines.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

49. On September 15, 1978, CIA amended HR 7-1 to incorporate the changes required by E.O. 12036. With respect to the obligation for reporting potential crimes, the revision read:

> . . . .
> Information, allegations, and complaints of possible violations of Federal criminal law by CIA employees or any other person shall be reported immediately by any employee to the Inspector General who shall inform the General Counsel. The Inspector General shall provide to the General Counsel an evaluation of the impact, if any, of a prosecution of such a violation on the national security or foreign relations of the United States, including intelligence operations which may be jeopardized or intelligence sources and methods which may be compromised. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 535 and Section 1-706 of Executive order 12036, evidence of possible violations of Federal criminal law shall be reported expeditiously to the Attorney General by the General Counsel in accordance with procedures and guidelines adopted by the Attorney General.
> . . . .

50. **Attorney General Procedures for Crimes Reporting**. On August 15, 1979, pursuant to section 1-706 of E.O. 12036, Attorney General Griffin Bell promulgated two sets of guidelines. One guideline pertained to the reporting of federal crimes committed by **employees** of agencies in the intelligence community. The other related to the reporting of federal crimes committed by **non-employees**.

51. The August 1979 Attorney General **employee** crimes reporting guidelines defined "employees" to include not only persons covered by the definition of that term in Section 4-204 of E.O. 12036 (i.e., those who were employed by, assigned to, or acting for an intelligence agency), but also any former employees when the offense was committed during their employment or related to potential violations of statutory restrictions on the post-employment activities of former employees. With respect to employees of intelligence agencies, the guidelines required the General Counsel to refer to DoJ any "allegations, complaints, or information tending to show that any officer or employee may have violated a federal criminal statute that

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

the agency cannot establish as unfounded within a reasonable time through a preliminary inquiry."

52. The August 1979 Attorney General guidelines for reporting crimes committed by **non-employees** set forth several categories of federal crimes as to which reporting would be required:

- Crimes involving intentional infliction or threat of death or serious physical harm (e.g., homicide, kidnapping);

- Crimes impacting on the national security, defense, or foreign relations of the United States (e.g., espionage, sabotage, violations of the Trading with the Enemy Act, neutrality offenses); and

- Crimes involving foreign interference with the integrity of United States Government institutions or crimes committed on behalf of a foreign power or in connection with international terrorist activity (e.g., bribery, election contributions, aircraft piracy, transportation of explosives).

Potential violations of federal criminal provisions relating to narcotics trafficking were not included among the categories of reportable crimes by non-employees. The guidelines did, however, include language also authorizing the General Counsel to report information concerning any offense that the General Counsel believed should be reported to the Attorney General.

53. On November 21, 1979, following the adoption of the August 1979 Attorney General guidelines, CIA amended HR 7-1. HR 7-1a (7) of that regulation stated:

> Any employee who, in the course of official duty, becomes aware of any information, allegation, or complaint of possible violations of Federal criminal laws by any person, including a person employed by, assigned to, or acting for the Agency, is required to report immediately such information, allegation, or complaint to the General Counsel. The Office of General Counsel shall consult with

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> the Office of Security and the Office of Inspector General when necessary in conducting a preliminary inquiry to determine whether a basis for referral exists and shall obtain from concerned Agency components an evaluation of the impact, if any, of a prosecution of such a violation on the national security or foreign relations of the United States, including intelligence operations which may be jeopardized or intelligence sources and methods which may be compromised. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 535 and Section 1-706 of Executive Order 12036, evidence of possible violations of Federal criminal law shall be reported expeditiously to the Attorney General by the General Counsel in accordance with procedures and guidelines adopted by the Attorney General
> . . . .

This major revision of HR 7-1 established a requirement that CIA employees report information, allegations and complaints regarding possible violations of federal criminal law by any person directly to the General Counsel instead of indirectly through the Inspector General.

54. On November 21, 1979, CIA issued Headquarters Notice (HN) 7-39, "Supervisors' and Managers' Responsibility to Report Evidence of Crimes to the Attorney General--Executive Order 12036, Section 1-706." Section 4 of the HN addressed the issue of reporting potential crimes by employees:

> . . . .
> a. Employees. Senior officials of the Intelligence Community are required to report to the Attorney General evidence of possible violations by an employee of their department or agency of any Federal criminal law. The Executive order defines "employee" more broadly than the general or dictionary meaning of the word. "Employee" for the purpose of reporting crimes means a person employed by, assigned to, or acting for an agency within the Intelligence Community. Thus, for example, an agent, a safehousekeeper, a contract employee, or an independent contractor performing services for CIA is considered an employee.
>
> In view of these obligations, all employees have been instructed to report to the Office of General Counsel when, in the course of official duty, they become aware of (a) any information, allegation, or complaint that an **employee** may have violated any Federal

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> criminal law, and (b) any facts or circumstances that raise a suspicion in the employee's mind that a Federal criminal offense has been committed by a **nonemployee**.
> . . . .

(Emphasis added.)

On the same day, CIA issued a companion notice, HN 7-38, "Employee Responsibility to Report Evidence of Crimes to the Attorney General--Executive Order 12036, Section 1-706." This HN provided the following guidance to employees:

> . . . .
> 3. In summary, all employees are instructed to report to the Office of General Counsel when, in the course of official duty, they become aware of (a) any information, allegation, or complaint that an employee may have violated any Federal criminal law, and (b) any facts or circumstances that raise a suspicion in the employee's mind that a Federal criminal offense may have been committed by a nonemployee.
> . . . .

HN 7-38 did not define the term "employee," but referred to HN 7-39 and noted that it dealt with the same subject in much greater detail. HN 7-38 also advised employees who wished further clarification to contact their supervisor or Office of General Counsel (OGC).

55. **E.O. 12333**. On December 4, 1981, President Ronald Reagan signed E.O. 12333, "United States Intelligence Activities," which revoked E.O. 12036. The provision of E.O. 12333 requiring reporting of crimes to the Attorney General was not revised in any substantive way, except for adding a specific reference to protecting intelligence sources and methods. Unlike the two previous Executive Orders, however, E.O. 12333 required the head of an intelligence agency and the Attorney General to agree on crimes reporting procedures.

56. Section 1.7(a) of E.O. 12333 stated that heads of departments and agencies in the Intelligence Community should:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Report to the Attorney General possible violations of federal criminal laws by **employees** and of specified federal criminal laws by **any other person** as provided in procedures agreed upon by the Attorney General and the head of the department or agency concerned, in a manner consistent with the protection of intelligence sources and methods, as specified in those procedures.

(Emphasis added.)

Section 3.4(c) of the E.O. defined "employee" in the same way as that term had been defined in E.O. 12036:

> Employee means a person employed by, assigned to or acting for an agency within the Intelligence Community.

As had been true under E.O. 11905 and E.O. 12036, the reporting obligations imposed upon CIA and other U.S. intelligence agencies by these provisions exceeded the obligations of other federal agencies. Whereas the responsibilities of other agencies in this regard are limited by 28 U.S.C. 535 to potential violations of Title 18 by **U.S. Government employees**, E.O. 12333 requires CIA and other intelligence agencies to report all possible violations of any law by **any person**.

57. **1982 DoJ-CIA Memorandum of Understanding**. To implement section 1.7(a) of E.O. 12333 and replace the August 1979 guidelines promulgated by Attorney General Bell under E.O. 12036, a MOU between CIA and DoJ regarding crimes reporting was signed by Attorney General William French Smith on February 11, 1982 and DCI William Casey on March 2, 1982. (See Exhibit 1 for the full text of this Memorandum.) The MOU defined "employee" for crimes reporting purposes as:

- A staff employee or contract employee of the Agency;
- Former officers or employees of the Agency, for purposes of offenses committed during their employment; and

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- Former officers or employees of the Agency, for offenses involving a violation of the statutory limits on activities of former U.S. Government employees.

This definition of "employee" was narrower than the definition of that term in Section 3.4(c) of E.O. 12333 which included any person "employed by, assigned to **or acting for** an agency within the Intelligence Community." (Emphasis added.) The effect of this omission was to move persons "acting for," but not employed by or assigned to, CIA from the "employee" to the "non-employee" category for crimes reporting purposes.

58. The list of non-employee crimes that were required by the 1982 DoJ-CIA MOU to be reported to the Attorney General was essentially the same as had been included in the August 1979 Attorney General guidelines. The only substantive change was that the 1982 MOU added certain violations of the Atomic Energy Act. As was the case with the 1979 Attorney General guidelines, the 1982 MOU did not include any type of narcotics violation among the lists of reportable crimes by non-employees. However, in language similar to that used in the portion of the 1979 Attorney General guidelines that applied to non-employees, Section IV D. of the 1982 DoJ-CIA MOU stated:

> Notwithstanding any of the above provisions, the General Counsel may report any other possible offense when he believes it should be reported.

59. On February 11, 1982, Attorney General Smith sent a letter to DCI Casey notifying him that he had approved the MOU and was sending it to Casey for his signature. (See Exhibit 2 for the full text of this letter.) The letter stated, in part:

> I have been advised that a question arose regarding the need to add narcotics violations to the list of reportable **non-employee** crimes . . . . 21 U.S.C. §874(h) [*sic*][7] provides that "when requested by the

[7] Title 21 of the United States Code does not contain a section 874(h) nor did it contain such a section in 1982. The correct citation for the quoted language was section 873(b).

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Attorney General, it shall be the duty of any agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government to furnish assistance to him for carrying out his functions under [the Controlled Substances Act] . . . ." Section 1.8(b) of Executive Order 12333 tasks the Central Intelligence Agency to "collect, produce and disseminate intelligence on foreign aspects of narcotics production and trafficking." Moreover, authorization for the dissemination of information concerning narcotics violatons [*sic*] to law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice, is provided by sections 2.3(c) and (i) and 2.6(b) of the Order. In light of these provisions, and in view of the fine cooperation the Drug Enforcement Administration has received from CIA, no formal requirement regarding the reporting of narcotics violations has been included in these procedures. We look forward to the CIA's continuing cooperation with the Department of Justice in this area.

(Emphasis added.)

The letter did not request that DCI Casey concur in the expectations expressed by Attorney General Smith. On March 2, 1982, Casey signed the MOU.

60. The first part of the Attorney General's letter referred to 21 USC 873(b). That statute provides:

> When requested by the Attorney General, it shall be the duty of any agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government to furnish assistance, including any technical advice, to him for carrying out his functions under this subchapter [Title 21 of the United States Code]. . . .

The authority of the Attorney General to prosecute and litigate is not contained in Title 21 but rather is found generally in sections, 509, 516, 519, and 547 of Title 28. Those sections do not create a requirement to report narcotics violations to the Attorney General.

61. The second part of the Attorney General's letter referred to Section 1.8(b) of E.O. 12333. Section 1.8(b) states that the CIA shall "Collect, produce and disseminate intelligence on foreign aspects of narcotics production and trafficking." That section also does not

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

create a requirement to report narcotics violations to the Attorney General.

62. The third part of the Attorney General's letter referred to sections 2.3(c) and 2.6(b) of E.O. 12333. Section 2.3(c) states that DCI and Attorney General-approved collection procedures shall permit an intelligence agency to collect, retain and disseminate "Information obtained in the course of a lawful foreign intelligence, counterintelligence, international narcotics or international terrorism investigation." Section 2.6(b) states that an intelligence agency is authorized to:

> Unless otherwise precluded by law or this Order, participate in law enforcement activities to investigate or prevent clandestine intelligence activities by foreign powers, or international terrorist or narcotics activities.

Those sections do not create a requirement to report narcotics violations to the Attorney General.

63. The Attorney General's letter also stated that:

> In light of these provisions [discussed above] and in view of the fine cooperation the Drug Enforcement Administration has received from CIA, no formal reporting requirement of narcotics violations has been included in these procedures.

However, reporting of narcotics intelligence information to the Drug Enforcement Administration would not satisfy the requirement of section 1.7(a) of E.O. 12333 that potential criminal violations be reported to the Attorney General. While the 1979 Attorney General Guidelines under E.O. 12036 permitted reporting of potential federal crimes to appropriate law enforcement agencies in certain circumstances in lieu of reporting to the DoJ Criminal Division, the 1982 CIA-DoJ MOU required notification to the Criminal Division of any such referral to an investigative agency or to a United States Attorney.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

64. On March 2, 1982, DCI Casey wrote to Attorney General Smith stating that he had signed the procedures. DCI Casey's letter did not refer to the issue of reporting narcotics violations and did not indicate whether he agreed or disagreed with the statements in the Smith letter.

65. On February 8,1985, A. R. Cinquegrana, Deputy Counsel for Intelligence Policy at DoJ, wrote a memorandum to Mark M Richard, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, in which he stated:

> Pursuant to our discussion yesterday, attached are copies of the procedures governing CIA's reporting of crimes and the transmittal letters between the [Attorney General] and the DCI. As you can see, alleged violations of Title 21 [narcotics violations] by **non-employees** are not covered by the procedures to be reported. In lieu of formal reporting, however, the Attorney General's letter notes "the fine cooperation the Drug Enforcement Administration has received from CIA" and the Department's expectation of "continuing cooperation . . . in this area." Accordingly, it would appear that if CIA and DEA can work out a mutually satisfactory arrangement regarding the kinds of offenses at issue, there would be no need to modify the procedures, at least so far as **non-employees** are concerned.

(Emphasis in original.)

66. On January 5, 1988, in a letter to William F Weld, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division that provided information regarding a possible violation of U.S. narcotics laws by Adolfo Chamorro, CIA General Counsel David Doherty noted that "Although this non-employee crime is not required to be reported under the Attorney General-approved guidelines, I am making this report because of the serious nature of the alleged offense." No information has been found to indicate that DoJ responded to this statement by the General Counsel.[8]

---

[8] On March 17, 1988, Associate Attorney General Stephen S. Trott notified the Office of Independent Counsel (Iran-Contra) that: "After learning from the CIA that the allegations [concerning Chamorro] were based on hearsay, the Drug Enforcement Administration decided to take no further action in this matter."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

67. On December 23, 1987, the CIA's HR 7-1 was revised to incorporate the changes that had been established six years earlier by E.O. 12333. With regard to crimes reporting, Section d of the revised HR stated:

> . . . .
> (5) All employees shall report to the General Counsel via their components facts or circumstances that appear to indicate the commission of a criminal offense . . . . Pursuant to Section 1.7(a) of E.O. 12333, CIA is obligated to report, through its General Counsel, to the Attorney General possible violations of Federal criminal laws by **employees** and of specific Federal criminal laws by **any other person** as provided in the crimes reporting procedures in Annex D.

(Emphasis added.)

Annex D of the revised HR 7-1 consisted of the 1982 MOU between CIA and DoJ. The February 11, 1982 letter regarding narcotics violations that had been sent by Attorney General Smith to DCI Casey along with the 1982 MOU was not included in Annex D.

68. **1995 Revision of the DoJ-CIA MOU.** In August 1995, DoJ, CIA and other Intelligence Community agencies agreed to a revised MOU governing the reporting of crimes that superseded the 1982 MOU on that subject. This revised MOU remains in effect.

69. Section II.A of the 1995 MOU defines an "employee" as follows:

> . . . a staff employee, contract employee, asset, or other person or entity providing service to or acting on behalf of any agency within the intelligence community.

Thus, the broad requirement to report any potential violation of law by any "employee" has been extended once again beyond persons employed by CIA and to include those who are "acting on behalf" of the Agency. Also, for the first time, potential violations of U.S. laws related to narcotics trafficking are specifically included by the 1995

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

MOU in the categories of potential violations by non-employees that are required to be reported to DoJ.

70. **Coordination with DEA.** On April 25, 1984, DEA and CIA revised an August 1978 MOU between them. The revised 1984 version of the DEA/CIA MOU, entitled "*Procedures Governing Conduct and Coordination by CIA and DEA of Narcotics Activities Abroad,*" focused on the collection and sharing of strategic narcotics intelligence and the issue of coordination at the field level. It did not mention CIA's crime reporting responsibilities under the 1982 MOU between DoJ and CIA.

71. The introduction of the revised MOU states that:

> [The MOU is] intended to promote coordination between the DEA Special Agent in Charge (SAC) and the CIA Chief of Station (COS) in matters of mutual interest, timely sharing of strategic narcotics intelligence and the prompt resolution at the Headquarters level of difficulties or disagreements. CIA and DEA have legitimate functions with regard to monitoring and countering international narcotics trafficking and production.

The revised MOU defines "strategic narcotics intelligence" as:

> . . . includ[ing] information regarding the influence of narcotics production and trafficking on the economy of a country, possible corruption of government officials, geographic areas of narcotics production, narcotics trafficking routes, financial intelligence (movements of funds attributable to narcotics production and trafficking) and estimates of narcotics production.

72. **Individual Views of CIA Responsibility to Report Narcotics Violations Under E.O. 12036 and the 1979 Attorney General Guidelines.** Bernard Makowka, an attorney in OGC from 1975-1989 and Chief of the Intelligence Law Division in 1982, states that narcotics violations by agents or assets did not have to be reported under E.O. 12036 and DoJ guidelines that existed at the time. According to Makowka, both CIA and DoJ were comfortable with this arrangement. Makowka says CIA did not want to be

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

involved in law enforcement issues while DoJ did not want "tainted leads" from CIA which could not be used in criminal prosecutions because of national security concerns.[9]

73. Makowka also states that E.O. 12036 restricted CIA from disseminating information on U.S. persons and therefore certain narcotics violations could not even be reported to DoJ. Makowka further states that the definition of "employee" in HN 7-39 is not consistent with the way that the OGC interpreted the term "employee" as it applied to E.O. 12036. According to Makowka, HN 7-39 could be read so as to require the reporting of agent crimes only when an agent was acting on behalf of CIA and that when the agent was acting on his own, no report would have to be made.

74. Edmund Cohen, an OGC attorney and Chief of the Administrative Law Division in 1982, states that there had been an agreement between CIA and DoJ under E.O. 12036 that CIA would not necessarily have to report crimes, including narcotics violations, if such crimes involved classified information.

75. The OGC attorney who served as Makowka's deputy in 1982 remembers being told by senior attorneys in OGC that there was a distinction made for reporting narcotics violations under E.O. 12036 in which the CIA would only report major narcotics violations to DoJ. He also notes that, in the late 1970s, CIA was not heavily involved in the collection of narcotics intelligence and it was not a high priority.

76. A. R. Cinquegrana, Deputy Chief of DoJ's Office of Intelligence Policy and Review (OIPR) from 1979 to 1991, states that he does not believe that the 1979 guidelines required CIA to report narcotics trafficking violations as potential crimes by non-employees since the definition of "employees" under those guidelines included agents and assets.

---

[9] These concerns were addressed to some extent in 1980 when Congress passed the Classified Information Procedures Act, 18 U.S.C. App. 3.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

77. **Negotiation of 1982 DoJ-CIA Crimes Reporting MOU.** According to the OGC attorney who served as Makowka's deputy in the Intelligence Law Division in 1982, CIA and DoJ entered into discussions over an MOU to establish crimes reporting procedures shortly after E.O. 12333 was issued by President Reagan. Approximately two months transpired between the issuance of E.O. 12333 and an agreement between the CIA and DoJ.

78. The OGC attorney who served as Makowka's deputy in the Intelligence Law Division in 1982 states that CIA General Counsel Daniel Silver assigned him the task of writing the first draft of the MOU. He says that he used the Attorney General guidelines under E.O. 12036 as a starting point regarding the list of reportable crimes. He did not add any new crimes to the list in his draft MOU and instead simply took the list of crimes from the 1979 guidelines. He also states that he received specific instructions from Cohen to narrow the definition of "employee" in the draft MOU from the definition in E.O. 12333. He recalls that there were not many changes between his draft MOU and the final MOU.

79. Makowka remembers that the negotiations for all the E.O. 12333 procedures took a long time to complete. Makowka oversaw the MOU negotiations for CIA but was one step removed from the day-to-day activities. Those responsibilities were handled by Cohen and his deputy for OGC, and says Makowka, the DO/Policy and Coordination Staff also was involved. Makowka also recalls that DoJ questioned everything in the E.O. 12333 procedures and says he assumes that DoJ carefully reviewed the MOU as well.

80. Cohen recalls that the MOU was thoroughly coordinated with DoJ. Cohen says that the negotiations over the MOU involved the competing interests of DoJ and CIA. DoJ's interest was to establish procedures while CIA's interest was to ensure that the MOU protected CIA's national security equities.

81. George Clarke, OGC's Chief of Intelligence Community Affairs in 1982, remembers that there were many discussions between CIA and DoJ but does not recall the specific issues. Clarke

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

does not recall any interagency disagreement over the crimes listed in the 1982 MOU.

82. While personnel from the DoJ's Criminal Division were not involved in the day-to-day negotiations, Cinquegrana says that OIPR kept them fully advised and consulted with them regularly as the draft developed. Cinquegrana says he and Mark Evans represented DoJ in the negotiations.

83. Mark Evans and Jerry Schroeder, both of whom were OIPR staff attorneys in 1982, state that they have no recollection of having worked on the 1982 Crimes Reporting MOU, although both worked on other aspects of implementing other provisions of E.O. 12333. Neither had any idea of who else may have worked on this issue. Cinquegrana states that Deputy Assistant Attorney General Mark Richard and OIPR Chief Mary Lawton[10] participated in some of the MOU discussions.

84. Richard says he probably had some input into the MOU, but that it was negotiated by Cinquegrana, as Lawton's deputy.

85. **Exclusion of Narcotics Violations from Scope of Reportable Non-employee Crimes**. Makowka remembers that the issue of narcotics violations was thoroughly discussed between DoJ and CIA before the MOU was signed. According to Makowka, DoJ questioned everything in the MOU and was very particular about every procedure listed in the MOU. He recalls that DoJ and CIA discussed the issue of whether narcotics violations should be in the list of reportable crimes and the parties arrived at an understanding where CIA would only report "serious, not run-of-the-mill, narcotics violations." Makowka believes that this represented a decision by CIA and DoJ to continue the practice established under the previous Executive Order in which only significant violations would be reported. Subsequently, Makowka added that DoJ and CIA agreed that significant narcotics transactions would continue to be reported even though not technically required under the MOU.

---

[10] Mary Lawton is deceased.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

86. According to Cohen, CIA's main concern was the collection of intelligence on narcotics, not law enforcement. He recalls that the only discussion between CIA and DoJ in terms of reporting narcotics violations to DoJ was in the context of Agency employees and the Agency reporting potential violations of law picked up through applicant and employee polygraphs. The deputy to the Chief of OGC's Intelligence Law Division in 1982 believes that the 1982 MOU was intended to be a continuation of existing practices under E.O. 12036.

87. Cinquegrana states that DoJ's Criminal Division reviewed and concurred with the non-employee criminal violations listed in the MOU. He believes that the Criminal Division had a better appreciation than OIPR for the kinds of crimes that should be included in the MOU. According to him, the list of crimes in the MOU seemed to represent at the time the categories of crimes that DoJ might expect to come to the attention of an intelligence agency during the course of its business. Cinquegrana does not remember any disagreements between DoJ and CIA relative to specific types of violations. From his perspective in OIPR, he believes the failure to include narcotics on the list of reportable non-employee crimes was an omission and not a conscious decision to exclude such matters.

88. Mark Richard, Deputy Assistant Attorney General with responsibility for General Litigation and International Law Enforcement in 1982, states that he probably had some input into the MOU. He was unable, however, to explain why narcotics violations were not on the list of reportable crimes except that the MOU had "other deficiencies, not just drugs."

89. **Purpose of the February 11, 1982 Smith Letter.** Cinquegrana says he remembers getting a telephone call "at the last minute" from Makowka who pointed out to him that the MOU failed to include the reporting of narcotics violations by non-employees. The draft MOU had already been cleared by all DoJ components--and was about to be signed by the Attorney General--when Cinquegrana reportedly found out about this omission. Instead of reopening the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

negotiations and clearing a revised MOU, Cinquegrana states that he and OIPR's Mark Evans prepared a letter from the Attorney General to DCI Casey. The letter was designed to show the importance of the subject of reporting narcotics trafficking without reopening negotiations, and that it was DoJ's expectation that CIA would understand DoJ's intent. Evans has no recollection of working on such a letter.

90. Cinquegrana states that the letter was designed to create an expectation in the CIA that narcotics violations would be treated in the same way as the listed reportable crimes would be treated. Cinquegrana says that at that time DoJ hoped CIA would include guidance on narcotics trafficking reporting along with any guidance disseminated to its employees with respect to the MOU. Cinquegrana states that "we [DoJ] were trying to build the best case. . . . We anticipated that [narcotics violations] would be hard for the Agency to say 'no' to in terms of accepting the need to report such violations. And that 'responsible officials' would so realize." However, when asked about the specific effect of the Smith letter, Cinquegrana states that it would be going "too far" to conclude that the Smith letter added narcotics trafficking to the list in the MOU.

91. For his part, Makowka has no recollection of having a conversation with Cinquegrana about the fact that the 1982 draft MOU did not include narcotics violations as reportable crimes. He attributes the Smith letter to someone at DoJ becoming uncomfortable at the prospect of the MOU not including any mention of narcotics. Makowka believes that the letter reflects the understanding between DoJ and CIA that only serious, not run-of-the-mill, violations would be reported. Makowka does not believe that the letter changed the list of violations that were required to be reported to DoJ.

92. Cohen believes that the failure to add narcotics to the list of reportable crimes was an oversight by DoJ and that someone at DoJ became embarrassed on realizing that DoJ forgot to include narcotics violations in the list of crimes reportable to DoJ. Cohen speculates that the letter from DoJ was a "cover your ass type of document." His

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

interpretation of the letter is that it implies CIA should keep doing what it had been doing before the signing of the MOU. Cohen believes that the language was vague and did not add narcotics trafficking to the list of crimes CIA is required to report, although as a practical matter he believes that it was better to err on the side of reporting.

93. Clarke believes the intent behind the letter was that it was an oversight not to include narcotics violations in the list of reportable crimes. Thus, DoJ sought to make it clear that it expected the Agency to report such violations.

94. Makowka's deputy in OGC's Intelligence Law Division in 1982 believes that the intent of the letter was for the Agency to continue its past practice of reporting certain non-employee narcotics violations. He also thinks the Smith letter may have been a compromise in which CIA would report only major narcotics activities.

95. **Defining "Employee" in the 1982 MOU**. Makowka recalls that his deputy and Cohen worked very hard to define the term "agent" during the MOU negotiations. He believes that an independent contractor is not a contract employee and therefore is not an employee for purposes of the MOU.

96. Makowka's deptuy states that he was given explicit instructions from Cohen to develop a narrower definition of "employee" for the MOU than the language in E.O. 12333. The reason for doing so was to make a distinction between those people with staff-like access over whom CIA has a high level of control and agents and assets over whom CIA has limited control. He does not know whether DoJ was aware of CIA's reasoning for narrowing the definition. As previously noted, Makowka states that the definition of "employee" in HN 7-39 was not consistent with OGC's interpretation of "employee" and that the 1982 MOU was a joint effort by DoJ and CIA to refine language that would reflect the existing practice between CIA and DoJ under E.O. 12036.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

97. Cohen says he believes the term "contractor" as defined in the 1982 MOU means a person with staff access. It was not intended to cover assets or agents.

98. According to Clarke, CIA wanted to make crimes reporting procedures less onerous on CIA. Clarke believes that "employees" were considered to be individuals who were processed by CIA's Office of Personnel. Clarke says that crimes reporting requirements concerning employees did not cover anyone with whom the DO dealt operationally.

99. Cinquegrana states it was his understanding that agents would not be considered employees under the MOU, although he would consider independent contractors as being covered under the category of "employee." At that time, he considered agents to be similar to informants used by law enforcement agencies. Cinquegrana also notes that OIPR "only knew what the Agency told us" regarding the status and duties of agents and assets.

100. Gary Chase, Chief of OGC's Administrative Law and Management Support Division from 1986 to 1989, says the term "contract employee" is a term of art and did not include an asset and probably did not include an independent contractor.

101. **View of CIA Requirements Under the 1982 MOU to Report Narcotics Violations by Non-employees.** OGC attorneys involved in the MOU negotiations--Makowka, his deputy, and Cohen--agree that the Smith letter did not--in the case of non-employees--have the effect of adding narcotics violations to the list of reportable crimes under the MOU.

102. Prior to the 1982 MOU, Makowka states, CIA could report potential violations to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) or DEA and meet its crimes reporting obligations to DoJ. Under the E.O. 12333 and the 1982 MOU, it was, however, no longer sufficient for the CIA to report crimes to DEA or FBI. Such violations would also have to be reported to DoJ, even if reported to the FBI or DEA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Makowka believes that OGC would take into account statute of limitations issues when deciding whether to report an allegation to DoJ.

103. Cohen, who was in charge of making crimes reports to DoJ in the early 1980s, has no recollection of using the statute of limitations to avoid reporting a matter to DoJ. His view of erring on the side of caution was also the view of General Counsel Stanley Sporkin that, when in doubt, refer the matter to DoJ. Even though narcotics violations by non-employees were not covered by the MOU, Cohen states he would report a matter because not to do so might come back to haunt the Agency. On the other hand, he says that reporting of a matter really made no difference because DoJ never acted on the information.

104. Gary Chase, responsible for CIA's crimes reports to DoJ between 1986 and 1989, states that he is not familiar with the February 11, 1982 Smith letter and had not seen the 1982 letter prior to 1997. For him, the 1982 MOU was the definitive document that established CIA's responsibilities. Chase states that the 1982 MOU imposed no obligation on CIA to report narcotics violations by non-employees to DoJ.

105. Cinquegrana states that he would have expected OGC to report narcotics violations by non-employees and not to look for reasons not to refer a matter. He also believes that CIA has no authority to make statute of limitation determinations because such responsibility lies with DoJ.

106. **Summation.** Between August 15, 1979 and March 2, 1982, CIA was required by the April 15, 1979 Attorney General's guidelines under E.O. 12036 and HN 7-39 to report to DoJ any narcotics trafficking allegations relating to individuals, assets, or independent contractors who were associated with the Contras because assets and independent contractors were considered "employees" for crimes reporting purposes.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

107. As of March 2, 1982, the terms of the 1982 CIA-DoJ Crimes Reporting MOU under E.O. 12333 no longer required that CIA report to DoJ narcotics trafficking allegations regarding individuals, assets, or independent contractors associated with the Contras because assets and independent contractors were not considered "employees" for crimes reporting purposes.

108. The February 11, 1982 letter from Attorney General Smith to DCI Casey that accompanied the CIA-DoJ Crimes Reporting MOU, did not create an additional requirement that CIA report to DoJ narcotics trafficking allegations regarding individuals, assets, or independent contractors associated with the Contras. However, Section IV. D. of the 1982 CIA-DoJ Crimes Reporting MOU gave OGC discretion to report any offense to DoJ in addition to those crimes specified in the MOU, including narcotics trafficking allegations regarding individuals, assets, or independent contractors associated with the Contras.

109. The April 25, 1984 CIA-DEA MOU and its August 28, 1978 predecessor defined and established procedures for the conduct, coordination and sharing of strategic narcotics intelligence information between CIA and DEA abroad.

110. In August 1995, the 1982 CIA-DoJ Crimes Reporting MOU was revised. Under that revised MOU, assets and independent contractors are considered "employees" for crimes reporting purposes, and narcotics violations are included among the list of "non-employee" crimes that must be reported to DoJ. The 1995 revision of the DoJ-CIA MOU specifically includes narcotics violations among the lists of potential offenses by non-employees that must be reported to DoJ.

111. **Maintenance of Relationships with Persons Suspected of Involvement in Drug Trafficking.** The Department of Defense and Military Construction Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1987, which authorized $100 million for Agency support to the Contras, included a prohibition on the provision of any assistance to any group that, among other things, retained in its ranks any individual

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"who has been found to engage in . . . drug smuggling . . . ." See Public Law 99-500, October 18, 1986, Section 204(b)(2). This prohibition was made known to CIA personnel in three Latin America Division Stations in March 1987. In January 1988, personnel in those Stations and three other Latin American Division Stations were informed of the prohibition.

WHAT POLICIES AND GUIDELINES GOVERNED CIA'S CONTACTS DURING THE CONTRA PROGRAM WITH PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS ALLEGED TO BE INVOLVED IN DRUG TRAFFICKING?

112. CIA's DO developed a draft DO Handbook in December 1980 that included a section that focused on restrictions and prohibitions concerning contacts with individuals who might be involved in narcotics trafficking. The instructions were not applicable to the Contra-related individuals or independent contractors discussed in Volume II, however, since none of those individuals or independent contractors were involved in the collection of narcotics intelligence. A summary of the 86-page draft DO Handbook was sent to all DO field stations in July 1982 and stated that the draft had been approved by the DCI and represented Agency policy. The DO Handbook was not formally issued until January 1996, however, more than 15 years later.

113. Headquarters sent a cable on December 14, 1981 to all DO Stations and Bases notifying Agency personnel that President Reagan had signed E.O. 12333 on December 4, 1981, thereby superseding E.O. 12036. The main discussion in the cable concerned "the conduct of intelligence activities involving U.S. persons." In that context, the cable included reference to the E.O.'s authority for CIA to collect, retain and disseminate "information obtained in the course of a lawful . . . international narcotics . . . investigation."

114. On June 26, 1982, Headquarters sent a cable to all DO Stations and Bases noting that Attorney General Smith had approved a variety of procedures implementing E.O. 12333 and governing CIA activities abroad. The cable transmitted a complete set of these

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

procedures and noted that training teams would be dispatched to the field to brief personnel concerning the new procedures. Agency personnel associated with this training confirm that it took place. One officer associated with the training sessions recalls that questions regarding Agency dealings with drug traffickers were routinely raised in these training sessions in the field.

115. January 4 and April 9, 1985, Headquarters cables to Central and South American Stations outlined a training program that was to be delivered by visiting teams of CIA personnel. The cable explained that the training would cover, among other things, the topics of "accomplishing goals within the parameters of the law and sensitivity to legal and political considerations." In a section of the cable addressing reporting of crimes, the cable noted that "**reporting of narcotics violations is not mandatory** but [CIA] policy is to report strategic narcotics movements." (Emphasis added.) The training also was to address the interface between DEA and CIA outside the United States.

116. On April 9, 1987, Acting DCI (ADCI) Robert Gates sent a memorandum to Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) Clair George concerning air flights to Central America. In this memorandum, Gates addressed the standards for dealing with air crew members who were operating as contractors or subcontractors for the Agency. Paragraph two of the memorandum stated:

> . . . .
> It is absolutely imperative that this Agency and our operations in Central America avoid any kind of involvement with individuals or companies that are even suspected of involvement in narcotics trafficking. This must be true not only of those with whom we contract, but also their subcontractors. I believe it is essential that we obtain the names of all air crew personnel who have had any association with Agency contractors or subcontractors and vet those names through DEA, Customs, and the FBI--even though this is likely to be an onerous and occasionally inconvenient undertaking--and perhaps even hamper operations at times.
> . . . .

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

117. While several former senior Agency officials recall its substance, no information has been found to indicate that this memorandum, in its entirety, was disseminated to anyone at CIA Headquarters other than DDO George. With one isolated exception, no information has been found to indicate that the text or a summary of this memorandum was cabled to Agency field personnel who were involved in the Contra program. Nonetheless, the content of the memorandum was apparently widely known. For example, then-Central America Task Force (CATF) Chief Alan Fiers and CATF legal advisor Louis Dupart state that they were well aware of the ADCI's memo and interpreted it to apply broadly. A July 1987 exchange of cables between Headquarters and a Central American Station, while not citing the memorandum, did cite Gates' prohibition against using suspected drug traffickers.

118. A March 6, 1987 Headquarters cable concerning Department of State (DoS) actions regarding Adolfo Chamorro described the statutory provision barring assistance to a group with members who were found to be involved in drug trafficking:

> Section 204(8) [*sic*] of the Military Construction Appropriations Act of FY-87 which authorizes aid to the Nicaraguan Resistance forbids the provision of any aid to an organization which retains in its ranks any individual who has been found to engage in drug smuggling.

119. On January 21, 1988, Headquarters sent a cable to Central American Stations summarizing congressionally-imposed restrictions on the Contra program. The cable urged that it be read by all field personnel and included the statement:

> . . . .
> No assistance . . . may be provided to any group that retains in its ranks any individual who has been found to engage in . . . drug smuggling . . . . As [addressees] are aware, some individuals within the [Contra] resistance have been excluded from further participation due to their past and well documented contact with drug smuggling or drug smugglers. [Addressees] are reminded that should evidence of involvement of drug use or smuggling

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

come to their attention, they should report it to [Headquarters] and aggressively follow up.

. . . .

WHAT DO CIA HEADQUARTERS AND FIELD PERSONNEL RECALL REGARDING ALLEGED DRUG TRAFFICKING BY THE CONTRAS?

120. A large number of CIA personnel and other individuals acting on behalf of CIA were involved in implementing the activities to support the Contras. The following are the views of individuals concerning--from a Headquarters or field perspective--what they observed, what they did or what they thought they were supposed to do in connection with allegations of narcotics trafficking by the Contras. Those commenting range from an Acting DCI, DDOs, Chiefs of CATF, and COSs, who dealt with substantial strategic and management issues, to an independent contractor operations officer who lived with the Contras in their military camps.

121. **The Headquarters Environment**. Headquarters personnel assigned to the CATF during the 1980s indicate that CATF perceived itself as a group of dedicated officers who had one overriding priority: to oust the Sandinista Government. This task was, in their view, complicated by the actions taken by Executive Branch officials, intense scrutiny from Congress and the media, changing congressional restrictions, and independent activities undertaken through the auspices of the National Security Council (NSC). CATF personnel say it was understood that congressional restrictions had to be honored to preserve the program and the Agency's integrity. At the same time, they were determined that the various difficulties they encountered not be allowed to prevent effective implementation of the Contra program.

122. Senior Agency and CATF managers indicate that they were aware of restrictions regarding Agency dealings with persons or organizations known to be involved in, or suspected of, drug trafficking. Further, these officers recall being aware that, if a crime were discovered, it had to be reported to Headquarters.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

123. Robert Gates, who served as Deputy Director of Central Intelligence (DDCI) from April 1986 to January 1987 and May 1987 to March 1989 and ADCI from January to May 1987, says that it was his position that CIA had to determine whether the Contras were involved in drug trafficking. It was "a matter of self preservation," not only for the Contra program, but for the Agency. In general, Gates says that the Agency had an obligation to terminate its relationship with any asset who was suspected by U.S. law enforcement agencies to be engaged in drug trafficking. Furthermore, Gates states that the Agency had an obligation to determine whether its assets had past or present involvement in drug trafficking. Gates says that allegations of drug trafficking had to be checked out.

124. Gates states that the intent of his April 1987 memorandum to DDO George was to instruct the DO not to have anything to do with known or suspected drug traffickers.[11] Gates believes that the policy from his office concerning narcotics trafficking was clear and consistent.

125. John McMahon, who served as DDO from 1978 to 1981, DDI from 1981-1982, Executive Director in 1982, and DDCI from 1982 to 1986, recalls that CIA was obligated to report individuals who were suspected of narcotics trafficking. As DDCI, McMahon says that any criminal violation, including narcotics, had to be reported to the DoJ. Agency relationships with assets associated with the Contra effort who were suspected of drug trafficking should have been terminated and the information reported to DEA, McMahon says. The Agency had an obligation to determine whether individuals or organizations with which it became involved were engaged in drug trafficking. It was not enough just to terminate a relationship when narcotics trafficking was suspected, states McMahon.

126. John Stein, who was the Associate Deputy Director for Operations (ADDO) from 1978 to 1981, DDO from July 1981 to July

[11] That memorandum is discussed in paragraph 116.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1984, and Inspector General from 1984 to 1985, recalls that specific laws governed reporting of possible criminal activity. Stein says that Station officers and managers were supposed to report on narcotics matters and that "narco-trafficking had to be reported in all conditions." Stein says it would then be up to the DDO to decide how, but not whether, the information should be disseminated. "[Dissemination] is the only wise thing to do bureaucratically," states Stein.

127. Former CATF Chief Fiers stated in his written response to CIA/OIG questions that the April 1987 Gates memorandum prohibiting use of suspected drug traffickers was ". . . seen as a clear, direct instruction. It was understood to apply broadly, but it was equally understood that the area of concern was the logistics chain--also known as the Contra supply network. The memo was taken seriously."

128. Louis Dupart, CATF's legal advisor from mid-1985 to mid-1988, says that documents such as the 1982 CIA-DoJ MOU regarding crimes reporting served as guidelines, but CATF took a "common sense approach" on the issue of crimes reporting. According to Dupart, directives are written for those who do not exercise good judgment, those "who operate too close to the edge." Dupart states that criminal activity would have been reported by CATF to the OGC lawyer who served as principal legal advisor to the DDO and the information would then have been referred to DoJ or the FBI.

129. According to Dupart, CATF did not want anything to do with "tainted people," so it would not have to explain later why it dealt with such people. He points out that, beginning in the fall of 1986, the Iran-Contra scandal had broken and "we knew we could not deal with anyone who was tainted. Everyone was looking for drug involvement by the Contras: Congress, law enforcement, the media, everyone." Dupart says that, although the April 1987 Gates memorandum to DDO George was adhered to, the memorandum had little real impact because it merely reflected previously established CATF policy.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

130. An officer who served as Chief of CATF/Nicaraguan Operations Group (NOG) from 1985 to 1986 and as CATF Deputy Chief from 1986 to July 1987, recalls that CATF management regarded drug trafficking as a "peril" to the Contra program because of the persons with whom CATF had to deal. However, he says that information relating to drug trafficking was not considered a collection or operational priority *per se*.

131. An officer who served in the CATF from 1984 to 1985 as Executive Officer and the first NOG Chief recalls that there was "no time to pursue drug-related leads or information" due to the "press of business." He recalls that he just tried to stay ahead of the cable traffic and Bill Casey's desire to be more creative.

132. An officer who was assigned to CATF in late 1987 and was CATF Chief from 1989 to 1991, notes that the top priorities were implementation of the Contra programs as well as foreign intelligence collection. As he recalls, Headquarters expected the Stations to report any information they acquired concerning the possible involvement in drug trafficking of individuals or organizations affiliated with the Contras or the Agency's Contra program. However, he says there was no requirement at the time to seek out such information systematically and aggressively.

133. This officer states that the narcotics issue was a target of opportunity. He observes that all of the Central American Stations were seeking information that would link the Sandinistas to drug trafficking. The goal was to diminish the image of the Sandinistas.

134. An officer who was LA Division Chief from 1986 to 1989 and CATF Chief from 1982 to 1983, stated in his written response to CIA/OIG questions:

> During the time I was C[hief]/CATF . . . , I recall there was little evidence of significant drug trafficking in the areas where the Contra forces were active (Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica) except perhaps for some involvement by the Sandinistas. Later in the decade, cocaine from South America began to move more substantially into the US through the Central American area as

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

pressure on trafficking in the Caribbean and other blue water areas increased. . . . Given the lack of credible data regarding Contra involvement in narcotics trafficking during the earlier years, however, I believe the primary focus with respect to drug trafficking was the continual monitoring required by our long-standing policy of insuring no involvement with any individuals or organizations involved in narcotics trafficking.

The only rumors or reports I recall hearing of alleged Contra involvement in drug trafficking were anecdotal remarks I heard upon returning to LA Division in . . . 1986 from CATF personnel, particularly C/CATF [Alan Fiers] (who had direct responsibility for management of the Nicaraguan and Central American programs), to the effect that there had been some credible reporting of narcotics trafficking in the Southern Front (Costa Rica) . . . .

While I cannot recall the existence of any reporting on any alleged Contra involvement in drug trafficking, I do not believe there were any requirements for special handling of such reporting nor do I recall any opposition or reluctance on the part of Agency officers to report on such topics.

The officer also recalled:

Everyone in LA Division and CATF was aware of the controversial political nature of the Nicaraguan and Central American programs, and everyone knew that special vigilance was required to ensure that there were no violations of law or policy guidelines in the implementation of the program, particularly regarding criminal activity, narcotics trafficking, human rights abuses, etc., on the part of members of the Contra movement. Further, no . . . programs ever conducted by the Agency during my tenure was [*sic*] ever run as transparently as the Central American and Nicaraguan programs. Congressional members and staffers traveled frequently throughout the area and received extensive and detailed briefings on virtually every aspect of the program. Over a period of years the staffers became intimately familiar with the Contra program, and they would have been the first to call our attention to any problems in reporting on allegations of drug trafficking by Contras or Contra-related individuals. Further, State Department officers were deeply involved in political aspects of the program and were equally attuned to the sensitivities involved.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> The 1987 funding resolution requiring a funds cutoff to any organization involved in drug trafficking had no special impact other than to reinforce a policy that was already in effect to eschew any contact with groups or persons credibly suspected of involvement in drug trafficking. I believe our principal reaction to the resolution was to re-emphasize the importance of remaining vigilant to this danger.
>
> The Gates memo in April 1987, insofar as it referred to drug trafficking, repeated and reinforced a policy already in effect. As I recall, the memo was written in the aftermath of a problem involving an Air Branch proprietary or contractor and US Customs.

135. The officer who was LA Division Deputy Chief from 1980 to 1981 and LA Division Chief from 1984 to 1986, recalls that narcotics allegations regarding assets would be reported and the relationship with the asset would be terminated. "Handling assets with narcotics allegations in Central America [was] a no-no," he recalls. He says "Narcotics was a large issue with Latin America Division. What was not large was Contra involvement with narcotics."

136. An officer, who served as NOG Chief from 1986 to 1988, says that "the general thing about people who would cause trouble was not to deal with them." However, there needed to be a basis for suspicion and a threshold, i.e., "suspected by whom and on the basis of what."

137. The OGC attorney who succeeded Dupart in 1987 as the CATF legal officer states that the Agency decision regarding whether to use an individual who was subject to a drug trafficking allegation depended on the strength of the allegation and the reliability of the source.

138. Despite this general understanding of Agency policy regarding drug allegations, CATF managers' recollections of the impact of the April 1987 Gates memorandum prohibiting the use of contractors or subcontractors who were involved in CIA air operations and were even suspected of drug trafficking indicate no specific implementation of that policy. The former NOG Chief, for

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

example, does not recall anything specific about the April 1987 Gates memorandum, but recalls that it was around that time that CATF began to use more restrictive criteria for recruiting and maintaining relationships with individuals associated with the Contra program. He states that the instructions left no room for interpretation and that it was clear that CIA had to terminate its relationship with individuals who were suspected of drug trafficking. He notes that such decisions would have been made by CATF Chief Fiers in almost all cases.

139. One of the former Deputy Chiefs of CATF does not recall any specific discussion in CATF about the April 1987 Gates memorandum, nor does he recall a change in policy or more restrictive vetting criteria for assets and contractors. Two branch chiefs who served in CATF from 1986 to 1988 and 1987 to 1991, respectively, also do not recall any specific discussions about the Gates memorandum. One of the former Chiefs of CATF says he recalls the Gates memorandum and also that Agency relationships with some pilots may have been terminated as a result. He believes that the policy of vetting contractors and subcontractors was strictly adhered to.

140. The Chief of CATF's Special Activities Branch from 1986 to 1988 recalls Fiers discussing the Gates memorandum and that the general thrust of the discussion was that CATF could not deal with any subcontractors or purchase any aircraft that had previously been implicated in drug trafficking. He says that Fiers did not express displeasure with these guidelines during the discussion, and that Fiers said the Agency had to be totally clean with regard to individuals and aircraft. He indicates, however, that the Gates memorandum had little practical impact because CATF "already had [relationships with] the FDN pilots," meaning that new pilots were not needed.

141. **Procedures for Vetting Contractors and Others.** The April 1987 Gates memorandum included a requirement that contractors and subcontractors be vetted through DEA and Customs as well as the FBI. On March 31, 1988, CATF sent a memorandum to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

then-DDCI Gates regarding use to support the Contra program of pilots and companies that may have been involved in drug trafficking. The memorandum, among other things, set forth CATF's approval criteria for individuals and companies that were involved in transporting equipment for the Contra program and indicated that, per Gates' instructions of "a year ago [that] the Agency has been extremely careful to properly vet all pilots, mechanics, and companies . . .," and explains that if "some derogatory information is found or alleged, but the various agencies do not believe it would be a problem for the U.S. Government to have a contract with the individual or company, a special approval is required which is signed by the chief of the division."

142. A former Chief of CATF does not recall that a focused, "across-the-board" policy for vetting Contras with respect to drug trafficking was ever established. He states that, if there had been information supporting drug trafficking allegations against an individual, CIA would have "pulled out all the stops" to collect more information about the allegations. He recalls that there was a well established policy in CATF to vet Contra pilots to ensure that they were not linked to drug trafficking. He says, "The Agency has been extremely careful in properly vetting all pilots, mechanics, and companies."

143. The former Deputy Chief of CATF says that, if someone had a background in narcotics or there were allegations of narcotics activities, the information was "checked out." He says that the narcotics problem was particularly difficult to deal with when it came to the leasing of aircraft. He observes that it was hard to find a plane without a drug record and most DC-6s had been placed on watch lists by DEA.

144. The Chief of CATF's Special Activities Branch from 1986 to 1988 was responsible for vetting air crews and other support personnel. He says that he does not recall any specific guidelines regarding the use of pilots who were known or suspected drug traffickers. In fact, he recalls that the whole policy was "bizarre" because the vetting process was focused on ensuring that the aircraft

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

that were being used had no prior history of involvement in drug trafficking. He recalls that there was great sensitivity to making sure the aircraft were "clean" so as not to run afoul of the congressional oversight committees and that it was as if the planes, not the individuals, were the narcotics traffickers.

145. The former NOG Chief says he does not recall the specific criteria for terminating a relationship with an individual who was alleged to be involved in drug trafficking. In his view, CATF was obligated to consider all derogatory information to be accurate. Back then, according to him, derogatory information from DEA or Customs, even if not substantiated, would have been enough to cause termination.

146. **The Field Environment.** Managers and officers who were assigned to Central America during the 1980s recall that the overriding priority task of their Stations and Bases was to support the Contras. In the field, CIA sought to develop and support military forces that could successfully engage the Sandinista Army. This effort, along with the maintenance of relationships with the Contra leaders, dominated Station and Base efforts and resource allocations. Recollections are mixed regarding the extent to which drug trafficking allegations became known and were reported.

147. A Central American Station's officers, who were responsible for handling Contra paramilitary activities in the 1980s, recall that the Station's main priority was to support the war effort. A former Deputy Chief of Station (DCOS) and Acting COS, says that "the Station was focused 99 percent on the [Contra] war effort" and that the "focus was always on the program." A Station officer states, "The focus was to get the job done, get the support and win the war." Another officer assigned to this Station adds that "the primary mission at [the] Station was supporting the Contras [and two other missions]." Another officer who was assigned to the Station in the mid-1980s says, "There was a war going on. The primary mission for seven years was fighting the Sandinistas." A paramilitary officer assigned to the Station in the mid-1980s recalls that his "only job was to train [Contras] in camps."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

148. Most Station officers state that they would have reported to their supervisors or Headquarters for appropriate action any narcotics trafficking or criminal information they acquired. Most officers recall no allegations of trafficking by the Contras, although some do recall unsubstantiated rumors concerning individuals associated with Eden Pastora.

149. A Central American COS states that "narcotics was not something [Station personnel] were looking for in the 1980s, but that does not mean they would have ignored it if they had seen it." He says that his understanding of crimes reporting obligations since 1980 was that anything that looked to be criminal in nature should be reported to Headquarters.

150. The COS says he became aware of drug trafficking allegations against the Contras "fairly early" during his assignment. He says there was a group of "ne'er-do-well" people surrounding Eden Pastora who had histories that included criminal activity. He continues that "there was a range of derogatory information that may have included narcotics activities. Early traces revealed these folks should be treated carefully. Some were scoundrels." He indicates that the Headquarters reaction to derogatory information concerning Pastora's associates has to be considered in the context of DCI William Casey's overriding political objectives. As the COS explains:

> . . . yes, there is derogatory stuff and we would be careful in terms of counterintelligence and operational security, but we were going to play with these guys. That was made clear by Casey and [then-LA Division Chief Duane] Clarridge.

The COS says he is fairly certain that there was never any large infusion of drug money to the Contras because they "never hit the jackpot" in a way that would have indicated drug money or a substantial contribution.

151. A Central American Station DCOS recalls that he "did not know anyone with drug connections."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

152. Another Central American Station DCOS states that he has "no knowledge of any Contras who were alleged to be involved in narcotics trafficking." He adds that, "if narcotics trafficking had been conducted by the Nicaraguan Contras, Agency officers would have found out." He emphasizes that Station officers "would have jumped out of their skin had allegations of trafficking into the U.S. been made."

153. An officer who served as an Acting COS states that he did not recall any enunciation of a specific reporting policy regarding narcotics trafficking, noting that "if there was a crime, it was reported."

154. An officer who served as DCOS, recalls that "counternarcotics was dealt with within the context of the Contra Program; when it came across the Station's screen it was reported, but otherwise it was not a factor."

155. An operations officer assigned to a Central American Station recalls that "it went without saying that if one came into a situation involving a serious criminal allegation, it would be raised with Headquarters and made a matter of record." He also says that he did not at any time believe that Pastora or anyone associated with the Contras was involved in drug trafficking.

156. An officer assigned to a Central American Station states that information on aircraft and personnel--including Contras--possibly involving in drug trafficking was reported to Headquarters and the DEA office in 1984-85. He recalls that the "CIA policy on drug-related information was [to] report the matter to CIA Headquarters, develop the information, run traces where possible and that CIA Headquarters was supposed to forward the information to the DEA." Another Station officer says, "Narcotics was just not on the radar screen at the time and [the country where he was assigned] was not a big transshipment point." He adds, however, that standard worldwide DO practice was to report any criminal activity to the COS who would then be responsible for forwarding the information to Headquarters.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

157. An officer assigned to a Central American Station recalls that she "never heard any rumors of drug trafficking" by the Contras. Another officer assigned to the Station recalls no allegation of trafficking by the Contras. He adds that "Contras may have been doing things we weren't aware of and we always didn't know what they were doing," but he didn't believe they were involved in narcotics.

158. The former Acting COS says that he does not recall the procedures for vetting assets and contractors, but that "it was not normal to check automatically with law enforcement agencies."

159. One Station officer recalls that, in effect, there was not much vetting of Nicaraguan assets. The officer recalls that she was not aware that any drug trafficking was taking place.

160. An independent contractor operations officer, who was assigned to train and support the Contras in their camps, recalls that he never saw anything to indicate drug trafficking on the part of the Contras with whom he dealt. He says that in every place he served in connection with the Contra program he had access to everything about the Contras. Although there were a few individuals who used marijuana personally, he says he never saw anything that suggested drug trafficking.

161. The independent contractor operations officer recalls that he was never tasked by the CIA officers with whom he dealt to determine whether there was any narcotics trafficking in the Contra camps. However, the officer also says that he believes that the allegations of narcotics trafficking by the Contras were "just something someone made up to cover up something else." He states that it was too evident that the Contras were getting money and help during the U.S. funding hiatus from somewhere and that narcotics trafficking allegations stemmed from efforts to explain the source of the support. In this light, he notes that Contra logistical personnel with whom he worked speculated that the flights that were sponsored by the U.S. private benefactors to support the Contras,

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

must have been funded from the profits of narcotics trafficking. The independent contractor operations officer says that the Contra logistical personnel, noting that the Contras continued to receive food, medicine, ammunition and other aid during the U.S. Government cut-off of funds, "probably made the assumption that narcotics was paying for this."

162. The independent contractor says he believes that these suspicions were unfounded. He describes the Contra logistics personnel suspicions as "just comments" and says:

> . . . it was an ideal situation to send drugs from [Central America] to the United States, but the Americans were too professional and had no reason to do so. Narcotics trafficking allegations were just rumors. If there was narcotics trafficking, it was probably from Nicaragua to the United States conducted by the Medellin cartel.

163. An officer, who was a Central American COS and later Deputy Chief of LA Division in the late 1980s, says that Honduras was not an attractive location for drug traffickers during this time period. A war was going on, it was a poor country, there were large numbers of U.S. military forces at Palmerola Air Base and elsewhere, there was a large U.S. radar system in operation that tracked aircraft throughout the region, and Airborne Warning and Control System aircraft operated in the area. Additionally, he recalls that the Contras controlled few, if any, airfields in Honduras. The geography of the country also was not conducive to drug trafficking by air. He notes that, for the most part, the land resembled a crumpled sheet of paper with few flat spots for landing strips. He says that, in his opinion, Guatemala, southern Mexico, or the Yucatan Peninsula were more desirable transshipment and refueling points for drug traffickers than Honduras.

164. He says he recalls reports that members of Eden Pastora's Southern Front organization may have engaged in drug trafficking activities and that Pastora may have later made admissions to that fact. There were also rumors that Mario Calero, the brother of Contra Northern Front leader Adolfo Calero, may have been involved in drug trafficking. He notes:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> The rumors that Mario Calero may have been involved with drug trafficking while running an [aircraft] from Louisiana were not believed to be true and no credible reporting on any such activity was ever received.

He says it was his understanding that the U.S. Customs Service and possibly the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) inspected all Contra-sponsored flights into and out of the United States to ensure there was no contraband, such as narcotics and weapons, on board.

165. He notes that Adolfo Calero and Enrique Bermudez had modest homes in Miami during this time period. In Honduras, they lived even more modestly. He says he once visited Bermudez' home in Miami and was struck by the fact that Bermudez' wife had set up a hair salon in their home as a means of producing income. He comments that they certainly did not live as if they had access to large amounts of drug money.

166. He says that, if CIA or other U.S. Government organizations operating in Honduras had acquired information indicating that the Contras were engaged in narcotics trafficking, it would have--or should have--been disseminated in intelligence reports. He makes clear that CIA was not alone in its intelligence collection and reporting efforts in Honduras and that large amounts of intelligence were collected by other U.S. Government agencies. Reports of Contra drug trafficking, he says, would probably have been a topic of discussion at the Interagency Working Group that was run by DoS official Elliott Abrams. For example, according to him, there may have been discussions at the Interagency Working Group concerning a Contra who was caught by the Contras growing a patch of "pot." The offender, as he recalls, was court-martialed by the Contras.

167. An officer who was a Central American COS in the late 1980s and LA Division Chief from 1989 to 1993, recalls in his written

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

response to OIG questions that a case involving Juan Rivas, a.k.a. "Quiche," was:

> . . . the only instance [he] can remember of a member of the [Contra's] Northern Front being tied to narcotics trafficking. [Northern Front leader Enrique] Bermudez himself . . . had never been accused to [his] recollection of carrying out or tolerating trafficking or traffickers. [He] recall[s] no sign that the Northern Front received money from traffickers. In fact they owed lots of money to the Hondurans for food during periods when we could not support them.

168. An officer who served as a Central American Acting DCOS in the mid-1980s does not remember the provision in the FY87 $100 million funding legislation for the Contras directing that no funds could be provided to organizations whose members engaged in drug trafficking. Further, he does not recall receiving any special briefing regarding this condition for the funding. He observes, however, that such a condition would have been closely adhered to since such programs were very strict about compliance issues.

169. A Station operations officer in the mid-1980s says he does not recall any rumors of Contra involvement in drug trafficking during his tour. Another Station officer says that there is "no way" the allegations contained in the *San Jose Mercury News* can be true.

170. A logistics officer assigned to Central America in the mid-1980s says he once heard a rumor that the Contras had included marijuana in an air drop of supplies to troops in Nicaragua, but says he heard nothing more about the allegation. He says that it was his impression that the Contras were "military/ideological people rather than a criminal element." He observes that, from a logistical point of view, Contra operations were not conducive to drug trafficking. The material all came from "the North to the South." He does not recall any cargo going "from the South to the North" and believes the media allegations "sounded preposterous."

171. An operations officer says that he never heard anything about drug trafficking and never saw any evidence of drug

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

trafficking. In fact, he recalls that the Contra camps did not even have alcohol available and no drinking was allowed. A Station staff officer says that she does not recall hearing anything about drug trafficking in connection with the Contras at that time.

172. An operations officer says that he obtained no information and heard no rumors during his tour about Contras engaging in drug trafficking. Noting that he had been a law enforcement officer prior to joining CIA, he says he saw no sign of drugs, "not even one marijuana cigarette," during his assignment. An officer assigned to Central America says that he was unaware of any Contra being involved in drug trafficking. The officer who served an Acting DCOS also says that he does not remember hearing any rumors or obtaining any information during his tour that linked the Contras in the country where he was assigned with drug trafficking.

173. A Station operations officer says that he did not hear any rumors of drug trafficking by Contra members. However, he vaguely remembers hearing about the lack of security at one of the air bases and how easy it would have been to move drugs in and out of the base. However, he says he cannot recall the name of the base.

174. A Station operations officer says that any information regarding drug trafficking by Contra leaders or any other asset would have been passed to Headquarters. He also states that he is unaware of any suppression by his supervisor or colleagues of information concerning Contra drug trafficking.

175. A Station operations officer recalls that CIA personnel serving in the country "clearly understood we were to have nothing to do with anyone involved in narcotics trafficking and to my knowledge no one ever did." He says that any drug trafficking information would have been handled in regular intelligence reporting channels. He says he recalls no management resistance at all to processing any reporting on drug trafficking and adds, "If someone attempted to hide such information, I would report them."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

176. Finally, a Station operations officer says he does not believe that information regarding drug trafficking was ever suppressed by his colleagues or supervisors.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

CONTRA ORGANIZATIONS

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING CONTRA ORGANIZATIONS? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES?

15th of September Legion--Justiniano Perez/Manuel Porro/Juan Francisco Rivera/Hugo Villagra/Fernando Brautigan/Felix Alcides Espinoza/Edwin Hoocker

177. **Background.** The military arm of the Nicaraguan Revolutionary Democratic Alliance (ADREN) was known as the 15th of September Legion. It was formed in 1980 and its principal leaders were Enrique Bermudez and Justiniano Perez Sala. Other leaders included Guillermo Mendieta Chaves, Alcides Espinoza, Ricardo "Chino" Lau, Manuel Porro, Manuel Villalobo, and Hugo Villagra.

178. In May 1981, a Central American Station reported that the ADREN, Nicaraguan Democratic Union (UDN) and MISURASATA had agreed in principle to combine forces in a new organization. They would continue to use the name 15th of September Legion for the organization's military arm. The new organization, the Nicaraguan Democratic Force (FDN), was established in September 1981. The FDN General Staff included Enrique Bermudez, Justiniano Perez, Ricardo Lau, and Juan Francisco Rivera. The merger of the UDN and the ADREN, including its 15th of September Legion, into the FDN was completed in early 1982. Former ADREN leader Guillermo Mendieta Chaves was excluded from the new organization because he was suspected of being a Sandinista spy.

179. The 15th of September Legion included a unit called the Special Secret Operations Command (OES). The Coordinator of the OES was Justiniano Perez. Other members included

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Fernando Brautigan, Alcides Espinoza, Edwin Hoocker, Ricardo Lau, and Gerardo Martinez Gutierrez. The unit was organized to increase ADREN's operational capabilities both within and outside Nicaragua.

180. The ADREN to some extent engaged in kidnapping, extortion and robbery to fund its operations. A June 1981 Central American Station draft field intelligence report stated that ADREN leaders "see themselves as being forced to stoop to criminal activities in order to feed and clothe their cadre." The ADREN also engaged in the bombing of Nicaraguan civilian airliners and airliner hijackings as methods of attacking the Sandinista Government. The Station reporting from June 1981 through March 1982 identified the following 15th of September Legion members as having been involved in criminal activities: Brautigan, Hoocker, Lau, Martinez, Perez, Porro, Rivera, and Villagra.

181. A September 1981 cable to Headquarters (discussed in more detail later in this section) indicated that ADREN had decided to engage in drug trafficking to the United States to raise funds for its activities. ADREN members Alan Downs and Edwin Hoocker reportedly had been involved in an initial delivery of drugs to Miami in July 1981.

182. The leader of the ADREN/OES, Justiniano Perez, resigned from the FDN in November 1981. Perez wrote a resignation letter in which he stated that he was leaving because of internal dissension and mistrust within the FDN leadership. A June 1982 cable to Headquarters reported that Enrique Bermudez, Chief of the FDN General Staff, had stated that the OES was involved in armed assaults and thefts to collect funds. According to Bermudez, Perez disclaimed responsibility but admitted he had lost control of the group.

183. According to a March 1982 Headquarters cable, the FDN had ceased using the name "15th of September Legion" by early 1982. The name had become associated with a small splinter group led by Perez, Porro, Rivera, and Villagra. Its personnel were principally

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

former members of the ADREN/OES. The group reportedly continued to conduct criminal activities to support its operations against the Government of National Reconstruction (GRN) and identified itself as the 15th of September Legion.

184. ***Justiniano Perez Sala.*** In June 1982, Headquarters requested an assessment as to whether Perez "could be influenced to employ tactics other than those used by terrorists," if he were to be re-integrated into the FDN. In November 1982, with the support of MISURASATA leader Stedman Fagoth Mueller and the concurrence of the FDN, Perez re-joined the Nicaraguan Resistance (RN) as the Military Advisor to the MISURASATA.

185. A January 1984 cable reported that "Perez is the only person in Honduras and in the entire FDN with the leadership, charisma, and military tactical ability to make the movement go forward in the manner CIA would like." However, beginning in December 1983, two Stations reported that Perez became involved in a disinformation scheme, along with Francisco Rivera, Hugo Villagra and a Cuban-American U.S. citizen that was directed against the political and military leadership of the FDN. In May 1984, Perez withdrew from active service with the MISURASATA, returned to Miami, and had become associated with a dissident Nicaraguan exile group led by Hugo Villagra and the Cuban-American citizen that eventually became known as the Nicaraguan Coalition of Opposition to the Regime (CONDOR).

186. ***Manuel Porro Rubiales.*** A June 1982 cable identified Manuel Porro as a member of the FDN General Staff support unit. He was identified as an instructor at the FDN NCO School in an October 1982 cable. A September 1986 cable discussed Adolfo Calero's hiring of Porro as an assistant. A September 1987 cable indicated that Porro also reportedly handled Adolfo Calero's funding transactions between Miami and San Jose, Costa Rica, banks.

187. ***Juan Francisco Rivera Aguirre.*** In a May 1982 cable to Headquarters, Rivera was identified as FDN Chief of Logistics. A February 1983 cable reported that an FDN investigation had found

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Rivera guilty of misappropriating funds. According to a March 1983 cable, Rivera had contacted Carol Prado and indicated that he would leave the FDN and travel to Miami. In May 1983, a Station reported that Rivera was alleging that the FDN was "coming apart" due to internal conflicts, cliques and lack of control by CIA.

188. A June 1983 cable indicated that Rivera moved to Miami where he became one of the leaders of the dissident Nicaraguan exile group that eventually became known as the CONDOR group. According to a December 1984 Headquarters report, Rivera was active, along with Perez, Villagra and the Cuban-American citizen, in a disinformation campaign that attempted to ferment distrust between the Honduran military leadership and the FDN in Honduras. The CONDOR group's ultimate goal was to supplant the FDN leadership with its own members.

189. ***Hugo Villagra Gutierrez.*** A November 1982 cable identified Hugo Villagra as the FDN Chief of Operations. In August 1983, he was appointed as the Tactical Field Commander of FDN Forces in Nicaragua.

190. A December 1983 cable reported that Villagra had resigned from the FDN, claiming that he was not being supported by the FDN political and military leadership. Villagra moved to Miami and, according to a June 1984 Headquarters cable, became one of the leaders of the dissident Nicaraguan exile group that eventually became known as the CONDOR group.

191. ***Other 15th of September Personalities:***
Fernando Brautigan. No information has been found to indicate that Brautigan joined the FDN after the demise of the 15th of September Legion in 1982. However, an April 1983 Central American Station cable to Headquarters concurred in his appointment as a Military Advisor to Emery Hudson's Miskito Resistance organization in Costa Rica as requested by Miskito leader Norman Campbell. Brautigan was identified as a member of the dissident Nicaraguan exile CONDOR group in a May 1986 cable to Headquarters.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

192. ***Felix Alcides Espinoza Rodriguez.*** According to a June 1983 cable to Headquarters, Alcides Espinoza was FDN Commander of Sagitario Base in June 1982. A November 1984 cable indicated that Espinoza was senior Military Adviser to MISURA.

193. ***Edwin Hoocker Coe.*** No record has been found to indicate that Hoocker joined the FDN after the demise of the 15th of September Legion in 1982. However, an April 1983 Central American Station cable to Headquarters concurred in his appointment as a Military Adviser to Emery Hudson's Miskito Resistance organization in Costa Rica as requested by Miskito leader Norman Campbell. A June 1984 FBI name trace request to CIA indicated that Hoocker had recently immigrated from Nicaragua and had taken up residence in Texas.

194. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In September 1981, a report to Headquarters relaying information obtained from an asset stated that the ADREN leadership had made a decision to engage in drug smuggling to the United States in order to finance its anti-Sandinista operations. Reportedly an initial trial run had taken place in July 1981 when ADREN member Alan Downs carried drugs in a suitcase on a flight to Miami. Once the drugs were delivered and paid for, Downs reportedly turned over the proceeds to Edwin Hoocker in Miami. No other information concerning Downs has been found. Reportedly the drugs belonged to an unidentified Honduran who was a native of the Bay Islands and who operated out of San Pedro Sula.

195. A May 1982 cable from the FBI to CIA stated that reportedly "Justiniano Perez is a close friend of 'Paisa' (nickname) who is a Drug Trafficker." According to the cable, Perez told Paisa that "if [Perez] received financial assistance from Paisa he would make business concessions to him when and if Nicaragua were to be liberated."

196. A February 1982 Headquarters cable, in response to a name trace request, indicated that members of the splinter group of the 15th of September Legion Group who had refused to join the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

FDN were using the Legion name in conducting robberies, drug smuggling and hijacking.

197. **CIA Response To Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate any action to follow-up or corroborate the allegations concerning ADREN/15th of September Legion drug smuggling into the United States. However, the September 1981 and February 1982 information against ADREN/15th of September Legion stemmed from a single source, and in October 1982, Headquarters issued a cable indicating that the source was thought to be untrustworthy and a possible agent of the Government of Nicaragua. A January 1982 Headquarters cable noted that an Agency asset should not meet Justiniano Perez and Francisco Rivera "who represent the 'Renegade' splinter group of the 15th of September Legion."

198. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency pursued any action to follow-up or corroborate the May 1982 FBI information concerning Justiniano Perez's alleged close friendship with a reputed drug trafficker named Paisa and Perez's alleged promise to help Paisa later in return for financial assistance. No record of any individual named Paisa has been found in CIA records.

199. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** The September 1981 report that the ADREN intended to engage in drug smuggling to the United States was disseminated as an intelligence report on October 28, 1981 to the Departments of State and Treasury, FBI, U.S. Customs, Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), and NSA. The report also was disseminated to the Ambassador and DEA representative in Tegucigalpa and to USCINC South. Several intelligence reports concerning the ADREN/15th of September Legion's criminal, non-drug trafficking, activities also were disseminated to U.S. law enforcement and intelligence community organizations between June 1981 and March 1982. No information has been found that this reporting was shared with Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Central America and the Caribbean

Unclassified

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

SOUTHERN FRONT CONTRAS

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING SOUTHERN FRONT CONTRAS? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES?

The Southern Front Trafficking Reports

Agency Knowledge and Handling of Allegations of Southern Front Involvement in Drug Trafficking

200. **General Summary and Background.** In October 1984, CIA began receiving reporting that Southern Front ARDE leaders had agreed to assist a Miami-based drug trafficker in bringing narcotics into the United States. The information from this series of reports was furnished to senior officials of U.S. intelligence and law enforcement agencies.

201. **CIA Records.** In January 1984 Headquarters received information that indicated that helicopters purchased by Cuban-Americans on behalf of Eden Pastora's Contra organization--ARDE--were being held in a Miami warehouse owned by a businessman. A Miami-based Cuban-American was identified as the donor of the helicopters. In January a Headquarters cable noted that CIA had been advised by the FBI that Sarkis might be "subject to judicial [*sic*] investigation connected with alleged illegal activities." As a result, the Headquarters cable also advised that any Agency asset who was in contact with Sarkis be warned that "Sarkis may be involved in alleged drug trafficking."

202. In May 1984, Headquarters received a cable regarding Carol Prado, a senior ARDE official. The cable noted that there was "little to add at this time to what has already been reported [concerning] Prado's involvement in illegal drug and gun activities."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

The cable noted that the Department of the Treasury, the U.S. Customs Service and the FBI were "aware of the activities of this group and are watching them closely."

203. **First Report.** In October 1984, CIA received information indicating that senior ARDE officials, including several of Pastora's close associates--Adolfo Chamorro, Carol Prado and Gerardo Duran--had established a working relationship with a Miami-based drug trafficker. An October 1984 cable to Headquarters indicated that Adolfo Chamorro--Pastora's second-in-command--had just consummated a "mutual assistance agreement" with a Miami-based narcotics trafficker whose name was not known at the time of the report. The cable reporting this information to Headquarters noted that:

> [ARDE] would provide [ARDE] operational facilities in Costa Rica and Nicaragua to facilitate the transportation of narcotics, and would obtain the assistance of Costa Rican Government officials in providing documentation, in exchange for financial support, aircraft, and pilot training for the [ARDE].

Further, the cable indicated that the unnamed Miami-based drug trafficker had:

- Turned over helicopters to ARDE and made arrangements for a C-47 to be flown to El Salvador; and

- Promised to pay ARDE $200,000 per month once the narcotics operations were underway. . . .

204. In October 1984, a cable asked Headquarters for permission to share this information with the local Department of Treasury office. The cable noted that Treasury had an ongoing investigation of suspected arms smuggling by ARDE elements in the Miami area, and that the Department had previously said that ARDE representatives "were in contact with [a Miami-based Cuban-American]. . . who is suspected of trafficking in narcotics." No information has been found to indicate a Headquarters response to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

this cable. However the information was disseminated by Headquarters to a senior officer in the Department of Treasury and other senior U.S. Government, intelligence, and law enforcement officials in Washington shortly thereafter.

205. **October 1984 Sensitive Memorandum Dissemination.** In October 1984, Headquarters disseminated a Sensitive Memorandum based upon the information that had been provided in mid-October. All the information was disseminated, except that a general reference to El Salvador as the destination for the C-47 flight was substituted for the specific reference to Ilopango Air Base.

206. A "Headquarters Comment" was included in the disseminated Sensitive Memorandum that indicated it was not known "whether Pastora himself was aware of the narcotics angle of the agreement." An additional Headquarters Comment pointed out that confirmation had been received that the ARDE had recently acquired two helicopters and a DC-3 transport plane.

207. The Sensitive Memorandum was disseminated to 13 senior U.S. Government, intelligence, and law enforcement officials by position title. Within CIA, this Sensitive Memorandum was also disseminated to senior officials.

208. **Second Report.** An October 1984 cable to Headquarters reported that the name of the Miami-based drug trafficker with whom ARDE officials were dealing was Jorge Morales. The cable restated the terms of the mutual assistance agreement that had been reported in mid-October and added the following details:

- On October 31, Gerardo Duran, an ARDE pilot who was flying on Morales' behalf, was scheduled to fly from Miami to the Bahamas.

- Morales and Adolfo Chamorro were in the process of setting up "bank accounts in Miami through which to funnel the monthly payments to the ARDE once the working

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> relationship between Morales and the ARDE is in full operation."

No mention was made of Pastora in this report, except to identify him as the head of the ARDE.

209. ***November 5, 1984 Sensitive Memorandum Dissemination.*** On November 3, 1984, a Headquarters cable stated that the information provided on October 31 was being prepared for limited dissemination. Further, the cable advised that Headquarters intended to discuss with DoJ during the week of November 5 how to proceed regarding the handling of the source of the information--presumably in light of the information the source had provided regarding alleged narcotics trafficking. The cable advised that no direct action was to be taken with regard to Pastora. The Headquarters cable noted that:

> Given the volume and the detail of the evidence we have received, it is difficult to believe that an operation of this magnitude could be conducted within the [ARDE] without [Pastora's] approval. We have been fastidious about insuring that all information is passed to appropriate agencies on a timely basis and we must avoid at all costs an accusation that [CIA] condoned narcotics trafficking by [ARDE].

210. On November 5, 1984, the information provided on October 31 was disseminated in Sensitive Memorandum format to 16 senior U.S. Government, intelligence, and law enforcement officials by position title.

211. **CIA Report to DoJ.** On November 7, 1984, CIA General Counsel Stanley Sporkin attached a cover memorandum to the October Sensitive Memorandum and forwarded it to DCI Casey. Sporkin's memorandum indicated that the information had already been shared with appropriate officials in the U.S. Government, but stated that he intended to have OGC directly contact DoJ Criminal Division Deputy Assistant Attorney General Mark Richard in order to protect "the public as well as the Agency's interests." On November 19, 1984, according to a January 15, 1985 OGC

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

memorandum, an OGC representative orally briefed the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division regarding the information.

212. A November 26, 1984 OGC memorandum for the record (MFR) indicated that OGC and DO officers had met with DoJ, FBI and DEA representatives on November 9 and November 19 to discuss the substance and implications of the information that had been disseminated in October and November. According to the MFR, DEA reported at the November 19 meeting that Jorge Morales was awaiting trial in Miami, along with 13 other defendants, on federal charges of engaging in a Continuous Criminal Enterprise. It was agreed at that meeting, stated the MFR, that DEA would brief an Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) in Miami about the information and that the AUSA would be asked, in turn, to discuss the matter with the Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. Further, the MFR stated that the CIA representatives had agreed to make the source of the information available to be debriefed by DEA, the FBI and the AUSA.

213. **Third Report.** According to a November 1984 cable to Headquarters, Pastora, Adolfo Chamorro and Roberto Chamorro were scheduled to travel to Miami on that same day and that two ARDE pilots--including Gerardo Duran--had already arrived in Miami. The purpose of this travel was for Pastora and the two Chamorros to meet Morales. Reportedly the pilots were probably going to undertake a narcotics-related flight on behalf of Morales. The report also indicated:

- Adolfo Chamorro had established a bank account in Miami and that, to date, Morales had transferred approximately $30,000 to the ARDE.

- Morales appeared to be attempting to relocate his operations from the United States to Central America and the Bahamas.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- Morales had indicated that he occasionally met with Fidel Castro in Cuba.

According to a December 1984 cable to Headquarters, Pastora and his associates had arrived in Miami and were staying at the home of a Miami-based Cuban-American. Further, Pastora was scheduled to meet with Morales.

214. **December 1984 Sensitive Memorandum Dissemination.** In December 1984, the information reported in November was disseminated in Sensitive Memorandum format to 13 senior United States Government, intelligence, and law enforcement officials by position title.

215. A December 1984 OGC MFR by Assistant General Counsel Betty Ann Smith indicated that OGC and DO officers had met on December 6, 1984 with representatives of DEA and the United States Attorney's Office in Miami and briefed them regarding the information that had been provided in November. Further, according to the OGC MFR, the source of the information had been debriefed by a DEA agent during this same meeting.

216. According to a December 1984 cable from Headquarters, CIA and DEA agreed during the December 1984 meeting that the source would report on any further ARDE/FRS narcotics trafficking. It was also agreed that subsequent information would be shared by CIA with the DEA and the Department of Justice.

217. According to a December 1984 cable, Pastora had met with Morales, Sarkis and a Miami-based Cuban-American. Reportedly Pastora said that the meeting with Morales had not gone well. Pastora "did not like Morales' pressuring him to immediately meet [Pastora's] end of their arrangement, which is providing pilots and operational facilities in Costa Rica for Morales' drug operations." No information has been found to indicate whether this information was shared with U.S. law enforcement agencies or disseminated outside the DO.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Eden Pastora

218. **Background.** Eden Pastora Gomez, whose "war name" was Commandante Zero, joined the Sandinistas in the early 1970s to seek the overthrow of Somoza. Especially popular after he stormed Somoza's National Palace in 1978, he was nonetheless excluded in 1979 from the Sandinista National Liberation Front's (FSLN's) nine-man Directorate and given relatively minor positions in the post-Somoza Sandinista Government. These setbacks displeased Pastora, and he also claimed to be dismayed by the leftward turn of the Sandinista regime. In 1981 Pastora broke with the Sandinistas, and he went into self-imposed exile in Costa Rica shortly thereafter.

219. Pastora formed the FRS in early 1982 and allied his group with several other Contra organizations to form the Costa Rican-based ARDE in September 1982. Pastora led ARDE's military struggle against the FSLN until July 1984, when the organization's leadership replaced him. An ARDE spokesman attributed Pastora's replacement to injuries received in the May 1984 bomb attack against him at La Penca, but Pastora's leadership had also been undermined by his refusal to join forces with leaders of the Northern Front. Pastora left ARDE in 1986 and withdrew from the military effort.

220. Between early 1982 and mid-1984, Pastora was the main recipient of the funds CIA channeled to Contras fighting on the Southern Front. However, the funding allocated by Congress for the Contras had been expended by August 1984, and CIA was forced to cease its material support. More comprehensive congressional restrictions on the Agency's ability to support the Contras took effect in October 1984 and remained in place until December 1985.

221. The cutoff of U.S. funding led associates of Pastora to begin looking for alternative sources of funds. In October 1984, CIA began receiving the reporting mentioned earlier that Southern Front leaders allied with Pastora had agreed to help Miami-based trafficker Jorge Morales bring drugs into the United States in exchange for his

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

material and financial help to the Southern Front. A subsequent October Headquarters cable instructed those dealing with Pastora:

> . . . not to take definitive action to declare the relationship with [Pastora] terminated. Rather, we want to back away from the man leaving him guessing as to the status of his relationship with [CIA]. . . . We do not want to initiate contact with him under any circumstances, unless it is done for the purpose of manipulating him towards some objective clearly consistent with [U.S.] policy in the region.

222. The Agency's relationship with Pastora was one of its most significant with a Contra leader. While the drug trafficking allegations were a factor in the decision to terminate that relationship, the October 1984 Headquarters cable indicated that the Agency was responding to other factors as well. CIA also judged that the advantages of dealing with Pastora were outweighed by the poor performance of his Southern Front fighting forces, by counterintelligence issues arising from his contacts with the Sandinistas in Managua, and by operational restrictions imposed by Congress.

223. In November 1984, Headquarters instructed that "no direct action is to be taken with [Pastora]. Ideally, you will be able to avoid him altogether." A November reply stated that only four meetings with Pastora had occurred since July 1984 and that the last of these was on October 18. At the last meeting, it had reportedly been made clear that CIA could no longer provide any support, direct or indirect, to Pastora's organization.

224. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An October 1982 cable to Headquarters reported that INS had received information indicating that a meeting of Contra members was to be held in Costa Rica to discuss an exchange in the U.S. of arms for narcotics. A November 1982 cable identified Pastora as one of those who would be attending.

225. CIA began receiving reporting in October 1984 indicating that associates of Pastora in ARDE had agreed to work with known narcotics trafficker Jorge Morales. That same month Harold

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Martinez Saenz--a former deputy FRS commander--said that he could no longer support ARDE due to Pastora's ineffective leadership. Martinez had also stated that he did not want to become involved in drug and arms smuggling activities and corrupt handling of money, thus inferring that Pastora and his staff were involved in those activities.

226. Regarding the arrangement allegedly worked out with Morales by Pastora's FRS associates in 1984, Adolfo Chamorro says that Pastora was not aware of Morales' drug trafficking activities until after the meetings in October 1984 and after Pastora himself had met with Morales in December 1984. Cables in 1985 indicate that Pastora "temporarily discontinued" the arrangement with Morales in early January 1985 when he realized the potential political fallout from dealing with narcotics traffickers. Pastora says that he ordered that the planes donated by Morales be returned when he learned that Morales was a drug trafficker.

227. In April 1985, according to a Headquarters cable, the text of a February Sandinista radio broadcast from Managua alleged that Pastora and his associates were completing construction of three landing strips in the Guanacaste area of Costa Rica for light aircraft to be used for drug trafficking. The drug trafficking was being undertaken, the radio broadcast said, to substitute for the financing that was no longer available in the wake of a Congressional cutoff of Contra funding.

228. An April 1985 cable to Headquarters reported that an employee of Alpa Airlines had said that the company was concealing cocaine in yucca shipments destined for the United States. The cable reported that two of the five persons reported to be owners of Alpa were Gerardo Duran and David Mayorga.[12] Duran had already been

[12] Agency cables from 1984 to 1986 portray David Mayorga Mairena as an active supporter of the FRS and ARDE. However, he was being referred to by February 1988 as a well-known drug trafficker and FSLN supporter who was brokering deals to sell Italian oil to the Government of Nicaragua. Mayorga was part owner and manager of the Costa Rica-based Alpa Airlines air freight company and related import and export businesses that were allegedly involved with weapons and drug smuggling activities. Mayorga was directly tied to drug trafficking by a DEA report stating that 414 pounds of cocaine hidden in a refrigerated container of produce from Costa

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

identified as a close associate of Pastora. In addition, one of the planes allegedly used by Alpa Airlines was reported to belong to Pastora and ARDE.

229. A December 1985 Headquarters cable stated that Adolfo Chamorro had told a Southern Opposition Bloc (BOS) member that a Panamanian, Cesar Rodriguez, was gathering drug money for Pastora. Rodriguez was identified in this cable as a narcotics trafficker who had business ties to Panamanian leader Manuel Noriega.

230. A January 1986 cable reported to Headquarters that a Costa Rican associate of Pastora reportedly said that he had 200 kilograms of cocaine he wished to use in helping to finance Pastora's Contra activities.

231. In June 1986 and July-August 1987, CIA was told of a trip to Panama by Jose Davila, Carol Prado and Pastora. During the trip, Pastora reportedly had accepted $10,000 from Cesar Rodriguez, who was described as a narcotics trafficker from Colombia.

232. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** CIA terminated its relationship with Pastora in October 1984, within two weeks of receiving the first reporting about ARDE's drug-related dealings with Morales. While other factors were involved, the drug trafficking allegations weighed in the decision.

233. A February 1986 cable requested an inter-Agency review of the information implicating David Mayorga in narcotics trafficking because he was one of Pastora's closest advisors. The same cable noted that this information "needs to be made available to those still

---

Rica were seized in Miami on January 23, 1986. The container's owner reportedly told the Costa Rican Ministry of Security that the container had been leased to Mayorga. Mayorga was identified as vice president of an export company, Fruveticos, that had been under investigation by the DEA. The president of Fruveticos was Jorge Zarcovic, another co-owner of the Alpa Airlines air freight service. Agency Headquarters later noted that the cargo was addressed to Ocean Hunter of Miami, owned by Francisco Chanes Rodriguez.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

bent on seeing that [Pastora] is given . . . funding." No information has been found to indicate that such a review took place.

234. On March 1986, a Station asked Headquarters for specific instructions regarding what role Pastora was to play in the Contra unification agreement. The Station outlined the drug allegations against Pastora's associates in the cable and stated that:

> . . . .
> in COS' view, a political or other kind of accommodation with [Pastora] in which [the Agency] plays a known mediating role places [the Agency] in an untenable and unjustifiable position for which, in COS' view, there can be no reasonable or acceptable explanation.
>
> . . . .
> We will work through one united command structure, built around the one which is currently in place. We [w]ill not work through the existing FRS structure because, simply put, it is too badly penetrated by Sandinistas and too many of the players have been associated with narcotics smuggling. We will be willing to incorporate members from the FRS structure into t[h]e unified structure, but only after they have been given a thorough security screening. . . .
> . . . .

235. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained earlier, the reporting tying Pastora and senior members of his group to drug smuggling operations into the United States was disseminated by CIA to a broad range of senior USG intelligence and law enforcement officials.

236. OCA files indicate that the Agency forwarded to Steven Berry, Associate Counsel of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI), on January 29, 1985, a response to a question regarding Pastora's possible consummation of a working arrangement with Colombian drug dealers. The Agency response noted that all relevant details had been reported in the program summaries to HPSCI. The response added that:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> To summarize, . . . intelligence reporting indicates that members of Pastora's organization (FRS) have agreed--either with Pastora's direct knowledge or tacit approval--to provide pilots and landing strips inside Costa Rica and Nicaragua to a Miami-based Colombian drug dealer in exchange for financial and material support. Information pertaining to Pastora's involvement in drug trafficking has been forwarded to the appropriate Enforcement Agencies. [*sic*]

237. On August 1, 1986, CATF legal officer Louis Dupart forwarded to CATF Chief Fiers, LA Division Chief and LA Division Deputy Chief a MFR for a meeting with HPSCI Staffer Mike O'Neil held on July 9, 1986 in CATF Chief's office at O'Neil's request to discuss another topic. The memorandum stated that, in response to other questions from O'Neil, Chief/CATF said that Pastora had voluntarily renounced his role as a resistance leader.

238. On April 25, 1986, Headquarters authorized the sharing with DEA of documents that described the October 1984 agreement between ARDE officials and Morales. DEA reportedly planned to use the documents as background information prior to debriefing Adolfo Chamorro in Miami.

239. In July 1987, a Station reported to Headquarters that, unless advised otherwise, the Station intended to provide the local DEA office with a message from Octaviano Cesar. The message indicated that Marcos Aguado wanted to contact the CIA to provide specific information that tied Eden Pastora to "past drug trafficking."

240. On July 31, 1987, CATF Chief Alan Fiers testified to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) concerning the allegations that Morales had made in testimony at the Kerry Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee (SFRC) regarding Contra involvement in narcotics trafficking. Fiers discussed what CIA knew about drug trafficking allegations concerning Pastora and a number of former FRS/ARDE members. Fiers stated that the Agency did not have knowledge that Pastora was directly involved in the Morales narcotics deal, but also said:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> We have a significant body of evidence with regard to involvement of the former members of ARDE in the Southern Front--Pastora's people being directly involved in cocaine trafficking to the United States . . . .

241. In addition, according to SSCI transcripts, Fiers used one of his biweekly meetings with the SSCI to share information with that Committee regarding allegations that Southern Front personnel were involved in narcotics trafficking. On October 14, 1987, Fiers stated to the SSCI regarding Pastora's plans to return to Nicaragua:

> We frankly don't very much care what [Pastora] does right now. We don't think it would be a terrible problem for us. You must always remember that the Sandinistas know what we know. This guy is a cocaine runner. Period. He ran cocaine. And they know that and we know that and they don't want him back. He's a hot potato for anybody.

242. A January 4, 1988 MFR drafted by Robert Buckman, OCA, indicated that CATF provided a summary briefing on the Nicaraguan program for SSCI on the same date. At that briefing, Senator Bill Bradley inquired about allegations of drug trafficking, and Fiers responded that "Pastora had been involved with a Colombian trafficker, but the FDN was clean."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Adolfo Jose Chamorro

243. **Background.** Adolfo Jose Chamorro Cesar, also known as "Popo," is a Nicaraguan citizen currently residing in Managua. He had U.S. Permanent Resident Alien (PRA) status from 1983 until 1990, when he became the Nicaraguan Consul General in Miami. He is the nephew of Violetta Chamorro, the first elected president of Nicaragua after the Sandinista regime, and the uncle of Roberto "Tito" Chamorro, another Contra figure.

244. Adolfo Chamorro fought in the revolution to overthrow Somoza. Following Somoza's ouster in 1979, he served as an official of the FSLN. Chamorro's tenure as a government minister was short-lived, however, due to his arrest in 1981 in connection with a counter-revolutionary plot against the Sandinista Government. He then went into exile in Costa Rica. There he joined forces with Eden Pastora, his former FSLN commander, and the anti-Sandinista organization ARDE. In June 1983, Chamorro became the chief of military intelligence for ARDE.

245. In the summer of 1984, Eden Pastora left the ARDE and reorganized the FRS. Chamorro followed Pastora and became the FRS Deputy Military Commander. In October, Chamorro traveled to Miami to raise funds to support the FRS/ARDE coalition. In October 1984, Chamorro's name was linked with possible drug trafficking. On July 26, 1985, Chamorro broke with Pastora and the FRS and aligned himself with the newly formed BOS.

246. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The Southern Front trafficking reports that began to be received in October 1984 stated that Adolfo Chamorro had been instrumental in making the arrangement for drug trafficker Jorge Morales to supply monetary support and aircraft in exchange for the use of FRS pilots. Chamorro reportedly set up a bank account in Miami through which money from Morales could be transferred to FRS/ARDE.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

247. The reporting indicated that Chamorro and Morales had met again on October 30 to discuss their concerns about who within the Contras might have informed CIA about one of the aircraft that Morales had provided. Another meeting between Chamorro and Morales was reportedly planned for late November, this time to include Pastora. Chamorro says he was present at that meeting and that no conditions were attached to Morales' offer of support to the Contra cause.

248. In January 1986 cables noted that Chamorro had a relationship with Gerardo Duran, an FRS pilot who was arrested in Costa Rica for smuggling cocaine. Although no direct connection could be made between Duran's smuggling activities and Chamorro, the relationship between the two men had been noted with interest by a Central American Station and the local DEA office.

249. In October 1990, after the Contra war had concluded, the *Miami Herald* and *El Nuevo Herald* carried front-page articles charging that Chamorro, who was then serving as the Nicaraguan Consul General in Miami, had trafficked in narcotics from 1984 to 1986. The article stated that a Colombian pilot had testified during the trial of a Medellin drug lord that he had flown arms to Contra forces in Central America and cocaine shipments to Florida and that Chamorro was part of this arms/drugs network.

250. Chamorro characterizes his meetings with Morales in late 1984 as appropriate since he was the director of logistics for FRS/ARDE. He maintains that the purpose of the meetings was to discuss support to FRS/ARDE and that neither he nor anyone in FRS/ARDE knew at that time of any drug trafficking allegations against Morales. Chamorro states that FRS/ARDE contact with Morales was terminated when the drug allegations became known. Chamorro says that none of the members of FRS/ARDE were involved in drug trafficking and they never knowingly accepted drug money. While Chamorro admits to having met Duran on several occasions, he states that he was not aware of any agreement between Duran and Morales. He explains, however, that Duran may have made his own deal with Morales to ship drugs.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

251. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** By September 1986, Chamorro was one of the five BOS directorate officers. CIA was no longer opposing BOS and was providing support. A September 1986 cable to Headquarters had noted a suggestion made to BOS leader Alfredo Cesar that Chamorro should be interviewed by CIA Security because of his alleged involvement with drug trafficking. In January 1987, Headquarters instructed that it was to be emphasized to Cesar that U.S. Government funds could not be used to support Chamorro until the allegations against him were resolved.

252. Chamorro thereafter agreed to be interviewed by CIA Security. Based on the results of that interview, CIA Security was led to believe it was highly probable that Chamorro was involved in drug trafficking. A February 1987 cable reported that BOS had accepted Chamorro's resignation and removed him from the BOS payroll.

253. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained earlier, the 1984 Southern Front trafficking reporting was disseminated by CIA to a broad range of senior U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement officials. The reporting noted that Chamorro had reached an agreement with a Miami-based drug trafficker to provide FRS facilities to transport narcotics in exchange for financial support, aircraft and pilot training, named the narcotics trafficker with whom Chamorro had struck the deal as Jorge Morales, and stated that another meeting was planned between Morales, Chamorro and Pastora.

254. In January 1986, Chamorro was scheduled to travel to Washington, D.C., as part of a BOS delegation lobbying for support for the Contra movement. CIA Headquarters stated in a January 1986 cable that it was "attempting to highlight [Chamorro's] known involvement in drug activities to convince appropriate parties to forego meetings with BOS in [Washington]." On January 22, 1986, Acting DCI John McMahon sent letters to the Chairmen of the SSCI and HPSCI informing them that Chamorro would be visiting

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

members of Congress during that week. McMahon wrote, "While I would not normally comment on visitors to Congress, I believe it essential that I provide you with some highly derogatory information on Chamorro . . . . Our information indicates that Chamorro . . . has been involved in drug smuggling to the United States." The letter went on to detail Chamorro's association with Jorge Morales. In addition, it gave information about other contacts Chamorro had with suspected drug traffickers and offered a briefing concerning Chamorro's activities.

255. On January 24, 1986, a Central American Station informed Headquarters that it had discussed Chamorro several times with local DEA officers. The January 8, 1986 arrest of FRS pilot Gerardo Duran on drug charges in Costa Rica, explained the cable, made Chamorro's connection with Duran highly suspect. The Station stated that it had informed DEA of its interest in what Duran might have to say about that relationship when DEA questioned him after his release, which was "expected momentarily due to lack of Costa Rican willingness to prosecute."

256. On January 6, 1986, the SSCI requested Agency comments regarding a December 27, 1985 article in *The Washington Post* alleging a link between the Contras and drug trafficking. The information from the 1984 reporting about Chamorro and his dealings with Morales was included in CIA's January 13, 1986 reply.

257. On April 25, 1986, a Station requested that DEA officials be alerted that Chamorro was due to arrive at Miami Airport after being arrested and expelled for illegally entering Costa Rica. The Station suggested that DEA officers in Miami might want to question Chamorro about possible drug trafficking. According to an April 1986 cable to Headquarters, Chamorro had been interviewed by DEA in Miami on April 25 and named others whom he alleged to be trafficking in narcotics, but did not incriminate himself. DEA chose to maintain contact with Chamorro, but a July 1986 Headquarters cable declined CIA participation, asking only that DEA keep the Agency informed.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

258. On April 15, 1986, a Memorandum entitled "Contra Involvement in Drug Trafficking" was prepared by CIA in response to a request from then-Vice President Bush. This Memorandum, which was delivered to Bush by a CIA officer on April 15, 1986, was a summary of the 1984 Southern Front trafficking reporting concerning Chamorro's and Pastora's contacts with Jorge Morales. The CIA analyst who drafted the Memorandum says that there was no request for follow-up regarding the reporting that was summarized in the Memorandum. The analyst also says she was aware of no further mention of the Contras' involvement in drug trafficking in Agency intelligence disseminations until early 1987.

259. On January 21, 1987, ADCI Robert Gates provided Morton Abramowitz, Assistant Secretary of State for the Bureau of Intelligence and Research, with a Memorandum that had been prepared by CIA to address all allegations then known to CIA regarding alleged Contra/drug trafficking connections. The Memorandum included the information from 1984 regarding Chamorro and his connections to Morales. DoS responded on February 9, 1987 by demanding that Chamorro be removed from BOS membership, stating that "the law specifically directs that no funds are to be distributed to or through any resistance group that retains in its ranks any individual who has been found to engage in drug smuggling." CATF Chief Fiers replied to DoS, in an undated Memorandum, that CIA had taken immediate steps on learning of Chamorro's affiliation with BOS to have Chamorro removed as a member or affiliate of BOS. Fiers' Memorandum went on to say that CIA believed it was highly probable that Chamorro was involved in drug trafficking, and that all relevant information known to CIA had been shared with DEA and the FBI.

260. On March 10, 1987, CATF provided CIA's OGC with two cables from February 1987 and March 1987, concerning Chamorro's "suspicious activities." These activities reportedly included dealing in stolen electronic equipment and allegedly warning his employees to inspect all incoming packages for drugs because he thought the FBI was watching him. CATF recommended on a routing sheet attached to the cables that OGC "report this information to the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Department of Justice." A handwritten, but unsigned, note attached to the cables stated that the drug-related information was "probably reportable but does [Chamorro] have a direct role in the activity--he hasn't admitted to involvement." No information has been found to indicate how or whether this question was resolved. As explained in further detail below, this information was not reported to DoJ by OGC until January 1988.

261. On March 5, 1987, according to an OCA Memorandum for the Record written by Robert Buckman, CATF Chief Fiers briefed the SSCI on the situation in Nicaragua. Fiers told the Committee that CIA believed it was highly probable that Adolfo Chamorro was involved in drug trafficking and that BOS risked losing its U.S. aid "if it did not fully sever its ties with Chamorro."

262. On July 31, 1987, CATF Chief Fiers testified before the SSCI and stated that CIA had "unimpeachable" information that Chamorro had planned to meet Morales in November 1984.

263. On January 5, 1988, CIA General Counsel David Doherty sent a letter to William Weld, Assistant Attorney General for DoJ's Criminal Division, informing him that the Agency was forwarding information concerning Adolfo Chamorro in accordance with Section 1.7(a) of Executive Order 12333. The letter stated that Chamorro might be involved in smuggling drugs into the United States and that the Agency had information that Chamorro might have been involved in the sale of stolen electronic merchandise in Miami. The letter went on to say that "although this non-employee crime is not required to be reported, . . ." the Agency thought it sufficiently serious to share the information with DoJ. The General Counsel's letter was brought to the attention of the Iran-Contra Independent Counsel and DEA by Associate Attorney General Stephen S. Trott as an enclosure to a March 17, 1988 letter to Associate Independent Counsel Guy Struve.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Roberto Jose Chamorro

264. **Background.** Roberto "Tito" Jose Chamorro, a nephew of both former Nicaraguan President Violetta Chamorro and prominent Contra leader Adolfo Chamorro, was a Contra commander associated with the Southern Front forces. CIA records indicate that Roberto Chamorro first came to the attention of the Agency in 1984 when he was FRS Chief of Operations under Pastora's command. As of mid-1985, Chamorro reportedly was one of the FRS commanders who favored unification with other member groups in the anti-Sandinista forces. However, he reportedly believed that Pastora would have to be removed from military command for this to occur. By August 28, 1986, Chamorro had aligned himself with Alfredo Cesar's BOS organization.

265. According to a March 1, 1989 Department of Defense (DoD) cable, Roberto Chamorro "retired from the fight" in 1986 after learning that he would not be a commander in UNO.

266. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The October and November 1984 reporting indicated that Roberto Chamorro--along with Adolfo Chamorro and Eden Pastora--would be attending a late November meeting with indicted drug trafficker Jorge Morales. No information has been found to indicate that Roberto Chamorro was actually present when the meeting took place in December 1984.

267. In August 1985, Headquarters requested immediate "talking points" regarding U.S. objectives in Nicaragua. Included in the undated response were allegations of narcotics trafficking by some members of the FRS. This included Roberto Chamorro, but no details were provided to substantiate the allegation against him.

268. In April 1987, a cable informed Headquarters of information from a DoS Embassy officer who reportedly had heard from a contact that Chamorro was part of a group involved in shipping cocaine from Nicaragua via Costa Rica to the United States.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

The cable reported that it had no way to evaluate the authenticity of the information.

269. In July 1987, Jorge Morales testified before the SFRC Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations that he had met Roberto Chamorro in Costa Rica in 1984. He said he had asked Chamorro to supply him with bodyguards, but the bodyguards were never provided. When asked whether he had discussed drug trafficking while in Costa Rica, Morales replied affirmatively. However, he did not identify Roberto Chamorro as one of those with whom he reportedly had such discussions.

270. **CIA Responses to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In August 1986, Chamorro was interviewed by CIA Security. On the basis of that interview, CIA Security did not have concerns about Chamorro's possible involvement in drug trafficking. Based on subsequent security interviews in January 1987, Security continued to not have concerns about Chamorro and drug trafficking.

271. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained earlier, the 1984 Southern Front trafficking reporting included Robert Chamorro among those meeting with drug trafficker Morales. This information was disseminated by CIA to a broad range of senior U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement officials.

272. On July 31, 1987, CATF Chief Fiers testified before the SSCI that CIA had a "brief period of contact" with Roberto Chamorro, the purpose of which was "damage limitation." Fiers further stated that the Agency was aware of Chamorro's "checkered background" but opted to "take that tack [of having contact with him] to limit [Chamorro's] potential to do damage" to the unification process.

273. A July 1987 cable to Headquarters indicated that senior ARDE/FRS pilot Marcos Aguado wished to discuss alleged drug trafficking within Pastora's group because Morales had reportedly implicated Aguado and Roberto Chamorro. The Station reported

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

that it was not going to meet with Aguado due to counterintelligence concerns but had shared this information with the local DEA office.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Marcos Antonio Aguado

274. **Background.** Marcos Aguado was a Sandinista Air Force pilot (1979-1980) and then an Aeronica Airlines commercial pilot until his defection in 1983 when he joined the Contra resistance. By September 1984, he was appointed Chief of Air Operations for the FRS/ARDE and was a personal pilot to FRS/ARDE leader Eden Pastora. Aguado made numerous flights over Nicaragua to supply FRS/ARDE troops and later was named Chief of Staff of the FRS/ARDE General Staff. Aguado, according to Eden Pastora, is also Pastora's son-in-law. According to an April 1983 Headquarters cable, Aguado had been offered a job flying an aircraft for the Southern Front.

275. A December 1984 cable advised:

. . . .

> . . . [Aguado] actively assisted [two individuals], Duran and Carol Prado in disruption of ARDE/MDN flight activities, and according to [another asset] was being sent to Ilopango to destroy the Islander aircraft, circa July 84. In addition, [Aguado] assisted in the [Pastora] [sic] search for the Cessna 310 that crashed 9 August on [Hull's] property, and the search for the pilot and helicopter stolen from [Pastora]. During this period, hostile threats against [CIA], [John Hull] and the former [Southern Front] pilot were noted.
> . . . .

The cable also pointed out that Aguado had not flown any missions inside Nicaragua since September 1983. The cable also noted that Aguado "is closely associated with" Pastora, Adolfo Chamorro and Duran; "as such he may very well be actively participating in alleged narcotics activities . . . or at least aware of such activities."

276. According to a December 1984 cable to Headquarters, Aguado "has been designated by Pastora as one of his 18 commandantes, to be in charge of air operations and logistics at Ilopango."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

277. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The first indications to CIA of Aguado's possible involvement in drug trafficking were included in the October 1984 Southern Front trafficking information that:

> 1. During a mid-October 1984 visit to Miami, Florida, Sandino Revolutionary Front (FRS) official Adolfo "Popo" Chamorro, reached an agreement with an unidentified Cuban narcotics trafficker whereby the FRS will provide operational facilities in Costa Rica and Nicaragua plus assistance with Costa Rican government officials in obtaining documentation in exchange for financial support, aircraft and pilot training for the FRS. The Cuban . . . made arrangements for a C-47 to be flown from Haiti to El Salvador by FRS pilot Marco [*sic*] Antonio Aguado Arguello on 16 October 84.
>
> 2. The agreement with the Cuban also includes the training of two FRS pilots in Miami. The pilots will continue their FRS duties after the training but will also serve the traffickers by flying narcotics from South America to the FRS provided landing fields in Costa Rica and Nicaragua. From these staging areas the narcotics will be moved to the U.S.
>
> . . . .

In April 1985, a Station reported to Headquarters that Aguado had used a DC-3—the civilian designation for a C-47—to deliver supplies to FRS/ARDE forces in southern Nicaragua on March 29, 1985.

278. A January 1986 cable stated that:

> [Marcos Aguado] should have knowledge of the recent arrest of Gerardo Duran Ayanegui regarding his alleged involvement in a shipment of 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine from Jorge Morales (Colombian Mafia) to the U.S. Duran and Morales are closely associated with [Eden Pastora], [Aguado], Carol Prado, David Mayorga, . . . and others, all of whom, including [Aguado], are listed in Duran's phone book. Morales supplied [Pastora] with a C-47 aircraft and other air support; [Aguado] has piloted the C-47 for [Pastora] and is believed to maintain close contact with the above personnel.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

279. The next Agency reporting of a drug-related allegation against Aguado came in an April 1986 cable to Headquarters. According to that cable, Adolfo Chamorro "plans to denounce Carol Prado, Eden Pastora and Marco [*sic*] Aguado as being the ones involved in drug-trafficking activities. Chamorro claims to have the evidence to prove this allegation." Headquarters was informed in an April 1986 cable that DEA had debriefed Chamorro on April 25, 1986 and obtained no information concerning narcotics trafficking. According to the cable, "all Chamorro wanted to talk about were politics and war."

280. In April 1987, a Station relayed to Headquarters information provided by a U.S. Embassy officer. The Embassy officer reported being told by a contact that Aguado, along with Carol Prado, David Mayorga, Adolfo Chamorro, Gerardo Duran, and another individual "are presently involved in shipping cocaine from Nicaragua via Costa Rica to the United States."

281. In March 1988, a cable reported to Headquarters that a suspected Guatemalan drug trafficker--Reyner Veliz Cruz--had recently been traveling with Aguado and described Veliz and Aguado as "new inseparable friends." Although not specifically alleging drug trafficking, the cable reported that Veliz, with co-pilot Aguado, arrived at Ilopango air base from Guatemala in February 1988, in a twin engine aircraft. The cable also reported that the two arrived at Ilopango from Pavas in another twin engine aircraft later in February 1988. Finally, the cable reported that Veliz and Aguado arrived at Ilopango in March 1988 in the same twin engine aircraft after customs officials had departed and the airfield was supposedly closed. The same cable noted that Aguado could not obtain a U.S. visa due to his suspected links in the past with drug traffickers. However, Aguado reportedly "has bragged that he still works for CIA" and "the customs personnel at Ilopango assume that Aguado has connections with drug trafficking, as well as good contacts within the Salvadoran Air Force . . . ." In this cable, the Station stated its approval to share the information with DEA personnel in Guatemala.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

282. Enrique Miranda Jaime, a convicted drug trafficker, claims that Aguado flew weapons to Medellin, Colombia, during the 1980s and returned with cocaine that he stored at Ilopango. Miranda also alleges that Aguado was involved with Norwin Meneses and the movement of narcotics through Nicaragua. No information has been found to support Miranda's allegations.

283. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA ever attempted to develop additional independent information that would confirm or refute the allegations against Aguado. No information has been found to indicate whether CIA considered, or the reasons why they may have decided not to take, such steps.

284. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** The October 1984 reporting included information about Aguado's alleged role in moving a C-47 from Haiti to El Salvador. This information was disseminated as a sensitive memorandum to senior U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement officials.

285. According to a SSCI transcript entitled *CIA Briefing on Drug Running*, CATF Chief Alan Fiers, briefed SSCI Staff members on July 31, 1987 concerning allegations of Contra involvement in drug trafficking. The transcript shows that Fiers included information relating to Aguado in this briefing and described Aguado's alleged involvement in the October 1984 Morales-Chamorro agreement.

286. The transcript shows that Fiers also detailed for the SSCI Staff members Aguado's role in taking possession in Haiti of the C-47 aircraft provided by Morales that was later used by Aguado in March 1985 to deliver supplies to ARDE forces. In the briefing, Fiers also provided the SSCI Staff members with a summary of information concerning four or five flights by Gerardo Duran to Miami on behalf of Morales.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Gerardo Duran

287. **Background.** Gerardo Duran was a Costa Rican national who had close ties to Southern Front Contra personalities, including Eden Pastora, Carol Prado, Adolfo Chamorro, Jose Robelo, and Marcos Aguado. He served as a personal pilot for Pastora from 1984 until sometime in early 1985. He was employed as chief pilot for the Costa Rican-based aviation company, Alpa Aerolineas del Pacifico Fumigacions (Alpa Airlines), between 1985 and 1986.

288. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** CIA first received allegations of Duran's possible involvement in drug trafficking in the October 1984 Southern Front trafficking report. That report included information that Duran was scheduled to make a flight for Jorge Morales in October 1984 from Miami to the Bahamas.

289. In November 1984, a cable informed Headquarters that Duran and another pilot were in Miami. According to the cable, the presence of Duran indicated a flight to move narcotics from Colombia to the Bahamas was imminent.

290. In December 1984, a cable advised Headquarters of allegations that Duran had recently returned to Costa Rica, had access to a Cessna 404 Titan aircraft and appeared to be involved in drug trafficking.

291. A February 1985 cable to Headquarters reported a possible connection between Duran and Pedro Portu, who "has a well-known background in narcotics trafficking." In February 1985, a Station reported to Headquarters that, according to "Popo" Chamorro, Duran was aboard an FRS Baron aircraft that had crashed in the Pacific Ocean while transporting an aircraft generator from Pavas airfield in Costa Rica to Ilopango air base in El Salvador. According to the cable, Marcos Aguado "believes that Duran was on another type of mission, possibly drug related."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

292. A March 1985 cable to Headquarters reported that "both [Adolfo] Chamorro and Geraldo [*sic*] Duran have accompanied [Jorge] Morales to the Bahamas to look over his operations." The cable noted that a belief "that Duran and Quesada [*sic*] travelled to the Bahamas from Miami and made flights for Morales."

293. In March 1985, a cable noted that Duran had been suspected of making drug running flights to Miami and the Bahamas. Further, the cable stated that the "local [DEA] rep[resentative] reported that Duran is on their records as a trafficker and also for involvement in running Cubans into Mexico."

294. An April 1985 cable to Headquarters reported that Marcos Aguado had said that "Duran is suspected of being involved in drug trafficking . . . ." The cable did not, however, state why Duran was suspected of drug trafficking.

295. An April 1985 cable listed several names and noted that the majority of the reporting available to CIA concerning the listed persons, including Duran, had to do with alleged connections to narcotics trafficking. Also in April 1985, a cable to Headquarters reported that an employee of Alpa Airlines suspected that the company was transporting cocaine to the United States in yucca shipments and noting that Duran was the "chief pilot" for Alpa Airlines.

296. In May 1985, a Station sent a cable to Headquarters that summarized reporting from two sources regarding the involvement of FRS personnel in narcotics trafficking. It noted that, although there was "a lack of hard evidence," Duran was one of "the two individuals consistently named by both sources" as being involved in narcotics trafficking.

297. A May 1985 cable to Headquarters noted that, according to a contact, "Duran was on a drug flight when he ditched the Baron . . . in the Pacific [Ocean]." This was an apparent reference to the crash of an FRS-owned Baron aircraft while en route from Costa Rica

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

to Ilopango air base in El Salvador that was described in a February 1985 cable.

298. A July 1985 cable to Headquarters noted suspicions that Alpa "is being used as a front for narcotics operations," and that reportedly on June 8 David Mayorga had said that:

> ... the 150 kilograms of cocaine that were captured near Barra Del Colorado, Costa Rica . . . in a Cessna Citation . . . were destined for Frudaticos to be packed into yucca for delivery to the U.S.

The cable also reported that there were suspicions "that Sergio Sarcovik and [Carlos] Vikes arranged for the pilots of this aircraft to leave the country, probably in the Cessna 206 . . . with Gerardo Duran as the pilot."

299. In January 1986, Duran was arrested by the Costa Rican Office of Judicial Investigation (OIJ) for his alleged involvement in cocaine trafficking. His arrest was the focus of a number of cables. A January 1986 cable advised Headquarters that:

> [Marcos Aguado] should have knowledge of the recent arrest of Gerardo Duran Ananegui regarding his alleged involvement in a shipment of 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine from Jorge Morales (Colombian Mafia) to the U.S.

300. In January 1986, Headquarters sent a cable asking for a query to be made to the local DEA offices for information they might have linking Gerardo Duran, "Popo" Chamorro, Jorge Morales, and David Mayorga to narcotics trafficking. A January 1986 cable to Headquarters stated:

> . . . Gerardo Duran, who is presently in jail after witnesses put him at the scene of a 600 kilo[gram] coke deal in Guanacaste, is Costa Rican, not Nicaraguan. We have reported on his recent arrest.

301. In January 1986, Headquarters sent a cable that stated:

> Please provide whatever details are available . . . on ref[erenced] arrest of Gerardo Duran for alleged involvement in smuggling of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine to the United States. FYI: [w]e reported [Chamorro's] involvement in drug smuggling to Co[n]gress via [DCI] letter to Intelligence Committee Chairmen. Letter included reference to Duran arrest and we would appreciate details in event there is follow up inquiry from Congress.[13]

302. In January 1986, a cable to Headquarters noted obtaining from DEA a copy of the OIJ report of Duran's arrest and provided information based on that report. The cable stated that Duran was arrested on January 8, 1986 and had admitted to loading "bundles"—the cable did not specify that the bundles included narcotics—onto an aircraft at Tamarindo airport in Guanacaste Province in December 1985. The cable stated further:

> [OIJ] is still holding Duran but the decision to try him is pending. [Headquarters] will recall that [Manuel "Pillique"] Guerra and [Pastora] are quite close. In any event, [DEA] is certain they can get an indictment of Duran in Miami and they are pursuing that goal.

303. A cable to Headquarters in March 1986 stated:

> Gerardo Duran, a [Pastora] pilot who [DEA] says was introduced to major narcotics trafficker Jorge Morales by [Pastora], is known by [DEA] to have participated in the air shipment of several hundred kilo[gram]s of cocaine from Liberia (Costa Rica) airport to the West Indies for onward shipment to the U.S. Duran himself was arrested by Costa Rican authorities for in-country possession of seven kilo[gram]s of cocaine and [DEA] is pursuing that case . . . and with the U.S. attorney.

304. According to an April 1987 cable, the Costa Rican press reported that "Duran was re-arrested this last week as a suspect in having helped transport 450 kilo[gram]s of cocaine through Costa Rica." The cable did not specify the destination of the cocaine. According to the cable, Duran was "first arrested in December 1985 with the same charge, but skipped bail." The cable also noted that Costa Rican "authorities suspect Duran of having ties with a

---

[13] The letter was actually signed by Acting DCI McMahon.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

smuggling ring which has used numerous airstrips in Guanacaste Province (Costa Rica) for clandestine drug flights."

305. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In May 1985, a cable advised Headquarters that "the Station is attempting to gather solid evidence . . . to confirm alleged weapons and/or narcotics trafficking by Duran and his [Contra] associates." The cable also suggested that:

> It would be useful if future messages regarding alleged narcotics/weapons trafficking indicate approval of passage to [DEA], or whether the data is already shared with [DEA] office.

No information has been found to indicate that Headquarters responded to this suggestion.

306. In January 1986, Headquarters sent a cable asking Stations to "query local [DEA] offices for information they may have linking the following [including Duran] to involvement in narcotics trafficking." A Station responded in January 1986, saying:

> [DEA] is aware of our interest in what Duran has to say about [Eden Pastora] and [Popo Chamorro] involvement in trafficking and will question him again after he is released. His release is expected momentarily due to lack of Costa Rican willingness to prosecute. However, [DEA] plans to prosecute him under a . . . law dealing with international trafficking; they think they can make the case stick, whereas [the Costa Ricans do] not.

A January 1986 response from another Station stated:

> [DEA] records indicate that Gerardo Albert [*sic*] Duran Ayaneque, [*sic*] . . . was arrested for cocaine smuggling in January 1986. He had previously been suspected of smuggling drugs via aircraft in September 1985 . . . . Additional information on Duran is available to [DEA]/Miami case agent. If desired, please advise.

No information has been found to indicate any response by Headquarters to the cable.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

307. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained earlier, the 1984-85 reporting that included information about Duran's alleged participation in the Morales-ARDE narcotics trafficking discussions was disseminated to a broad range of senior U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement officials.

308. A January 24, 1986 letter from ADCI John McMahon notified the SSCI and HPSCI that CIA had information concerning Adolfo Chamorro's involvement in narcotics smuggling. The letter reported Duran's arrest in Costa Rica for his alleged involvement "in a shipment of 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine from [Jorge] Morales to the U.S." This letter was followed by a Headquarters cable requesting:

> . . . whatever details are available to Station on ref[erenced] arrest of Gerardo Duran for alleged involvement in smuggling of 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine to the United States. FYI: [w]e reported [Popo Chamorro's] involvement in drug smuggling to . . . Intelligence Committee Chairmen. Letter included reference to Duran arrest and we would appreciate details in event there is follow up inquiry from Congress.

As mentioned earlier, the Station responded in January 1986 with a cable providing Headquarters with details regarding Duran's arrest.

309. An April 1986 CATF cable included detailed information concerning the 1984 arrangements between Chamorro and Morales. The cable also detailed Duran's 1986 arrest in Costa Rica as background material for an interview of Chamorro and authorized sharing the information with the local DEA office.

310. On January 21, 1987, ADCI Robert Gates forwarded to Ambassador Morton Abramowitz, Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research, a memorandum that discussed allegations in CIA's possession regarding connections between drug traffickers and members of the Contras. This memorandum included Duran's connection with Morales, as well as his arrest in January 1986 "by Costa Rican authorities for his alleged involvement in transporting 600 kilo[gram]s of cocaine to the United States for Jorge Morales."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

311. On November 2, 1988, DEA sent a request to CIA for any information in CIA's possession concerning four people, one of whom was Duran. On December 14, 1988, CIA responded that it had no relevant information that had not been provided previously to DEA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Alfonso Robelo

312. **Background.** Alfonso Robelo was active in Nicaraguan politics for over 30 years. He was an original member of a five-person ruling junta of the Sandinista Government, a Southern Front Contra political leader and later Ambassador to Costa Rica during the presidency of Violetta Chamorro. Robelo's opposition to the Sandinistas crystallized in mid-1980 when he resigned his position on the Sandinista Council of State to protest the Council's expansion and addition of FSLN members. By early 1982, Robelo--along with Eden Pastora and Brooklyn Rivera--formed ARDE.

313. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An October 1984 cable to Headquarters reported that a Sandinista newspaper, *El Nuevo Diaro*, had stated on October 10, 1984 that Robelo and ARDE had accepted help from an unidentified drug trafficker in Miami. The article also said that two FRS/ARDE helicopters had been painted with a black substance to make them invisible to radar.

314. In June 1987, CIA learned that Robelo had been contacted by two Bolivians--Enrique Crespou and Fernando Perou--who had offered to make a "significant" monetary contribution to the Contras. Robelo said that they offered $150 million to the Contras with "no strings attached." Robelo said that the Bolivians were evasive in their answers about the origins of the funds. Robelo was advised not to accept any money from the Bolivians until its origins could be determined.

315. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any actions to follow up on the 1984 Sandinista newspaper allegation that Robelo and ARDE were involved in dealings with a drug trafficker.

316. In October 1988, a cable reported to Headquarters that Perou and Crespou had been accused during a press conference by Roberto Suarez Levy, son of imprisoned cocaine "king" Roberto

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Suarez Gomez, of being CIA agents. Suarez Levy also alleged that CIA and DEA were operating a cocaine lab in "Huanchaca," Bolivia. A Headquarters response stated that the only relevant information it had regarding Perou and Crespo was that they had met with Robelo in June 1987 and offered him $150 million for the Contras.

317. Robelo says he does not recall the meeting with the Bolivians or their reported offer of $150 million. He does not deny that the meeting may have taken place, but states that he participated in approximately 10 situations when people offered to donate large sums of money to the Contras but did not do so.

318. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA informed U.S. law enforcement or other agencies or the Congress about the 1984 Sandinista newspaper allegation. CIA informed Congress about the alleged offer of $150 million from the Bolivians in 1997 in the context of another matter.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Jose Salvador Robelo

319. **Background.** Jose Salvador Robelo Ortiz was a major figure in the Sandinista Party, serving first as an insurgent and later as a Sandinista Government official. By 1981, he devoted his full attention to Nicaraguan Democratic Movement (MDN) activities in San Jose with his cousin, Alfonso Robelo. His brother was Silvio Robelo, who was imprisoned by the GRN. *Circa* 1983, Jose Robelo became the Air Operations Coordinator within ARDE. He was later put in charge of maritime operations. Robelo gained a reputation for being disruptive and was considered to be of dubious reputation by the FDN. In September 1985, he was suspended indefinitely as Chief of UNO/Nicaraguan Revolutionary Armed Force (FARN) operations as a result of an internal investigation that held Robelo fully responsible for ordering the torture and execution of an alleged Popular Sandinista Army collaborator in August 1985. Although it is unclear when, Robelo later became active with the Southern Front again.

320. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The first allegations of Robelo's involvement in drug trafficking were received by CIA in December 1984 when he was identified as a continuing associate of Jaime Ibarra Pasos, a.k.a. Pachelli. Pachelli was reportedly a known drug dealer in San Jose who trafficked approximately two kilograms of cocaine each month within Costa Rica. Pachelli was reportedly a close associate of Sebastian Gonzalez.

321. Also in December 1984, a CIA contact said that Gerardo Duran, a part-time FRS pilot, had recently returned to Costa Rica and had access to a Cessna 404. According to the contact, Duran reportedly had flown missions for Pastora and Robelo and might be involved in drug trafficking activities.

322. In April 1985, a cable reported that Robelo was associated with David Mayorga and another individual. Mayorga and the other individual reportedly were involved in drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

323. In May 1985, a cable provided Headquarters with a summary overview of involvement of FRS personnel in narcotics trafficking. According to that overview, an ARDE Islander aircraft had made several trips to Miami and one to the Dominican Republic carrying Adolfo "Popo" Chamorro, who was implicated in drug trafficking. This aircraft reportedly was under the control of Robelo at the time. There was no indication of involvement by FRS personnel.

324. The April 17, 1989 edition of the Nicaraguan newspaper *La Republica* included a story that international agencies had published statements, based on information from the SFRC, that Robelo was involved in drug trafficking. The accusations of his involvement were based on comments made to the SFRC by Robert Owen. In the story, Robelo reportedly denied participation in any drug-related activities and criticized Owen because his statements were unfair and Robelo could not defend himself. Robelo also reportedly emphasized that he always adhered to the laws and would be willing to answer any questions in order to prove his innocence.

325. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A January 1987 cable to Headquarters noted that Robelo had been alleged historically to be involved in narcotics trafficking and that the Station had successfully obtained Robelo's severance from all Contra elements. A September 7 cable to Headquarters describing Robelo noted that "in the past [Robelo] has been accused of possible involvement in narcotics trafficking to support the Nicaraguan resistance military efforts."

326. **Sharing of Information with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that any of the information available to CIA regarding Robelo's alleged involvement in drug trafficking was shared with other U.S. Government agencies or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Octaviano Cesar

327. **Background.** During the 1980s, Octaviano Cesar, brother of BOS leader Alfredo Cesar, played a role in the Southern Front. Agency officers met occasionally with Cesar--usually in the United States--to gather information and to help promote unity among the Southern Front groups. These infrequent meetings ended after Cesar was interviewed by CIA Security in April 1987. Based on this interview, CIA believed it was highly probable that Cesar was involved in drug trafficking.

328. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The October 1984 Southern Front trafficking reporting noted that Miami-based drug trafficker Jorge Morales had a relationship with Octaviano Cesar and that Cesar had unsuccessfully sought to sell Morales blank Nicaraguan passports for $5,000 each. A second report claiming that Cesar had a relationship with Morales was received by Headquarters in January 1985 when Morales reportedly had described Cesar as a close friend.

329. On April 6, 1987, the CBS television program *West 57th Street* related allegations by Morales that Octaviano Cesar was his link to high levels of the U.S. Government regarding drug and arms smuggling. Further, the program reported that Cesar had accompanied Morales and Adolfo Chamorro on a trip to the Bahamas in late 1984, with Cesar and Chamorro agreeing to Morales' request that they carry checks for large sums of money through U.S. Customs on their return.

330. An April 1988 cable notified Headquarters that Octaviano Cesar had been arrested by Costa Rican authorities. The charges were described as credit payment default to a local business. There was a suggestion made that the arrest was part of a harassment campaign by Costa Rican authorities due to Cesar's alleged ties to drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

331. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** As mentioned earlier, CIA disseminated the October 1984 information regarding Octaviano Cesar's links to Morales as a sensitive memorandum. Cesar was forced by CIA to resign from BOS, although he continued for some time thereafter to be involved in the group's affairs. In April 1987 CIA received a letter from Octaviano Cesar in which he denied the accusations by Morales and put himself at the disposal of the U.S. Government to proceed with any investigation that would clear his name.

332. In April 1987, Octaviano Cesar was interviewed by CIA Security. Cesar was asked about his past association with Morales and allegations of drug trafficking. He reportedly stated that he had first been introduced to Morales around 1984 by Adolfo Chamorro's former wife, Marta Healy, and that he had been involved in additional meetings concerning Morales' offer of aircraft to the Southern Front forces. Regarding the 1984 trip to the Bahamas, Cesar said that the purpose was to test the flying skills of Marcos Aguado, and that he did not know of any other specific purpose until the return flight when Morales asked Cesar and Chamorro to claim when clearing U.S. Customs that several checks were theirs. Cesar reported that he had suspected that Morales was involved with drug money, but that his desire to help the Southern Front drove him to work with Morales. Cesar reportedly denied ever using or taking any money from Morales, except reimbursement for travel expenses. Cesar reportedly also said that Marta Healy had contacted him in late 1986 with a request from Morales that Cesar testify in the United States that Morales' drug trafficking had been undertaken to assist the Contra resistance. Cesar said that he had refused this request.

333. Based on Cesar's interview, CIA Security believed it was highly probable that Cesar was involved in drug trafficking and involved in taking money from Morales. On May 4, 1987, CATF Chief Fiers prepared and sent a detailed report to Elliott Abrams, Assistant Secretary of State and Chairman of the Interagency for Nicaragua, regarding concerns about Cesar and drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

334. On July 21, 1987, Headquarters instructed several Latin America Stations to advise all personnel who were talking with Alfredo Cesar that Octaviano Cesar must avoid even the appearance of being involved in BOS activities. That same month, Alfredo Cesar agreed to bar Octaviano from serving in any official or unofficial BOS capacity.

335. A cable notified Headquarters in September 1988 that Cesar had informed Southern Front leaders that he intended to return to a prominent role in the resistance. This was reportedly because he had received a letter from the SFRC, signed by SFRC Chairman Senator John Kerry, absolving Cesar of all drug trafficking charges.

336. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained earlier, the 1984 reporting, which included the information connecting Cesar to drug trafficker Jorge Morales, was disseminated to a broad range of senior U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement officials.

337. An unsigned memorandum dated April 15, 1987 indicated that CIA had notified DEA's Miami office in January 1985 of Cesar's close association with Morales. The Agency informed DoS of suspicions regarding Cesar's involvement in drug trafficking in a July 20, 1987 memorandum from the Deputy Director for of the Office of African and Latin American Analysis of the CIA Directorate of Intelligence (DI), to Ambassador Morton Abramowitz, Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research. The memorandum responded to a DoS request for information concerning alleged Contra-drug trafficking connections and stated that Cesar was probably involved in the Morales and ARDE narcotics-related arrangements. It further stated that Cesar had resigned from BOS following public accusations of his involvement in drug trafficking. Attached to the memorandum was a copy of the January 21, 1987 memorandum concerning alleged Contra-drug trafficking connections that had been sent to Abramowitz by ADCI Gates.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

338. On April 30, 1987, CATF Chief Fiers briefed the SSCI regarding the Contra program. As described in a May 1, 1987 Memorandum for the Record, Fiers explained the background of allegations of Contra involvement in drug trafficking dating back to November 1984. He added that Octaviano Cesar had a close relationship with Morales, was interviewed by CIA Security when this connection became known and that the focus of the interview related to concerns about drug trafficking.

339. According to a July 31, 1987 Memorandum for the Record, Fiers briefed the SSCI and a HPSCI Staff member that same day and stated that Cesar had been interviewed regarding drug trafficking when the Morales allegations arose. Fiers said CIA believed it was highly probable that Cesar was involved in drug trafficking. Fiers noted that this was reported to DoS and that the Agency had informed Cesar's brother Alfredo that Octaviano Cesar must step down from his BOS leadership role immediately.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Edmundo Jose Chamorro

340. **Background.** Edmundo Chamorro was, like his brother Fernando Chamorro, one of the principal members of the Eleventh of November movement that was involved in armed opposition to the Somoza regime in Nicaragua in the 1970s. A March 1981 cable informed Headquarters that Edmundo Chamorro had become one of the leaders of UDN/FARN.

341. In a July 1, 1982 cable, Headquarters expressed "grave doubts" about Edmundo Chamorro's reliability and security consciousness. A January 1983 cable informed Headquarters that a senior UDN/FARN member had expressed concerns that Edmundo Chamorro was engaged in the "misuse of [resistance] funds and inciting the people to premature guerrilla and sabotage acts." In early February, Fernando reportedly removed Edmundo from the movement. In April 1983, a Headquarters cable indicated that Edmundo Chamorro had "no leadership position of any sort in the UDN and has been excluded from active participation in the group's activities." The cable went on to say that Edmundo had "some serious character defects" and that his remarks were "often motivated by insecurity and vindictiveness."

342. In response to a January 1986 request from Headquarters for updated information concerning Chamorro, a cable provided background information regarding Chamorro's "baggage." No information has been found to indicate any CIA contact with Edmundo Chamorro after 1983.

343. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A June 1986 cable to Headquarters stated that a local newspaper had published an article linking Edmundo Chamorro with drug traffickers. The Costa Rican Judicial Police reportedly had wiretapped conversations between convicted drug trafficker Horacio Pereira and Contra commander Sebastian Gonzalez Mendieta. The transcripts of the wiretaps allegedly indicated that Pereira and Gonzalez discussed the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

participation of several Contra leaders, including Edmundo Chamorro, in drug smuggling operations. In one conversation, Gonzalez reportedly advised Pereira to seek Edmundo Chamorro's assistance in providing logistics for drug transport.

344. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Upon learning of the Costa Rican newspaper allegations against Edmundo Chamorro, a June 1986 Headquarters cable asked for copies of the Pereira/Gonzalez wiretap transcripts that were mentioned in the article. Headquarters commented that "as it stands now it appears we are dealing with innuendo rather than hard facts about Edmundo and his connection to Gonzalez." In July 1986, Headquarters again cabled and stated, "Allegations of drug trafficking continue to plague our operations. Request status of . . . attempt to obtain [referenced] transcripts." A July 1986 reply expressed doubt that they could be obtained since they were being held as evidence to be used in court. No information has been found to indicate that the transcripts were pursued any further.

345. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that any information regarding Edmundo Chamorro's alleged involvement in drug trafficking was shared by CIA with any other U.S. Government entity or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Fernando Jose Chamorro

346. **Background.** Fernando "El Negro" Chamorro Rappaccioli, brother of Edmundo Chamorro, began his revolutionary career in the 1960s as the leader of a militant anti-Somoza organization known as the Eleventh of November. Although Fernando Chamorro's group was non-Marxist in doctrine, it worked closely with the FSLN to oust Somoza. In September 1978, Chamorro was arrested by the Somoza Government for anti-government activities. He was released, along with other political prisoners, in response to demands by FSLN activists who took over the National Palace in Managua. Upon his release, he left Nicaragua and was granted political asylum in Costa Rica.

347. As of January 1982, Fernando Chamorro was based in Honduras and was playing a major role in the Contra movement. He was a leader of both the UDN and FARN--the military arm of UDN.

348. CIA contact with Chamorro began in 1982, but according to a March 1985 cable to Headquarters, Fernando Chamorro's "ineffective actions" had negatively influenced his relationship with the Agency. Thus, Station suggested that CIA sever its contact with him.

349. An April 1986 cable informed Headquarters that Carlos Calvo, a former member of UDN/FARN, had been arrested at the Miami airport in October 1984 for attempting to leave the United States with $250,000 concealed in his clothing. According to the source, Calvo told the U.S. Customs Service that the money had been raised to support UDN/FARN and Fernando Chamorro had written a letter corroborating Calvo's story. A September 1986 cable verified to Headquarters that, although Fernando Chamorro reportedly had no previous knowledge of the money and no claim to it, he wrote a letter on Calvo's behalf on March 22, 1985 informing Customs that the money was meant to "help in the vital costs of the armed struggle for the liberation of Nicaragua." The letter also asked for assistance

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"in obtaining these, our sacred funds back." The money was not released by Customs. According to the September 1986 cable, Chamorro admitted that he believed Calvo was involved in a money laundering operation, but said that he did not believe that the money was drug-related. He rationalized that "if the money was going to be lost, it might as well go to a worthy cause."

350. A December 1986 cable to Headquarters reported that Chamorro had become the Southern Front Commander for UNO in December 1986. According to a December 29, 1986 cable to Headquarters, that "difficult as it may be to understand, [Chamorro] continues to hold considerable sway on most of the [UNO] commanders in the south." Fernando Chamorro resigned from UNO's political and military structures in March 1987.

351. A December 1987 cable informed Headquarters that Chamorro's wife had been diagnosed with a malignant brain tumor. In August 1988, Chamorro was hospitalized after suffering a cerebral hemorrhage and subsequently died. Later that month, his wife died.

352. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** On March 16, 1986, the *San Francisco Examiner* published a story alleging that a UDN/FARN official--Francisco Aviles--had three years previously been involved in writing a letter to the San Francisco U.S. Attorney requesting that $36,000 seized from California-based drug trafficker Julio Zavala be returned to Zavala because it was Contra money. An April 1986 cable to Headquarters stated that Chamorro had questioned Aviles about the allegation after learning of the story. When Aviles could not provide satisfactory answers, Chamorro reportedly expelled him from the UDN/FARN. No information has been found to indicate that Chamorro was aware of Aviles' actions prior to 1986, or that Chamorro himself had ever been tied to California-based drug trafficker Julio Zavala.

353. A June 1986 cable stated that reportedly in August or September 1984 "Costa Rican drug trafficker Norvin [*sic*] Meneses sought the cooperation of 'El Negro' [Fernando Chamorro] to move drugs" to the United States.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

354. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In March 1986, when the *San Francisco Examiner* published its story linking Aviles to Zavala and a California drug trafficking ring, CIA began an immediate inquiry into the matter. An April 1986 Headquarters cable was sent to several Stations asking for all available information regarding the allegation that Aviles, a member of UDN/FARN under Fernando Chamorro, provided funds to Zavala. The cable stated that "we must act swiftly to ascertain the true facts. . . . We need to get the entire story immediately." In April 1986, a Station informed Headquarters that it had ascertained that Aviles was not personally associated with Chamorro, but served in the UDN/FARN as a human rights representative. Another Station reported in April that the FBI had found no evidence to link Zavala and the other arrested drug traffickers with Contra groups. A third Station also reported to Headquarters in April that its inquiry indicated that Chamorro had no links with, or knowledge of, any of those who had been arrested in connection with the California drug trafficking ring. According to an April cable to Headquarters, Chamorro stated that:

> UDN/FARN has never accepted large denominations of monies from any organization which did not first state the source of the contributions; Francisco Aviles was not involved in Southern Front activities in 1983 - the timeframe [of the California arrests]; . . . and Aviles has been informed verbally that he has been expelled from UDN/FARN, a written order to follow shortly.

355. No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any actions to follow-up or verify the June 1986 allegation that Costa Rican drug trafficker Norwin Meneses had sought Chamorro's cooperation to move drugs to the United States.

356. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** An April 1986 Headquarters cable stated that DoS had been advised of the *San Francisco Examiner* story and of the Agency's findings regarding Chamorro's actions against Aviles. According to an April 1986 Station cable to Headquarters, the story was also the subject of discussions between that Station and the FBI's Field Office.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

357. In February 1988, Chamorro was the subject of an ongoing criminal investigation by the FBI that reportedly had been initiated on the basis of information that a Station had provided to Headquarters in a July 1987 cable for passage to DoJ. According to the July cable, Chamorro allegedly had purchased several vehicles with funds that were intended for humanitarian aid. The cable requested that Headquarters "inform [FBI] and [DoJ] of [Chamorro's] appropriations of [Contra] property." No information has been found to indicate whether or how this request was acted upon by Headquarters.

358. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding Chamorro's alleged involvement in drug trafficking was shared with other U.S. Government entities or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Sebastian Gonzalez

359. **Background.** Sebastian Gonzalez Mendieta (a.k.a. "Commandante Wachan") was a veterinarian by training who was involved in the effort to overthrow Anastasio Somoza in the late 1970s. He served briefly--in assignments relating to agricultural issues--in the post-Somoza Government established by the Sandinistas. However, Gonzalez claimed in 1981 that he had become disillusioned with the leftward turn of the Sandinista regime and relocated to Panama. There he joined forces with Eden Pastora and other disaffected Nicaraguans.

360. During the early period of the Contra resistance, Gonzalez was initially associated with ARDE, primarily as a logistics coordinator, and played a liaison role between ARDE and the Panamanian Defense Forces (PDF). By late 1983, Gonzalez' relationship with ARDE had deteriorated, however, and he attempted to form a small band of fighters known as the Third Way Movement. Gonzalez subsequently moved to Panama and gradually lost touch with the Contras.

361. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A September 1984 cable to Headquarters, based on indirect information from Fernando Chamorro, alleged that Gonzalez had used several flights to Costa Rica from Panama to carry cocaine along with the communications gear he was transporting for the ARDE. The cable went on to report that Gonzalez had stored 11 kilograms of cocaine in Liberia, Costa Rica, and had taken 10 of those kilograms to an unknown location. According to the cable, Chamorro had also said that Panamanian leader Manuel Noriega, as well as Gerardo Hidalgo Abaunza--who was arrested by the Government of Costa Rica while in possession of the one additional kilogram of cocaine that Gonzalez had stored--were involved in the drug trafficking operation.

362. In late September 1984, a cable reported to Headquarters that a Costa Rican press report stated that Gonzalez and another

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

individual were involved in a flight that had crashed in the Pacific Ocean the previous week. It was implied that the plane, a Cessna 182 connected to Pastora's group, had no apparent business in the area of the crash and the crash would be investigated by the Government of Costa Rica.

363. In February 1985, a cable to Headquarters stated that Gonzalez was "well known in police circles in Costa Rica," and that it was likely that the case involving Hidalgo's possession of a kilogram of cocaine would not be followed up. Another Station, commenting in a cable to Headquarters on the same day, referred to this cable and stated:

> [The cable] appears to indicate that case against [Gonzalez] rested solely on allegations made by Gerardo Hildago, who was caught red-handed by the Costa Ricans with several kilos of cocaine. . . . Assume from the overall tenor of [the cable] that drug case against [Gonzalez] is too weak to take to trial and that he is thereby to be cleared of the charges.

364. A March 1985, cable reported to Headquarters that ARDE's security chief had linked Gonzalez to Alpa Aviation. Alpa Aviation was reported to be partially owned by drug trafficker David Mayorga.

365. In May 1985, a Station reported its belief to Headquarters that Marcos Aguado, a Contra pilot, could provide "concrete evidence" of drug trafficking on the part of various Southern Front leaders, including Gonzalez. In August 1985, the Station reported to Headquarters that Alfonso Robelo had said that Gonzalez was linked to Tuto Munkel, a Nicaraguan who was reportedly engaged in drug trafficking, weapons smuggling and money laundering. Munkel, the cable said, reportedly supported Gonzalez' drug activities in Costa Rica.

366. In October 1985, a Station reported to Headquarters that it had been informed by the local DEA office that Hugo Spadafora had made vague allegations to DEA several weeks earlier that Gonzalez, Manuel Noriega and Jose Ortiz Robelo were engaged in drug

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

trafficking. The chief of the local DEA office met Spadafora twice and, according to the cable, Spadafora had promised that he would provide evidence of drug trafficking by Gonzalez. Spadafora was murdered in September 1985 and no information has been found that Spadafora furnished any information to DEA after his second meeting with the chief of the local DEA.

367. An October 1985 cable to Headquarters discussed PDF requests that Gonzalez assist in:

> . . . defusing an effort by family members of slain rebel Hugo Spadafora to implicate Manuel Antonio Noriega in drug trafficking. [Gonzalez] has been asked to participate in a popular morning radio talk program scheduled for 21 Oct, in which [Gonzalez] will reveal and denounce a not yet public plan by Spadafora's brother, Winston, to obtain documents in Costa Rica which allegedly show that [Gonzalez] was involved in drug trafficking.

A handwritten notation on this cable stated " . . . if the truth be known, we had reason to believe that [Gonzalez] has been involved in drugs about a yr [*sic*] - 1 1/2 yrs ago. . . ."

368. According to a June 1986 cable to Headquarters, the June 13 edition of the San Jose English language newspaper, the *Tico Times*, reported the sentencing of three people for drug trafficking. The article stated that local police had wiretapped conversations between Gonzalez and Horacio Pereira, one of those who had been arrested. Gonzalez allegedly had advised Pereira, described as a pool hall operator, to seek Edmundo Chamorro's assistance in providing logistics for transporting drugs.

369. According to a February 1988 Headquarters cable, former Panamanian Consul General in New York Jose Blandon had linked Gonzalez to narcotics trafficking in testimony before a congressional subcommittee the previous week. The Headquarters cable asked for comment on the allegation. In response, with regard to the narcotics allegation, a February 1988 cable replied:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

. . . .

6. Regarding Blandon's accusation, we also have no details and I agree that whatever Blandon said could well relate to the earlier Costa Rican-related allegations.... Those allegations periodically arose . . . . Each time, [Gonzalez] firmly denied them, saying that the allegations originated with, as I recall, Eden Pastora or "El Negro" Chamorro to discredit him as a potential rival. We don't have any records here on that whole affair . . . .

370. A former CIA independent contractor officer recalls that he was in Pastora's house in October 1983. The independent contractor says this was after the La Penca bombing and the situation was extremely tense.[14] He says it was at this time that Spadafora told him that Gonzalez was involved in drug smuggling. The independent contractor says that he had a very close relationship with Spadafora, who "hated" Noriega and the United States, but saw the independent contractor as a Latin and not a person from the CIA. The independent contractor says Spadafora was the first to tell him that Noriega was smuggling drugs with the Contras and that Gonzalez was involved. The independent contractor states, however, that he and his colleagues never received any proof of the drug trafficking allegations against Gonzalez.

371. The independent contractor says that Gonzalez ran a shoe store in Costa Rica and used shoe boxes to transport drugs. He says he never learned anything about the route used in Gonzalez' drug smuggling, other than that the drugs went from Panama to Costa Rica and then maybe on to the Dominican Republic.

372. The independent contractor adds that he reported the Gonzalez-drug allegation in October 1983 to his superior, who replied that CIA had heard some rumors of drug trafficking involving the Contras. The independent contractor says they discussed the situation at his superior's home, including what was going on with Gonzalez as well as drug trafficking allegations involving Contra pilots and the nephew of Alfonso Robelo. The superior says he cannot recall who Gonzalez is.

---

[14] The La Penca bombing occurred in May 1984.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

373. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In response to the allegations regarding drug trafficking by Gonzalez received in September 1984, it was reported in September 1984 that DEA had been asked for "assistance in verifying the story" and the local DEA office had confirmed the arrest of Gerardo Hidalgo in Costa Rica for possession of one kilogram of cocaine. It was requested that permission be granted to provide leads to the Government of Costa Rica as to the whereabouts of Gonzalez.

374. In September 1984, authorization was provided to furnish information to the Government of Costa Rica regarding Gonzalez' whereabouts.

375. An October 1984 cable to Headquarters on Gonzalez stated that reportedly Gonzalez denied any involvement in the cocaine trafficking incident that had been described in the September 1984 cable. The October cable stated that Gonzalez had seen his accuser, Hidalgo, only twice in his life, once in the early summer of 1984 and again in September 1984 in Liberia. Gonzalez claimed that someone else was using his previously lost identification card to register at a hotel in Liberia and that he has a "Panamanian-issued travel document to show that he was in Panama at the very time he is said to have been visiting Hidalgo" in Liberia and that "he will use the document to clear himself with the Costa Rican authorities." In regard to the aircraft that was ditched off the coast of Nicaragua, the cable stated that:

> . . . [Gonzalez] said that he may have been mistakenly placed in the plane due to a similarity of names. The plane was piloted by [an individual with a similar surname]. According to [Gonzalez, this individual] is a pilot for [Fernando Chamorro]. [Gonzalez] said his own name is associated with the aircraft because he helped [Chamorro's] movement buy the aircraft and is listed as a [*sic*] owner of record.

376. In response to the June 1986 San Jose *Tico Times* report of wiretapped conversations linking Gonzalez to a pool hall operator

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

who had been arrested for drug trafficking, Headquarters sent a cable in June 1986:

> Appreciate heads up contained in [June 1986] cable re: Allegations of drug trafficking by Edmundo Chamorro and Juan [*sic*] Sebastian "Wachan" Gonzalez. Allegation also surfaced in evening news and has received some play here. In order to get a handle on allegation and in particular blow back on "El Negro" Chamorro, request station . . . obtain copies of transcripts of conversations outlined in para two ref. As it stands now it appears we are dealing with innuendo rather than hard facts about Edmundo and his connection to Gonzalez. Transcripts will shed light on nature of involvement with drug trafficking.

In July 1986, another cable from Headquarters requested a status report regarding the Station's attempt to obtain the transcripts. A response on July 16, 1986 stated it would be difficult to acquire tapes being held as evidence in court. No information has been found to indicate that the transcripts were ever obtained, or that this matter was the subject of further cables.

377. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to a September 1984 cable to Headquarters, the San Jose DEA Office confirmed the arrest of Gerardo Hidalgo Abaunza on narcotics charges and the implications of Gonzalez' involvement. The cable also requested Headquarters "approval to provide leads [to Costa Rican law enforcement authorities] to whereabou[t]s of [Gonzalez] . . . ." The next day, Headquarters sent a cable that approved the request. An October 1985 cable to Headquarters noted that several weeks prior to that date Hugo Spadafora had made vague allegations to a local DEA officer concerning Gonzalez' links to narcotics trafficking. No information has been found to indicate that the allegations against Gonzalez were otherwise the subject of discussion between CIA and U.S. Government law enforcement agencies.

378. Then-DDCI Gates sent a memorandum to the DDI and DDO on March 28, 1988 asking for a briefing regarding Contra involvement in narcotics activities. The information that was

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

provided to DDCI Gates in response on March 31, 1988 included information then available to CIA regarding individuals who were allegedly involved in or knowledgeable of ARDE narcotics trafficking. Allegations that Gonzalez was involved in narcotics trafficking were included in one of the documents that was compiled to support the briefing. No information has been found to indicate what was done by CIA on the basis of the information provided to DDCI Gates or whether it was shared further with the congressional oversight committees or other intelligence and U.S. law enforcement agencies.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Carol Prado

379. **Background.** Carol Prado Hernandez was a civil engineer who held several key positions in the FRS. In 1983, he assumed responsibility for operation of a Nicaraguan exile press office in Miami, and also worked on acquiring arms for ARDE. From late 1983 to 1985, Prado was working in the ARDE's logistics, propaganda and public relations sections and also serving as the communications chief. He was a close confidant of and advisor to Eden Pastora and headed the ARDE Headquarters staff in San Jose for a period of time.

380. A May 1984 Station cable considered Prado to be a "troublemaker" and possible agent of the Sandinista Government. From 1984 through 1987, however, Station Officers had occasional contact with Prado as part of their liaison with ARDE.

381. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In January 1984, based on information provided by the FBI, a Headquarters cable noted that a contact of Prado's, arms dealer Sarkis Garabed Soghanalian, might be involved in drug trafficking. At this time, Prado reportedly was a Miami resident.

382. In May 1984 a cable to Headquarters reported an allegation that Prado was linked to drug trafficking. The cable reported that there was a request that CIA conduct an investigation of Prado's activities in Miami because of a belief that Prado had Pastora involved in "some kind of a drug deal." A May cable to Headquarters indicated that there was a report of a conversation between Prado and a Miami-based Cuban who helped fund and equip FRS/ARDE regarding the smuggling of Cubans into the United States. Reportedly there were also suspicions that Martinez and his colleagues were involved in drug trafficking.

383. A February 1985 cable informed Headquarters that Prado had appointed Carlos Pacheco to coordinate all air drop supply

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

deliveries to the Contras. Pacheco was described by the cable as a close friend of alleged drug trafficker Gerardo Duran. The cable also reported that the Pacheco appointment had led to speculation among Southern Front members that Prado had selected Pacheco in order to coordinate drug trafficking flights better.

384. A March 1985 cable to Headquarters reported information that indicated that Prado's reputation was so tarnished by drug trafficking allegations that Pastora was prepared to remove Prado from ARDE as part of a proposed agreement with other Contra leaders to create a single opposition group and joint military command.

385. A May 1985 review was cabled to Headquarters of allegations that FRS personnel were involved in drug trafficking. The review cited Prado and Duran as the Contras who were most frequently linked to drug trafficking allegations. It also contained information that Prado had started looking for alternative sources of funding for ARDE activities in 1983. Prado reportedly had made several trips to Miami, Haiti and the Dominican Republic with Miami-based drug trafficker Jorge Morales to look at unspecified "operations."

386. A May 1985 cable to Headquarters stated that FRS/ARDE pilot Marcos Aguado could "easily" provide concrete evidence linking Prado, Pastora and other Southern Front Contras to drug trafficking. A June 1985 cable to Headquarters contained additional allegations of narcotics trafficking by Prado and Duran. According to this cable, it was alleged that Prado periodically received funds suspected of being drug profits from Duran. In a December 1985 cable to Headquarters, it was reported that Pastora had instructed Prado to obtain money from Duran and another individual, who was also alleged to be involved in drug trafficking.

387. An April 1986 cable to Headquarters stated that Adolfo Chamorro had been arrested on April 22, 1986 for entering Costa Rica illegally. Chamorro asserted while in custody that Pastora

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

should be replaced because he was incompetent and Prado should be removed because he was involved in drug trafficking.

388. It was reported to Headquarters in an April 1986 cable that Chamorro had been interviewed by a Miami radio station after his return to the United States from Costa Rica. According to the cable, Chamorro claimed that Prado had been involved in illegal narcotics trafficking.

389. A June 1986 cable to Headquarters passed an allegation that reportedly linked Prado to drug money by stating that Prado had accepted $10,000 from Cesar Rodriguez, a known drug trafficker.

390. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The May 1984 cable to Headquarters that contained allegations of drug trafficking against Prado also included a request for further information about Prado from the Departments of Treasury and Justice. No information has been found to indicate that this request was pursued or that any other CIA response resulted from the allegations of Prado's connections to drug trafficking.

391. The May 1984 cable also suggested that the Agency conduct an investigation into Prado's activities. No information has been found to indicate that this occurred.

392. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that information regarding Prado's alleged involvement in drug trafficking was shared with other U.S. Government intelligence or law enforcement agencies or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Jenelee Hodgson

393. **Background.** Jenelee Hodgson, a Creole member of the United Indigenous Peoples of Nicaragua (KISAN), was a leader of the Southern Indigenous Creole Community (SICC). In early 1980, she decided that the Sandinista revolution had lost its original direction and began opposing FSLN policies. After release from being jailed for three months because of her participation in 1980 Creole protests in Bluefields, Nicaragua, she was harassed by the Sandinista police. In 1982, she went into exile in Costa Rica.

394. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A May 1986 cable advised Headquarters that Max Ewart, a Canadian who worked in KISAN's San Jose office, had claimed at a SICC meeting that Hodgson maintained close ties to the Sandinista regime through her two brothers, one of whom ran drugs into the United States for the Sandinistas. Ewart also reportedly claimed that Hodgson was closely associated with two other specifically named drug traffickers, and that she had arranged the release of one of them from imprisonment in Costa Rica for drug trafficking. The cable added the comment that it was believed Ewart had deliberately sought to discredit Hodgson and other members of the KISAN leadership group.

395. A June 1986 cable to Headquarters stated that Hodgson reportedly answered the charges against her at a June 1986 SICC meeting by pointing out that most of the accusations were based on hearsay and propaganda printed in the Sandinista newspaper *Barricada*. She reportedly presented evidence of her finances and supplies to the troops in the field and succeeded in making her case before the Creoles.

396. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a May 1986 cable to Headquarters, Hodgson had said that in mid-1985 she had stayed in the Miami home of a Nicaraguan Creole whom she had met through a cousin who was also living with the Nicaraguan Creole at the time. The cousin reportedly told

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Hodgson that he suspected that the Nicaraguan Creole and others were involved in illegal activities and urged Hodgson to leave. Hodgson said she met Ewart and witnessed the arrival of several crates of cocaine and its subsequent distribution to dealers at the Nicaraguan Creole's home. Hodgson reportedly said that the Nicaraguan Creole had supervised the distribution of this cocaine for Ewart. The May 1986 cable commented that Hodgson's story was credible. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency attempted to investigate further the drug trafficking charges that had been made against Hodgson.

397. A March 1987 Headquarters cable stated that Ewart had an unsavory record. The cable reported that he was involved in cocaine dealings in Florida and that it had been learned that in 1986 that Ewart was plotting, via unsubstantiated accusations of wrongdoing, to dispose of Hodgson and assume a leadership role.

398. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that the Agency disseminated to U.S. law enforcement agencies the Ewart allegations regarding drug trafficking by Hodgson that had been reported in May 1986. However, a June 1986 Headquarters cable indicated that CIA shared Hodgson's allegations about Ewart's drug trafficking with both the FBI and DEA. Headquarters also recommended in the June cable that this information be shared with the INS office in Miami. No information has been found to indicate that this was done, or that any of this information was provided to the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Alfredo Cesar

399. **Background.** In the late 1970s, Alfredo Cesar Aguirre left Nicaragua and arrived in the United States where he became a spokesman for the FSLN. When the Sandinistas came to power in 1979, Cesar returned to Nicaragua to become the Chairman of the Central Bank, a ministerial position. In May 1982, Cesar resigned from the Central Bank and went into exile in San Jose, accepting a position with the Costa Rican Government as a financial advisor. Shortly thereafter, Cesar joined Eden Pastora, also in exile in San Jose, in the Contra movement.

400. In the mid-1980s, the United States sought to unify the splintered Contra movement. Cesar, as leader of BOS, opposed the signing of a unity accord. Headquarters stated in a January 1986 cable that Agency officers met with Cesar in December 1985 and January 1986 to discuss efforts to achieve political unity among the Contras, as well as the need for him to distance himself from Southern Front leaders who were alleged to be involved in drug trafficking.

401. A June 1986 Headquarters cable stated that Cesar had advised in June 1986 that he had signed the unity agreement with UNO.

402. By late October 1986, Cesar still had not fully integrated BOS into UNO. Cesar was informed that there would be "no [repeat] no additional funds" without integration into UNO.

403. A February 1987 cable informed Headquarters that financial support had been resumed for BOS in February 1987, but that it had been made clear that all future funding would be made through the unified Nicaraguan resistance. BOS was then incorporated into the unified Nicaraguan resistance.

404. In August 1988, Cesar was selected as the chief Contra negotiator for talks with the Sandinistas. In June 1989, Cesar returned to Nicaragua.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

405. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that Cesar was the subject of any drug trafficking allegations, but his brother, Octaviano Cesar, was the subject of such allegations.

406. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a January 1986 Headquarters cable, CIA informed him when urging Cesar to join with UNO in January 1986 that he would have to divest BOS of drug-related "baggage," specifically Adolfo Chamorro. A September 1986 cable to Headquarters noted that Cesar had been reminded "on more than one occasion" that Chamorro had a "possible association with narcotics trafficking." In January 1987, Headquarters cabled instructions for Cesar to be informed that U.S. Government funds could not be used to support any BOS member, such as Chamorro, until drug allegations against them were resolved. In February 1987, it was reported to Headquarters that Chamorro had been removed from the BOS payroll.

407. In April 1987, Cesar's brother, Octaviano Cesar, was interviewed by CIA Security regarding drug trafficking. CIA Security believed it was highly probable that Cesar was involved in drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Jose Davila

408. **Background.** Jose Davila Membreno was a vice president of the Social Christian Party--a democratic opposition party in Nicaragua, a Social Christian Party delegate to the National Assembly and a member of the post-Somoza Council of State. Also a member of the editorial staff of *La Prensa* until 1982, he went into exile in Costa Rica after Managua's imposition of a state of emergency. In September 1982, Davila was a founding member of the Nicaraguan Assembly of Democratic Unity--an exile group dedicated to political and civil action--but this group disintegrated within a year. At that point, Davila helped form another group--the Christian Democratic Solidarity Front--which joined ARDE in early 1983. Shortly thereafter, Davila became one of ARDE's leaders.

409. By 1984, Davila's influence within ARDE was in decline even though he remained a top official of the Christian Democratic Solidarity Front. In 1985, Davila aligned with BOS and was soon listed as a member of the BOS Executive Committee along with Adolfo Chamorro, Alvaro Jerez, Alfredo Cesar, and Bayardo Lopez. In May 1986, Davila, along with other ARDE field commanders under the command of Pastora and the FRS, agreed to align with UNO under the leadership of Fernando Chamorro. Davila also agreed to assume the responsibility for coordinating ARDE's political dealings with Chamorro and his staff. Davila then renounced his affiliation with BOS and Alfredo Cesar.

410. Davila was pivotal in encouraging leaders of BOS to join forces with UNO, and was a key figure in the restructuring of the Southern Front in August 1986.

411. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Agency records include no allegations that Davila had engaged in drug trafficking. Issues did arise in regard to his admissions of affiliation with Pastora's associates who were connected to drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

412. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In view of Davila's associations, he was interviewed by CIA Security. CIA Security believed his denials of involvement of drug trafficking were highly questionable. On November 3, 1987, Headquarters advised that Fiers had briefed SSCI Senators Bradley and Cohen and SSCI Staff members on October 14, 1987 regarding the problems associated with Davila. Fiers reportedly had stated that the Agency had no narcotics-related information regarding Davila other than his unfavorable interviews with Security. According to the Headquarters cable, it was the conclusion of the SSCI staffers that to cease contact with an individual solely on the basis of a security interview would be premature and ill advised.

413. No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any further action to attempt to resolve the drug trafficking issues relating to Davila.

414. **Information Sharing with Other U. S. Government Entities.** A July 1987 CIA cable to the FBI reported that CIA Security had concerns regarding Davila and the issue of narcotics trafficking.

415. On October 14, 1987, Fiers briefed SSCI Staff members and two U.S. Senators regarding Davila's unfavorable security interviews due to narcotics-related issues. The SSCI transcript of that briefing and an October 14 Office of Congressional Affairs (OCA) memorandum for the record (MFR) regarding that briefing do not indicate the basis for the statement in the November 1987 cable that it was the conclusion of the SSCI staffers that to cease contact with an individual solely on the basis of a security interview would be premature and ill advised.

416. The then-NOG Chief says that the lack of support in the SSCI transcript for the cable's assertion regarding Davila could have resulted from an informal, off-the-record discussion with the SSCI Staff members following the formal briefing. He states that there were always informal discussions following the official briefings and that guidance by Staff members was routinely proffered during these discussions.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

417. According to the SSCI transcript, DCI George Tenet--then a SSCI Staff member--was present at the October 14, 1987 briefing. He says he does not recall the Fiers briefing, although he recalls that Fiers briefed the SSCI on a weekly basis on the Nicaraguan activities. While he says there may well have been a briefing on Contra involvement in narcotics, he has no recollection of such a briefing. Concerning whether guidance was given to Fiers by the SSCI Staff regarding Davila, Tenet says he

> believes that while SSCI Senior Staff may have provided the advice referred to in the 1987 cable--he was not a member of the Senior Staff at the time[,] had no responsibility for covert action programs . . . and would not have been aware of discussions between SSCI and CIA which would have led to CIA [*sic*] staff advice.

418. Fiers responded in writing to questions and stated that he only has a vague recollection of the briefing. Regarding the cable assertion that SSCI "staffers" concluded that the relationship with Davila should not be terminated based solely on the basis of a security interview Fiers wrote, "I don't recall which particular staffer approved it."

419. The former Minority Staff Director James Dykstra says that it was unlikely that the SSCI Staff would express such a conclusion. He adds that Staff members might concur with something, but "not give direction that could be construed as a conclusion." He adds that "[The cable] doesn't have the ring of truth to it." He also notes that a statement by Fiers in the transcript regarding Davila that ". . . our druthers would be to continue to use him . . . " probably represented Fiers' request for permission to keep using Davila.

420. With regard to SSCI protocol, the former Minority Staff Director James Dykstra says that Fiers could have had "an off-line conversation" with the Staff and could have interpreted a casual remark as "direction" or approval because it was what he wanted to hear. Dykstra also says that, if the Staff had provided Fiers with any sort of advice, he would hope it would have been followed with a

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

written document. Dykstra goes on to say that, if the Davila case was a real issue, it would been raised with himself or SSCI Staff Director. He says that this kind of issue was clearly in the domain of the Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Committee. He adds that "advice like this [conclusion to keep Davila] would have been cleared through the Staff Directors who would have briefed the [SSCI] Chairman or Ranking Minority Member." He continues that the matter probably would have been discussed between the Chairman and the DCI at one of their meetings.

421. No information has been found to indicate that the Davila issue was discussed between Fiers and SSCI Staff members at any time after the October 14, 1987 briefing.

422. Louis Dupart, former CATF Compliance Officer and author of the November 3, 1987 cable, says that he does not specifically recall the October 14, 1987 SSCI briefing. He says that he would not have written anything in a cable that he did not believe to be true. Dupart says that he was "ultra sensitive" to such matters at the time. What likely happened, according to Dupart, was that Fiers explained the situation to the SSCI members and Staff and no one said during the testimony--or in the discussion after it was over--that the Agency had to get rid of Davila. Dupart believes that Fiers likely inferred, therefore, that it was "okay" to continue to use Davila in the Contra program.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Harold Martinez

423. **Background.** Harold Martinez became deputy commander of FRS in circa 1982. He resigned this position in 1984 to join the ARDE. In 1986, he became a principal member of BOS. In May 1988, he became the second-in-command under the Nicaraguan Resistance/Southern Front.

424. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An October 1984 cable to Headquarters reported that Martinez alleged drug involvement by Pastora and Pastora's chief military officers. Then Deputy Commander of the FRS, Martinez had said that he could no longer work or remain affiliated with Pastora because of what he reported to be FRS leadership involvement with drug trafficking, arms smuggling and mismanagement of funds. Martinez provided no details or evidence to support his belief regarding corruption within the FRS, but he terminated his association with Pastora shortly thereafter.

425. In January 1987, CIA received information of Martinez's possible involvement in drug trafficking. In a December 1988 cable to Headquarters, it was reported to have been stated that Martinez " . . . undoubtedly had a connection with Pastora's drug activities" and warned against direct contact with either of the Martinez brothers.

426. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking by Martinez.** A July 1987 Headquarters cable reported that a new BOS leadership was elected during its transformation into a political party, and a five member directorate was chosen that included Martinez. Alfredo Cesar, who had been selected to be president of the directorate, had reportedly said that Martinez' support within BOS was too strong to be opposed. A December 1988 cable reported that Martinez was second-in-command under Nicaraguan Resistance/South Commander Ganso in May 1988.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

427. **Sharing of Information with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that information regarding Martinez' potential involvement in drug trafficking was shared with other U.S. Government intelligence or law enforcement agencies or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Rene Corvo

428. **Background.** Rene Corvo, a Cuban-American veteran of the 2506 Brigade and the Bay of Pigs invasion, was the leader of an independent, heavily armed, anti-Sandinista military unit consisting of approximately eight Cuban-Americans and 40 Nicaraguans based in Costa Rica. Corvo reportedly stole equipment destined for the Southern Front to maintain his own force and divided equipment donated by Cuban-Americans between himself and Eden Pastora. In the late 1980s, according to information provided to the Agency by the FBI, Corvo was investigated for various Neutrality Act and arms trafficking violations and was rumored to be associated with plots against the lives of Pastora and U.S. Ambassador to Costa Rica Lewis Tambs.

429. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a December 1984 cable to Headquarters, it was reported that Rene Corvo's unit was supported by Frank Castro and Corvo might be involved in drug trafficking by Castro. According to a December 1984 cable to Headquarters, Frank Castro reportedly was installing, or attempting to install, a cocaine processing laboratory in northern Costa Rica and was exploiting widespread paramilitary activities in northernmost Costa Rica as a cover for drug trafficking. Reportedly, Frank Castro sent his middleman to Costa Rica to purchase a ranch with a landing strip. Corvo was reportedly involved with Frank Castro and his middleman in this operation, and Corvo had traveled to Colombia shortly after returning to Costa Rica from Miami in November 1984, with the implication that this travel may have been drug-related. Further, Cuban-Americans supporting the Contra movement resented the alleged use of military activities as a cover for drug trafficking and feared that discovery and public exposure of the alleged drug trafficking would discredit Cuban-Americans and the insurgency in general. It was reported to Headquarters in December 1984 that reportedly:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> There are fears that Corvo, who has received support from Frank Castro, may be exploiting the military infrastructure in northern Costa Rica as cover for engaging in drug trafficking.

430. In August 1985, it was reported to Headquarters that a clandestine landing strip at a ranch in Guanacaste Province of Costa Rica was under investigation by the Costa Rican Narcotics Division. There were suspicions, reportedly, that Fernando Chamorro and Jose Robelo Ortiz might have been involved in drug trafficking because they had visited the ranch on several occasions and were closely involved with Corvo.

431. On March 6, 1986 and on March 17, 1986, the FBI interviewed Jack Terrell. Information obtained in those interviews was sent to CIA Headquarters in cables dated March 11 and March 24, respectively. According to the FBI cables, Terrell was associated with the Civilian Military Assistance (CMA) and said that he had met Corvo and several others in a Miami motel in either late 1984 or early 1985. The cable reported that "tactics in Nicaragua" was the subject of discussion when Terrell was asked to leave the room and meet "Rene Corbo."[15] According to Terrell, he was advised not to meet Corvo "because he is into drugs and arms and he works directly for Francisco Chanes." The cable said that Tom Posey told Terrell that Corvo could provide the CMA with money, weapons, transportation, and "everything we've been looking for." According to the cable, Terrell met an individual early the next morning who confirmed what Terrell had been told earlier:

> . . . regarding drugs, arms, Chanes, and Frank Castro and their relationship with Rene Corvo. [This individual] told Terrell that Frank Castro was the main liaison between the Colombian drug dealers and the Cubans.

432. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that the Agency took any action to follow-up or verify any of the drug-related allegations against Corvo.

---

[15] Alternate spelling of "Corvo."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

433. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** In April 1986, CIA responded to a March 7, 1986, FBI request for information concerning Corvo and several other individuals. The CIA cable to the FBI noted that the Agency had received reports that Corvo was:

> . . . the leader of an independent, well-equipped, heavily armed anti-Sandinista military unit consisting of approximately eight Cuban-Americans and 40 Nicaraguans based in Costa Rica along the Costa Rican-Nicaraguan border. Corvo was described by members of his unit as a dedicated anti-Communist and Bay of Pigs veteran who is unpredictable and violent. In November 85 [it was] reported that Corvo is an uncontrollable hothead and infamous for his drinking bouts in Costa Rica. He is a divisive element in the Southern Front armed force and has also absconded with equipment destined for the Southern Front to maintain his own force. He also divided equipment donated by Cuban-Americans between himself and Eden Pastora for whom the equipment was not intended. In Dec 85 it was reported that Corvo was involved with Frank Castro's drug activities in Costa Rica.

434. According to an October 16, 1986 OCA MFR, CATF Chief Alan Fiers briefed Senator John Kerry on October 15, 1986 in response to questions Kerry had raised after an October 10, 1986 Fiers briefing regarding the Nicaraguan Resistance. According to the MFR, Fiers

> . . . passed a series of prepared sheets responding to the questions to Senator Kerry, who read each one carefully and occasionally asked additional questions. These sheets concerned: . . . Rene Corvo . . .

Also found in the OCA file is a separate, undated MFR that, although not described as such, may have been a copy of what was passed to Kerry regarding Corvo. That MFR contained a detailed summary of the reporting in December 1984 that alleged Corvo was involved with Frank Castro in installing a cocaine processing laboratory in Costa Rica. It also detailed the December 1984 reporting of possible drug-related travel by Corvo to Colombia and the allegations that the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

military infrastructure in Costa Rica was being used as a cover for narcotics trafficking. The summary also noted that a search of Agency records regarding Rene Corvo for the prior four years, including a complete listing of messages from other agencies concerning Corvo, had revealed no indication that Corvo had ever been "indicted, charged or arrested for narcotics trafficking."

435. At the conclusion of this briefing, according to the OCA MFR, there was an exchange between Fiers and Kerry concerning the possible complicity of various Contra personalities in drug trafficking to finance weapons purchases. The MFR stated that:

> Fiers' position was that there was no Agency evidence to support this charge. Senator Kerry responded that while he accepted CIA might not have evidence to this effect, his own investigations have produced evidence to the contrary. Fiers said he would be interested in seeing this evidence and Senator Kerry implied he would make the evidence available to Fiers.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Carlos Alberto Amador

436. **Background.** Carlos Alberto Amador Perez was a pilot for the Southern Front Contra forces during the 1980s. Although he was based in Costa Rica, he flew missions from Ilopango air base in El Salvador to deliver materiel to Contra forces inside Nicaragua as well as northern Costa Rica.

437. An August 1984 cable to Headquarters requested information concerning five new ARDE pilots, one of whom was Carlos Amador. The cable noted no derogatory information concerning the five pilots. Headquarters responded in an August 1984 cable that it had no information concerning Carlos Amador.

438. A November 1984 cable to Headquarters identified Amador as the primary ARDE Islander aircraft pilot.

439. A November 1984 cable to Headquarters identified Amador as one of ten investors in the 1981 creation of an aero taxi company at Los Brasiles airport in Nicaragua. According to the cable, the company, Alas De Nicaragua, S. A. (Alas), was a front for the FSLN and had five aircraft. As of October 1984, eight pilots, one of whom was a member of the Nicaraguan General Directorate of State Security (DGSE), were known to be working for Alas.

440. A February 1985 cable to Headquarters focused primarily on Ricardo Roberto Espinoza Castro, but referred to Espinoza and Carlos Amador as "the two new FDN pilots."

441. In an August 1985 Headquarters cable, Amador was described as "an exmember [*sic*] of the National Guard, [who] became disaffected by the FSLN's gradual takeover of [Alas] and dropped out." According to an April 1986 cable:

> Circa August to December 1984, Amador was flying the ARDE Islander on these flights and his copilot was Roberto Espinoza.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Amador stopped flying for the [Southern Front] in early 1985 and worked with the FDN in Honduras for a short period. After this, he went into "private business."

442. The drafter of this cable says that his use of the term "private business" was a euphemism that related to what he thought were the Private Benefactors. The term was not, he says, intended to suggest narcotics trafficking.

443. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A February 1985 cable reported that Ricardo Roberto Espinoza Castro had been formerly employed by David Mayorga, who was suspected of being involved with the Southern Front in narcotics trafficking. According to a November 1984 cable, Espinoza had been flying and working with Amador. A July 1985 cable stated that Espinoza "was flying as a co-pilot and mechanic for Carlos Amador" in October 1984.

444. A July 1985 cable indicated that David Mayorga had been overheard telling Carlos Amador on June 8 that a 150 kilogram shipment of cocaine that had been seized in a Cessna Citation near Barra Del Colorado, Costa Rica, was destined for Frudaticos[16] to be packed into yucca for delivery to the United States. The cable also reported that an aircraft that was to be purchased by Sergio Sarcovik[17] had been ferried by Amador from the United States to Panama and that Amador used Marcos Hernandez to " 'fix' flight plans for flights into/out of Panama area."

445. An August 1985 cable to Headquarters stated that reportedly there had been an August 14 meeting that had involved four individuals at Pavas airfield near San Jose, Costa Rica. According to the cable, plans were made at that meeting to ferry two airplanes from Miami to Colombia and those planes were to be used in a drug smuggling operation at a future, but unspecified, date. Further, Amador was to ferry these aircraft from Miami to Colombia,

---

[16] Frudaticos was the primary packing house for Alpa, and was located in Turrialba, Costa Rica, approximately 100 km east of San Jose. From Frudaticos, trailers and containers were packed with pineapple and yucca for shipment to Limon, Costa Rica and direct sea shipment to Miami.

[17] A number of variations of the spelling in this name have been found, to include: Zarcovik, Zarcovich and Zarcovic.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

via Belize and Panama, and was scheduled to depart San Jose for Miami. Reportedly, Amador was to fly a Cessna 206 to Colombia and then return for a Titan aircraft.

446. Referencing the August 1985 cable, another cable was sent on August 1985 to Headquarters providing additional information regarding Amador. According to the cable, Amador was scheduled to fly a Cessna 206 from Miami to Costa Rica, via San Salvador and Belize. Alpa had an airfield near Liberia in Guanacaste Province "which is used to receive and transship drugs." According to the cable, the "drugs are brought up from Colombia through Panama up to Limon, Costa Rica and then on to Alpa's private strip near Liberia." The cable identified other persons involved as probably Gerardo Duran, Sergio and Jorge Zarcovich, Carlos Viques, and a fifth individual. The cable explained that the information was viewed as "suspect," that is, not necessarily true, but noted that all of the information in the cable had been passed to the local DEA office.

447. In April 1986, a cable to Headquarters reported information from a March 18, 1986 DEA report regarding Carlos Amador. According to the cable, the DEA report noted that Amador had recently flown a Cessna 402 from Costa Rica to San Salvador where he had access to Hangar 4 at Ilopango air base. The cable also indicated that a"[DEA] source stated that Amador was probably picking up cocaine in San Salvador to fly to Grand Caymen [*sic*] and then to south Florida." The cable also reported that "[DEA] will request that San Salvador police investigate Amador and anyone associated with Hangar 4." The same cable included information from another DEA report, dated April 8, 1986, that linked Amador with Hangar 14 at Tobias Bolanos International Airport in San Jose. The cable also stated that Hangar 14 was allegedly owned by Sergio and Jorge Zarcovic. These two individuals reportedly were under DEA investigation in connection with a shipment of cocaine that was seized in Miami.

448. A June 1986 cable to Headquarters requested information concerning Carlos Amador. According to the cable, an Embassy officer who served as the point of contact for the regional DEA officer

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

requested any information concerning Amador. According to the cable, the regional DEA representative said that Amador was suspected of being heavily involved in narcotics smuggling. Also according to the cable, the DEA representative had explained that:

> Amador is a Nicaraguan who has a US passport, operates out of Costa Rica, allegedly is helping the Contras, frequently flies into Ilopango airport in San Salvador, and carries unspecified official credentials. No information was provided as to why Amador is suspected of narcotics trafficking. The Embassy officer said that if Amador is connected to [CIA], [DEA] will leave him alone, but if not they intend to go after him.[18]

As explained later, Headquarters responded to this request the following day.

449. A September 1986 cable from a Latin American Station reported the:

> . . . names of two individuals linked with Carlos Amador, a Nicaraguan-born legal resident suspected of involvement in narcotics smuggling (subject of previous traffic). The two periodically fly with Amador from Colombia to El Salvador, and recently flew from El Salvador to Curacao under suspicious circumstances. (They carried several barrels of ether as cargo, and after departing San Salvador turned off their radio navigation equipment.)

The cable identified the two as Colombian pilots Victor Hugo Torres and German Vanegas. A September 1986 cable from a Latin American Station and an October 1986 cable from Headquarters indicated no information concerning Victor Hugo Torres or German Vanegas.

450. Three years later, in September 1989, a Headquarters cable referred to the September 1986 cable and stated that Amador was suspected of narcotics trafficking. The cable also linked Vanegas,

---

[18] According to DEA, "one could infer that the DEA was going to turn a blind eye to drug smuggling if AMADOR [*sic*] is a CIA asset. . . .This is not DEA policy and DEAwould not have turned a blind eye in this circumstance."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

described as holding a Colombian pilot license, with Carlos Amador and noted that Vanegas "periodically flies with Amador from Colombia to El Salvador."

451. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An April 1986 cable responded to the April 1986 cable that had connected Amador to probable movement of cocaine to Grand Cayman and south Florida. The cable stated

> .... that the only thing Amador ... transported during these flights [from Ilopango in late 1984] was military supplies. [It has been] reported that Amador did fly into Ilopango several times during 1985 in light twin engine aircraft on trips from [the U.S.] to either Costa Rica or Panama. [There were suspicions that] ... Amador was involved with narcotics.

The cable also stated:

> would appreciate Station advising [DEA] not to make any inquiries to anyone re Hanger [*sic*] no. 4 at Ilopango since only legitimate .... supported operations were conducted from this facility.

No information has been found to indicate whether this information was shared with DEA or that any response was received from DEA regarding the request that DEA be asked to avoid inquiries regarding Hangar 4.

452. The drafter of the April 1986 cable says that he does not recall whether he followed up on the drug allegations reported in the cable. However, he says he is certain that Amador did not pick up cocaine from Hangar 5 and he is not aware of Amador ever being inside Hangar 4. Further, he states that these Contra supply aircraft either dropped their cargo in Nicaragua, or landed and were unloaded in Costa Rica. He also says that, "We were still out there looking in aircraft. They were empty and they would load supplies." He also says, "I was not aware of anything else they carried in the aircraft."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

453. The drafter of that cable notes that another entity conducted operations from Hangar 4. He says he is not certain about the nature or affiliation of that entity, but surmises it may have been associated either with Oliver North, the Private Benefactors, or the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office (NHAO). In any event, he says he had no contact with anyone associated with Hangar 4. As for his request that DEA be asked not to make any inquiries regarding activities in Hangar 4, the officer says his statement was not intended to thwart an investigation of activities in Hangar 4. He concedes, however, that the language in the cable could be read to suggest a meaning he did not intend.

454. According to the drafter, Amador could have come to Ilopango and visited the civilian portion of the air base, and the credentials issued to him by the El Salvadoran Air Force would have been effective on that side of the base as well.

455. The June 1986 cable to Headquarters that requested information concerning Carlos Amador also noted that an Embassy officer who served as the point of contact for the regional DEA officer had requested information concerning Amador. According to the cable, the regional DEA representative had said without further explanation that Amador was suspected of being heavily involved in narcotics smuggling but that DEA would leave him alone if he were connected with CIA.[19] On June 1986, a response to the cable stated:

> A. In November 1984 a Carlos Amador was reported to be a pilot with ARDE.
>
> B. In 1984 a Carlos Amador (born 1937) was reported to have been one of the original investors of the "Alas De Nicaragua, S.A." aerotaxi company, based in Los Brasiles airport. This company was at that time a front organization for the Sandinista National Liberation Front (FSLN). Amador had been a member of the National Guard but became disaffected by the FSLN's gradual takeover of above company and dropped out.

---

[19] *Op. cit.*

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

C. In 1985 a Carlos Amador was reported to be involved in a Colombia to Miami drug smuggling operation. He was to serve as the pilot.

456. In June 1986, Headquarters responded to the cable of June 1986. The Headquarters response provided essentially the same information as the previous June response, but with the following additional details:

. . . .

4. In April 1986, [Amador], described as a former ARDE member, flew a Cessna 402 from Costa Rica to San Salvador where [Amador] has access to Hangar no. 4. It is believed that [Amador] was picking up cocaine in San Salvador to fly to Grand Caymen [*sic*] and then to south Florida. [Amador] has a valid Salvadoran government I.D. that allows [Amador] to operate freely in that country.

457. Amador was one of many pilots flying into and out of Ilopango. Each of the pilots who flew into Ilopango in support of the Contras had an identity document, issued at the direction of the Salvadoran Air Force Commander, that would allow the pilot to fly into Ilopango without having to clear Salvadoran Customs. There was no CIA involvement in the issuance of these documents. No information has been found to indicate how Headquarters knew that Amador had such a credential in June 1986.

458. **Information Sharing With Other U.S. Government Entities.** In August 1985, Headquarters responded to the cable of the same date that reported that Amador was planning to ferry aircraft from Miami to Colombia for use in a planned drug smuggling operation. The Headquarters response stated:

1. This cable documents for the record [the authorization]. . . . to pass substance of [the August 1985 cable] to [DEA]/Miami. We will provide identical information to [DEA Headquarters].

2. Carlos Amador is possibly identifiable with Carlos Amador Perez, a pilot of Nicaraguan citizenship. Per . . . . [cable]

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> dated . . . . November 84, Carlos Amador Perez is an ARDE pilot. Per . . . . [cable] dated . . . . August 84 is [*sic*] part of the new ARDE structure. Per . . . . [cable] dated . . . . November 84, Carlos Amador Perez was one of the initial investors of the Alas De Nicaragua SA, an aerotaxi company, based at Los Brasiles airport, which served as a front for the FSLN. Carlos Amador Perez, an exmember [*sic*] of the National Guard, became disaffected by the FSLN's gradual takeover of the company and dropped out. Per . . . . [cable] dated . . . . August 84, [Amador] was to travel with [an individual], piloting a Cessna with tail number "Titans." (Note: Titan is perhaps a model rather than number.)

The Headquarters cable also provided information regarding Jorge Zarcovik, and three other individuals. No information has been found to indicate whether CIA Headquarters actually passed this information to DEA Headquarters.

459. Referencing the August 1985 cable, a cable to Headquarters later in August 1985 provided additional information regarding Amador. According to the cable, Amador was scheduled to fly a Cessna 206 from Miami to Costa Rica, via San Salvador and Belize, on August 24, 1985. Alpa had an airfield near Liberia in Guanacaste Province "which is used to receive and transship drugs." The cable stated that the "drugs are brought up from Colombia through Panama up to Limon, Costa Rica and then on to Alpa's private strip near Liberia." The cable identified other persons involved as probably Gerardo Duran, Sergio and Jorge Zarcovich, and two other individuals. The cable explained that the information was viewed as "suspect," that is, not necessarily true, but noted that all of the information in the cable had been passed to the local DEA office.

460. No information has been found to indicate that information concerning allegations of drug trafficking by Amador was shared with the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Jose Orlando Bolanos

461. **Background**. Jose Orlando Bolanos was a Nicaraguan who resided in the United States as a young man, was sent to a youth reformatory in New Jersey in the mid-1950s for one year for breaking and entering, served in the U.S. Air Force for six years, was convicted of burglary in New Jersey, and was deported in December 1961.

462. According to Agency records, Bolanos fled Nicaragua in mid-1979. Bolanos claimed in June 1981 that he was UDN's principal fund raiser and that he had elicited the support of the Argentine Government to support his anti-Sandinista activities.

463. According to an August 1982 cable to Headquarters, Bolanos had said in June 1982 that he did not see the possibility of a Nicaragua free of communism and had retired from active participation in anti-Sandinista activities.

464. A January 1989 cable to Headquarters reported that the FBI office in Tallahassee had provided Bolanos' name. Following a review of Agency records, Headquarters responded in a February 8, 1989 cable that provided a summary of information relating to Bolanos from Agency files. The summary included information regarding Bolanos' prior criminal record and his alleged involvement in a potential drug-related transaction in 1982--discussed further below.

465. **Allegations Of Drug Trafficking**. A May 1982 cable reported to Headquarters that a DEA report indicated Bolanos had met in January 1982 with undercover DEA agents in Florida for the purpose of negotiating the sale of 1,000 kilograms of cocaine. Bolanos was reported to have asked for $25,000 to cover the expenses of introducing the undercover DEA agents to the Bolivian supplier of the cocaine.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

466. The cable added Bolanos was considered to be "strongly anti-drug but at the same time as an operator committed to the Nicaraguan counter revolution" and that Bolanos "is attempting to garner expense money to continue his fund raising efforts for the counter revolution."

467. An officer says he recalls the 1982 DEA report and his May cable, and comments that Bolanos told him about a "scam" Bolanos was going to participate in to raise "expense money." The officer says it is his opinion that the events described in the May cable pertained to the scam and that Bolanos' intent was not to engage in drug trafficking but to " . . . take the [$25,000] and run."

468. CIA received other allegations of possible illegal conduct by Bolanos unrelated to drug trafficking:

- A February 1982 FBI report stated that Bolanos had claimed that a group of anti-Sandinistas he was affiliated with was responsible for a lethal bomb attack on the Nicaraguan Embassy in El Salvador.

- In May 1986, a cable reported to Headquarters that Bolanos was implicated in an FBI investigation into a 1981 shipment of "light weapons" from Miami to "anti-Sandinista forces." The cable did not state whether Bolanos was the subject of the investigation.

469. A December 23, 1992 U.S. Embassy/Guatemala telegram to DoS--with an information copy to the FBI--discussed a visa request by Bolanos. Citing information provided to the U.S. Embassy via a telephone call from a U.S. law enforcement agency, the Embassy telegram described Bolanos as someone who " . . . has been and continues to be extremely valuable to [two] government agenc[ies]."

470. The Embassy telegram also referred to a Bolanos claim in an interview with a U.S. Embassy official that he had worked with the FBI on a plan to bring a controlled delivery of cocaine from Bolivia to Guatemala for eventual shipment to the United States. The

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

telegram cited Bolanos as claiming that he " . . . did not want to miss an opportunity to secure extra funds for the Contras" and that "his assistance would contribute to the war on drugs (a personal passion) . . . ." Bolanos claimed, according to the telegram, that he " . . . . would [in return] keep the advance payment on the first delivery for transfer to the Contra organization."

471. **CIA Response to Allegations Of Drug Trafficking.** In response to the May 1982 cable, Headquarters attempted to obtain from DEA Headquarters a copy of the report regarding Bolanos' meeting with undercover DEA agents to negotiate a cocaine sale. When this was unsuccessful, CIA asked a field Station to send a copy. No information has been found to indicate that CIA received a copy of the DEA report or took any other action in response to the allegations that had been received from DEA in 1982.

472. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** All allegations of possible drug trafficking by Bolanos were received by CIA from other U.S. Government entities. On February 7, 1982, DEA requested that CIA conduct a records check on Bolanos in connection with "an ongoing investigation" of an unspecified nature. CIA's February 1982 response provided information pertaining to Bolanos' anti-Sandinista activities and other biographic information that included his early criminal activity in the United States in the 1950s and 1960s. CIA also advised DEA to contact the FBI and DoS for additional information regarding Bolanos.

473. The May 1982 allegation from a DEA report that Bolanos met with undercover DEA personnel to discuss a cocaine transaction in January 1982 was discussed in a January 21, 1987 Memorandum concerning alleged Contra drug trafficking connections that was coordinated with other Intelligence Community agencies and DEA. This Memorandum was prepared in response to a request from Morton Abramowitz, the DoS Assistant Secretary for Intelligence and Research at the time, for allegations in CIA's possession regarding connections between the Contras and drug traffickers. The Memorandum noted that Bolanos had been offered expense money

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

by undercover law enforcement officers in connection with the proposed cocaine transaction, but had refused and the transaction was never consummated.

474. A March 31, 1988 OCA MFR indicated that, on March 29, 1988, SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes was provided a copy of the January 21, 1987 Memorandum that had been sent to Ambassador Abramowitz. A May 18, 1988 letter to the DCI from SSCI Chairman David Boren and Vice Chairman William Cohen indicated that the SSCI had "determined to commence an inquiry into those aspects of the narcotics trafficking problem in Latin America that fall within the Committee's jurisdiction." A June 6, 1988 DO position paper prepared for OCA in response to questions posed in the SSCI letter that were to be discussed in a June 8, 1988 meeting between CIA officials and SSCI Staff indicated that allegations against Bolanos were discussed in the January 21, 1987 Memorandum. The DO position paper did not describe the specific allegations against Bolanos.

475. No information has been found to indicate that CIA officials discussed the drug trafficking allegations against Bolanos at the June 8, 1988 meeting with SSCI Staff members. A June 9, 1988 DO MFR describing the meeting did not refer to the Bolanos allegations.

476. Subsequent to the February 1989 cable noting the FBI had provided Bolanos' name, a February 1989 Headquarters cable authorized passage to the FBI the "substance" of the file summary that Headquarters had provided in its February cable. The February Headquarters cable made clear, however, there was no authorization to pass any information to the FBI office relating to the January 1982 meeting between Bolanos and undercover DEA personnel. Instead, there were instructions to "advise [the FBI office] that they may wish to check with [DEA] for further information."

477. Markings on a December 2, 1992 unclassified U.S. Embassy/Guatemala telegram relating to a visa request by Bolanos indicate that the Agency informed DoS on March 19, 1993 that it

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

should " . . . refer to the FBI, DEA and INS for possible information on Subject, as well as the [State] Department's own files."

478. A May 1993 cable to Headquarters reported that the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) was conducting an investigation into allegations that Bolanos was engaged in cocaine trafficking, arms smuggling and illegal immigrant smuggling into the United States. The cable did not provide any specific information regarding the allegations, but asked Headquarters to provide information from Agency files pertaining to Bolanos that could be shared with the INS office.

479. Headquarters responded in a June 1993 cable indicating that, since Bolanos was the target of a criminal investigation in the United States, information in CIA files pertaining to Bolanos should be disseminated "at Headquarters level." Nevertheless, a June 1993 cable from Headquarters provided a brief file summary relating to Bolanos' prior criminal record and his business interests and indicated approval to pass this file summary to INS. Moreover, the Headquarters cable stated that "INS should also be referred to DEA and the FBI for additional information . . . relating to Bolanos." However, the following day Headquarters sent a cable directing that the summary be used for internal purposes only and reiterated that INS should direct its inquiry to Headquarters. No information has been found to indicate any communications between INS and CIA Headquarters in this regard.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Moises Nunez

480. **Background.** During the 1980s, Cuban-American Moises Nunez was affiliated--either as an owner or a senior manager--with three seafood companies: Productos Del Atlantico in Limon, Costa Rica; Ocean Hunter/Mr. Shrimp in Miami; and Frigorificos De Puntarenas in Puntarenas, Costa Rica. Frigorificos was among the companies that were used by the Department of State in the mid-1980s to channel humanitarian aid to the Contras. In the mid-1980s, Nunez was also a narcotics officer with the Government of Costa Rica.

481. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a November 10, 1986 DEA Investigation Report, the DEA office in Costa Rica had, over two or three years, "received information from various sources regarding Nunez' alleged involvement in cocaine smuggling through Frigorificos De Puntarenas." The DEA Report noted that Nunez had entered the United States from Costa Rica in late 1985 in the company of a documented money launderer and that Nunez' name had been found among the personal papers of an individual arrested in connection with the seizure of cocaine.

482. The November 1986 DEA report also cited "hearsay" information obtained from two sources who alleged that cocaine was flown from Colombia to Costa Rica, where it was unloaded at airstrips owned by John Hull and another U.S. citizen. The cocaine was then reportedly transported to Frigorificos for shipping as frozen seafood to Ocean Hunter/Mr. Shrimp in Miami. These sources identified Nunez and Frank Chanes[20] as running the Frigorificos operation. No information has been found to indicate that either this

[20] Chanes was the director of a company called Mr. Shrimp, which had the same telephone number and address as Ocean Hunter. Mr. Shrimp and Ocean Hunter were separate companies, although both were allegedly being used to ship cocaine concealed in seafood sent from Frigorificos De Puntarenas.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

November 1986 DEA Report or the information on which it was based was made available to CIA at that time.[21]

483. A September 1984 cable to Headquarters indicated a request had been made for traces concerning Nunez from the DEA. The cable indicated the response received was "no derogatory results from these traces," although no information has been found to indicate that the Agency requested traces on Nunez from DEA Headquarters at that time.

484. A Headquarters cable in April 1986 provided a synopsis of an April 11 article in *The Washington Post* regarding an FBI probe into allegations that the Contras and their U.S.-based supporters were engaged in arms smuggling and narcotics trafficking. The last paragraph of the article noted that one Contra cocaine smuggling operation centered on an unnamed leading member of the 2506 Brigade who owned a seafood export business he was allegedly using to smuggle cocaine into the United States. An April 1986 Headquarters cable indicated that Headquarters believed Chanes was the individual referred to in this paragraph of *The Washington Post* story.

485. American journalists Martha Honey and Tony Avirgan filed suit in U.S. federal court in May 1986 against individuals whom they alleged had been involved in the 1984 La Penca bombing and in drug trafficking to support the Contras. Nunez was among those named in the suit, the details of which were obtained at the time by CIA.

486. According to the December 1988 Report of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee's Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations, titled "Drugs, Law Enforcement and Foreign Policy," Senator John Kerry had advised CIA, the Justice Department, DEA, State Department, and the NHAO in May 1986 of allegations he had received that Luis Rodriguez and

---

[21] According to DEA, at that time, based on available information, there would not have been any reason for DEA to have passed this particular smuggling information to CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

his companies--Frigorificos and Ocean Hunter--were involved in money laundering and drug trafficking. No record has been found to indicate that CIA ever received this information from Senator Kerry.

487. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Immediately following the April 11, 1986 *Washington Post* story, a Headquarters cable asked for a determination to be made concerning the nature of the business relationship between Nunez and Chanes. The April response noted that the source of the information contained in the FBI report that was cited as the basis for *The Washington Post* story was Jack Terrell. It was noted that it had been reported many times that "Chanes is a close personal friend and business associate" of Nunez.

488. An April 1986 response from Headquarters stated that the basis for the Agency's concern about Nunez was FBI information indicating that Chanes and "his partner" had offered the Civilian Military Assistance Group ten percent of the profits from the sale of frozen lobster. The cable indicated that this allegation, coupled with *The Washington Post* claim that one of the cocaine traffickers owned a seafood business, could cause trouble for Nunez if Chanes should be involved in "illegal activity." Headquarters acknowledged that it was aware of the Nunez-Chanes business relationship, but stated that it had no record of the precise nature of that relationship.

489. A September 1986 Headquarters cable contained information from CIA files concerning Chanes. Among the reports cited was a January 1986 cable reporting that DEA had seized over 400 pounds of cocaine that was concealed in cargo addressed to Ocean Hunter. The cable noted, however, that "there is no information to substantiate or refute that Chanes was either directly or indirectly involved in drug trafficking." No information has been found in CIA records to indicate that Chanes was ever arrested for or charged with drug trafficking.

490. On March 25, 1987, CIA questioned Nunez about narcotics trafficking allegations against him. Nunez revealed that since 1985, he had engaged in a clandestine relationship with the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

National Security Council (NSC). Nunez refused to elaborate on the nature of these actions, but indicated it was difficult to answer questions relating to his involvement in narcotics trafficking because of the specific tasks he had performed at the direction of the NSC. Nunez refused to identify the NSC officials with whom he had been involved.

491. Headquarters cabled in April 1987 that a decision had been made to "debrief" Nunez regarding the revelations he had made. The next day however, a Headquarters cable stated that "Headquarters has decided against . . . debriefing Nunez." The cable offered no explanation for the decision.

492. Then-CATF Compliance Officer and Policy and Plans Chief Louis Dupart does not recall why the decision was made not to send anyone to debrief Nunez. He says, however, that the Agency position was not to get involved in this matter, and to turn it over to others because "it had nothing to do with the Agency, but with the National Security Council. We. . . . told Congress and [Independent Counsel for Iran-Contra] Walsh. That's all we had to do. It was someone else's problem."

493. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to an April 13, 1987 MFR written by OCA's David Pearline, CIA Counterintelligence Chief Gus Hathaway and Dupart briefed Senators Rudman and Cohen of the Senate Select Committee on Secret Military Assistance to Iran and Nicaraguan Opposition on April 10, 1987 regarding Nunez' claim of his involvement with the NSC. Rudman and Cohen reportedly asked that the Senate Committee Staff interview Nunez on these matters. Dupart offered to facilitate an interview in a third country. No information has been found to indicate whether such an interview occurred.

494. In his written response to CIA/OIG questions, Fiers states that he does not recall "precisely" why no one was sent to debrief Nunez. However:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> My recollection is that because of the NSC connection and the possibility that this could be somehow connected to the Private Benefactor program (otherwise known as the Iran Contra affair) a decision was made not to pursue this matter, but rather to turn it over to Judge Walsh [the Independent Counsel for Iran-Contra]. I don't recall exactly the decision making process; it is my recollection, however, that this was a group/consensus decision. Perhaps legal records will shed more light on this.

495. In September 1987, Nunez was interviewed in San Jose. The interview report indicated that Nunez denied any relationship with the NSC or with anyone doing work for the NSC. The report made no mention of drug trafficking. A February 1988 CIA memorandum indicated that information on Nunez was turned over to the Iran-Contra OIC in response to its requests for information relating to its investigation.

496. In a December 12, 1991 memorandum, the DEA Administrator requested information from CIA concerning Nunez and any association he may have had with the Agency, indicating that Nunez had become involved in a criminal investigation. The U.S. Customs Service sent a request to CIA for information concerning Nunez on December 17, 1992. The Agency's responses to DEA on December 13, 1991 and to Customs on December 14, 1992, respectively, made no mention of Nunez' possible involvement in drug trafficking, although Customs was referred to the Agency's Office of Security (OS) for additional information. No information has been found to indicate any further request from, or any further response to, DEA or the Customs Service in regard to Nunez.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Gustavo Quezada

497. **Background.** Gustavo Quezada Acuna, also known as "Waykie," was a former Chief of Transportation in the Nicaraguan Air Force who left Nicaragua in March 1982 and was granted political asylum in the United States. Quezada joined the FDN air arm in early 1985.

498. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A March 1985 cable to Headquarters relayed information regarding connections between Contra figures and the Miami-based drug trafficker Jorge Morales. Contra and Costa Rican pilots were making drug flights for Morales in accordance with an agreement between Morales and "Popo" Chamorro. Reportedly Quezada and Gerardo Duran had been in contact with Morales and had made flights for Morales. The implication was that the flights that Quezada and Duran were making for Morales were drug-related, but the cable did not specifically state this.

499. In a March 1985, a cable informed Headquarters that there were "rumors" among the Contras that Quezada "had become involved with narcotics traffickers." In addition, the local DEA representative had indicated that Quezada was "definitely in contact with known narcotics traffickers" and was involved in activities that had resulted in DEA confiscation in the United States of an aircraft, 400 kilograms of cocaine and U.S. currency. The nature of Quezada's "involvement" or "contact with known narcotics traffickers" was not specified in the cable. The March cable did, however, offer the opinion that there was "no evidence at this time connecting [Quezada's] activity with [Pastora's] narcotics operation."

500. A May 1987 U.S. Customs Service response to a CIA trace request stated that a 1986 Treasury Department record referred to Quezada's alleged involvement in narcotics smuggling via aircraft. No information has been found to indicate whether this information

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

was based on the same allegations that were reported by DEA in March 1985, or on other activities by Quezada.

501. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The March 1985 cable to Headquarters indicated that Quezada had provided both verbal and written reports in his defense. The cable noted, however, the written report had been reviewed and found not to address the allegation that he had been involved in activities relating to the DEA seizure of 400 kilograms of cocaine in the United States. The written report provided by Quezada had been shared, according to the cable, with the DEA Country Office.

502. The March 1985 cable also expressed the opinion that whether Quezada was "a witting or unwitting accomplice [in drug trafficking] has yet to be determined, although all indications are pointing towards [Quezada] being aware of more significant information" than he had provided in his report. The cable indicated that CIA was sending a representative to a meeting between Quezada and representatives of a U.S. law enforcement agency in an effort to obtain his cooperation.

503. A March 1985 cable reported to Headquarters that Quezada had met and agreed to cooperate with a U.S. law enforcement agency. The cable reported that the DEA representative had said that Quezada "possibly was unwitting of the recent narcotics trafficking activity as arrests and confiscation of materiel [*sic*] occurred after [Quezada] had broken contact." The cable reported that DEA would continue investigating to clarify Quezada's involvement in illegal activities in the United States.

504. In May 1985, a cable informed Headquarters that Quezada had been contacted by the "infamous Gerardo Duran" and that Duran had told Quezada that Marcos Aguado--a pilot for Pastora--wanted to talk to Quezada.

505. No information has been found to indicate that any further action was taken by CIA to resolve these allegations. No information has been found to indicate that CIA was ever advised

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

after March 1985 of the results of the DEA investigation into Quezada's possible involvement in drug trafficking.

506. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to cables reporting in March 1985, CIA and DEA officials met to discuss the allegations against Quezada. DEA was the source of the most specific allegations received by CIA regarding drug trafficking on the part of Quezada. CIA reportedly assisted the local DEA representative in gathering information that would clarify the validity of the allegations.

507. No information has been found to indicate that information relating to allegations of drug trafficking by Quezada was provided to Congress by CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Felipe Vidal

508. **Background.** Felipe Vidal del Calvo, a Cuban-American, was associated with John Hull and, Moises Nunez.

509. Vidal served as a logistics coordinator for the Contras. In November 1988, Vidal became an independent contractor for the Agency, continuing to work with the Contras.

510. His employment with CIA was terminated in February 1990 because he had been linked in the Costa Rican press to the La Penca bombing. This media attention had, according to a January 1990 cable to Headquarters, "raised his profile to an unacceptable level."

511. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A June 1986 FBI response to a trace request by CIA indicated that he had been convicted in Miami of several felonies between 1969 and 1980, including a 1971 conviction for conspiracy to violate U.S. narcotics laws. The FBI response also indicated Vidal had been arrested in January 1977 for selling marijuana and conspiracy to sell marijuana, although those charges had been dismissed. Vidal's complete arrest record was included in the Agency's Office of Security (OS) file regarding him. Other CIA records included a reference to his conviction for illegal possession of a firearm, but included no mention of his 1971 conviction, or 1977 arrest, in connection with narcotics trafficking.

512. A December 1984 cable reported to Headquarters that Vidal had ties to Rene Corvo, a Cuban-American who might be involved in drug trafficking with Frank Castro. A June 1986 MFR written by CATF's Costa Rica desk officer concerning Vidal noted that Vidal had helped Corvo raise funds in Miami for the Contras and that he had joined Corvo's Cuban-American brigade in Costa Rica in mid-1983. This relationship ended in mid-1984, according to the MFR.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

513. In May 1986, American reporters Martha Honey and Tony Avirgan filed a civil suit against Vidal, Hull, Nunez, Adolfo Calero, and others, alleging that they were behind the 1984 La Penca bombing attempt on Eden Pastora's life and were funding their conspiracy through cocaine trafficking. These allegations were widely publicized in the U.S. and Costa Rican media. In 1990, a new round of press accounts, published in connection with a Costa Rican Public Ministry report on the bombing, identified Vidal and Hull as the masterminds behind the plot and said that the official report had called for charging the two with murder.

514. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A June 1986 internal CIA OS memorandum noted that FBI trace results regarding Vidal "reflect an assortment of assault, robbery, narcotics and firearms violations." No information has been found to indicate that the information regarding Vidal's record that was made available to OS by the FBI was shared outside OS.

515. CATF Compliance Officer Louis Dupart says that he was not aware of Vidal's 1971 conviction for narcotics trafficking, but notes that the OS would not have shared the arrest record with the DO because Vidal was a U.S. person. Dupart states that he would have questioned whether "we need this guy" had he known about Vidal's arrest record at the time of his recruitment.

516. CATF Chief Fiers, in his written response to CIA/OIG questions states that he:

> . . . was aware that [Vidal] had a record of misbehavior and general thuggery as youth. I do not recall nor do I believe that it was ever mentioned that this included a drug conviction.

517. The June 1986 memorandum from OS stated that Vidal had completed a favorable security interview in February 1986.

518. In January 1987, CATF advised the Station by cable that Vidal should have another security interview to determine whether

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

he was involved with drug traffickers. Although the CATF cable dismissed the allegations in the Costa Rican press as a "rehash of Honey/Avirgan stories," it noted that it would be "prudent" to reexamine Vidal.

519. Vidal was interviewed again by CIA Security in January 1987. According to a February 11, 1987 report, CIA Security did not have concerns about Vidal's alleged involvement in drug trafficking, since being involved in the Contra movement. On the basis of this report, a February 1987 Headquarters cable indicated that CATF had provided Vidal with documentation so he could continue working for CIA.

520. In July 1987, CATF cable cited two worrisome concerns about Vidal. The first was that Vidal recently listed his former employer as Ocean Hunter, a firm allegedly linked to narcotics trafficking activity. Second, Vidal had recently been mentioned several times "by true name" in television and news commentaries regarding Contra involvement in narcotics trafficking.

521. A July 1987 cable responded to Headquarters that the "negative repercussions" from Vidal's past employment with Ocean Hunter were balanced by the fact that he had favorable security interviews, and there were other indications of his reliability. In July 1987, the LA Division Chief asked OS to continue security processing. An August 10, 1987 response indicated that the Director of Security declined to continue security processing and that OGC had concurred in that decision.

522. An August 5, 1987 memorandum explained OGC's reasoning for its concurrence in not continuing security processing involving Vidal again. According to the memorandum, Associate Deputy General Counsel Gary Chase advised against further security processing of Vidal because "narcotics trafficking relative to Contra-related activities is exactly the sort of thing that the U.S. Attorney's Office will be investigating." Thus, Chase reportedly expressed

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"concern over the possibility that the [security] process . . . could be exposed during any future litigation."

523. No information has been found to indicate that Vidal was questioned a third time by the Office of Security. According to an August 1987 cable, however, Vidal was questioned by a CATF attorney in "July/August 87" regarding allegations of Contra involvement in drug trafficking. According to the cable, Vidal's answers satisfied the attorney. CATF Compliance Officer Dupart recalls that he was the attorney and that he was satisfied that Vidal had not been involved in drug trafficking during his relationship with CIA.

524. In January 1990, after Vidal had again been accused in the Costa Rican press of being involved in the La Penca bombing, Headquarters decided to end his employment.

525. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to the December 1988 Report of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee's Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations, Senator John Kerry had informed CIA, DoJ and the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office in May 1986 of allegations that Luis Rodriguez and two of his companies--Frigorificos De Puntarenas and Ocean Hunter (which employed Vidal in 1985)--were involved in drug trafficking. No information has been found to indicate that CIA ever received this information from Kerry.

526. A January 1986 cable provided a biographic profile of Vidal to Headquarters. The profile included information that Vidal had been employed by Ocean Hunter in 1985. However, no information has been found to indicate that CIA was aware of Ocean Hunter's link to drug trafficking until a September 1986 Headquarters cable noted that DEA had seized 414 pounds of cocaine in Miami in January 1986 that was concealed in a shipment of yucca from David Mayorga and was "allegedly addressed to Ocean Hunter, Inc."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

527. According to an October 16, 1986 MFR written by OCA's Deputy Director of Senate Affairs Alvin K. Dorn, CATF Chief Fiers briefed Senator John Kerry on October 15. The MFR indicated that Fiers provided Kerry with several "prepared sheets" responding to questions raised by Kerry following an October 10 Fiers briefing. One of the sheets provided information concerning Vidal, including his employment with Ocean Hunter, his relationship with Rene Corvo and his two convictions for illegal possession of firearms in the early 1970s. There was no mention in this sheet, however, of Vidal's arrests and conviction for drug trafficking.

528. According to a July 10, 1987 OGC memorandum, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida was investigating neutrality and gun running violations by Contra-related individuals and was concerned that some of these individuals would "allege that they were conducting their activities on behalf of CIA or the National Security Council" if they were indicted. Consequently, in order to determine whether such a defense "would have any viability," the U.S. Attorney's Office had requested that CIA provide "any documents" in its possession "which report on the Contra-related activities" of 18 named individuals and companies. Vidal and Ocean Hunter were among the names on the U.S. Attorney's list. The OGC memorandum requested that the DO indicate whether CIA had a relationship with any of these individuals or companies. Handwritten notations on the list indicated that the DO advised OGC that no information had been found regarding Ocean Hunter. No information has been found to indicate why information in CIA files pertaining to Ocean Hunter was not reported to OGC at this time or whether the incomplete information was provided to the Florida U.S. Attorney's Office.

529. A September 1988 Headquarters cable indicated that the Iran-Contra Independent Counsel had requested in April 1988 an interview with Vidal in connection with its prosecution of CIA employee Joseph Fernandez. The cable requested that the Station verify whether it had informed Vidal of the Independent Counsel's request in April. A September cabled response to Headquarters indicated that Vidal had been informed of the Independent Counsel's

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

request, and that he had refused to meet with the Independent Counsel. Nonetheless, a March 1989 memorandum to then-General Counsel Russell Bruemmer from an OGC attorney indicated that CIA was trying to persuade Vidal to consent to an interview with the Independent Counsel and that consideration was being given to paying any legal expenses Vidal might incur. No information has been found to indicate whether Vidal ever met with the Independent Counsel.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

NORTHERN FRONT CONTRAS

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING NORTHERN FRONT CONTRAS? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES?

Adolfo Calero

530. **Background.** Adolfo Calero Portocarrero, an American-educated businessman and politician, was originally active--as were many other Nicaraguan political figures who went on to become Contra leaders--in working to bring down the regime of Anastasio Somoza. After Somoza's ouster in 1979, U.S. Embassy officials reported from Managua that Calero initially sought to work with the FSLN Government, claiming that all sectors needed to contribute to the political and economic reconstruction of Nicaragua. However, Calero had publicly criticized the Sandinistas by late 1980 for "setting themselves up as gods." In December 1982, he left Nicaragua in protest of FSLN policies.

531. On leaving Nicaragua, Calero joined the FDN and became a member of its leadership. In January 1983, he traveled to Panama, Costa Rica and Colombia to seek support. That same month, he helped draft a FDN peace initiative calling for elections, pluralism, nonalignment in foreign affairs, and respect for individual and human rights. Calero later became President and Commander-in-Chief of the FDN, the preeminent Contra group that pursued resistance activities on the Northern Front from bases in Honduras.

532. When pressures to unify the Contra forces led to the creation of UNO in mid-1985, Calero--along with Arturo Cruz and Alfonso Robelo--was named to share authority and decision making control of the military effort. Disputes with Cruz and Robelo led to Calero's resignation from the UNO leadership in early 1987.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

However, with the founding of a successor coalition, the Nicaraguan Resistance (RN), in May of that year, Calero was restored to a senior leadership position. In that position, Calero differed with Enrique Bermudez, the RN military commander, over strategies for cease fire negotiations.

533. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A number of Central American publications and public figures mentioned the Northern Contra Front forces in the context of broad-based charges of drug trafficking by the Contras. A cable informed Headquarters in February 1988 that a Nicaraguan exile had alleged at a meeting in Miami, Florida, that Enrique Bermudez, Adolfo Calero, Aristides Sanchez, and another individual were all involved in drug smuggling. The purpose of the meeting in Miami at which this allegation was made was to invite former Nicaraguan National Guard members to return to Nicaragua under a Sandinista amnesty program. The Nicaraguan exile reportedly offered no substantiation for his allegations. According to the cable, he had been characterized by both a U.S. law enforcement source and CIA as mentally unstable.

534. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a February 1988 Headquarters cable, CIA records were searched in February 1988 regarding the Nicaraguan exile in response to his allegations that Calero and other UNO/FDN leaders had engaged in drug smuggling. The cable indicated that a number of sources characterized him as unstable, a swindler and as having a reputation of being a drug dealer in Nicaragua before leaving that country in 1983.

535. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** In February 1988, the information contained in the February 1988 cable concerning the drug trafficking allegation by the Nicaraguan exile against Calero and other Contra leaders was forwarded by CIA to the FBI.

536. No record has been found to indicate that the allegation received by CIA regarding Calero and drug trafficking was reported to Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Enrique Bermudez

537. **Background.** Enrique Bermudez Varela served as an officer in the Nicaraguan National Guard Corps of Engineers from 1952-1979. During his military career, he was a student at the U.S. Army School of the Americas, the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College and the Inter-American Defense College. After completing his study at the Inter-American Defense College, Bermudez was assigned as the Nicaraguan Defense Attaché in Washington from 1976 to 1979. During that period, Bermudez was openly critical of the Somoza Regime and its General Staff.

538. Subsequent to the Sandinistas' consolidation of control in Nicaragua as the Government of National Reconstruction (GRN), Bermudez was identified in the first half of 1980 as the "War Chief" of the anti-Sandinista organization, Democratic Armed Force. In the spring of 1981, Bermudez was identified as the Chief of the Military arm of the Nicaraguan Democratic Revolutionary Alliance (ADREN), the 15th of September Legion. In September 1981, the ADREN merged with the Nicaraguan Democratic Union (UDN) and formed the Nicaraguan Democratic Force (FDN).

539. Bermudez was ousted as the FDN's Chief of General Staff in late 1982 as part of the restructuring of the FDN, and he was then appointed the "Political-Military Coordinator" of the FDN Directorate with responsibility for oversight of the FDN's military organization. Nevertheless, he remained the de facto leader of the FDN military organization. In January 1983, he identified himself as the FDN directorate member responsible for military affairs and effectively the "Commander-in-Chief" of FDN forces. In February 1984, the FDN General Staff was abolished and replaced by a combined Civil-Military command with Adolfo Calero as Commander-in-Chief and Enrique Bermudez as Chief, Military Affairs.

540. Throughout the 1980s, Bermudez was dogged by attacks on his leadership and by accusations that he was a Somoza supporter

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

and that he had attempted to recruit former Nicaraguan National Guard personnel into the FDN. Bermudez was finally ousted by the Army of the Nicaraguan Resistance (ERN)/North General Staff from his position as the resistance's senior military leader in February 1990.

541. On February 16, 1991, Bermudez was assassinated in Managua, Nicaragua. Speculation was widespread that he was killed by Sandinista supporters.

542. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A September 1981 cable informed Headquarters that reportedly Bermudez had advised the ADREN leadership against engaging in drug smuggling to the United States, but that a decision had been made to pursue such activities to finance ADREN's anti-Sandinista operations. Reportedly, as a result, an initial effort was made in July 1981 when an ADREN member carried cocaine to Miami aboard a commercial flight. Although Bermudez was the ADREN Military Chief and a member of the ADREN leadership, there was no indication that he was directly involved in such activities.

543. A February 1988 cable informed Headquarters that a Nicaraguan exile had alleged at a meeting in Miami, Florida that Enrique Bermudez, Adolfo Calero, Aristides Sanchez, and another individual were all involved in drug smuggling. The purpose of the meeting in Miami at which this allegation was made was to invite former Nicaraguan National Guard members to return to Nicaragua under a Sandinista amnesty program. The Nicaraguan exile reportedly offered no substantiation for the allegation that any of the named Contra leaders were involved in drug smuggling. Both a U.S. law enforcement source and CIA had characterized him as mentally unstable.

544. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The September 1981 allegation that the ADREN had decided to smuggle drugs into the United States to finance its activities was disseminated by CIA on September 14, 1981 in a cable to the State Department; DIA; NSA; Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command; and U.S.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Ambassadors in Central America. The information also was disseminated to DEA, FBI, Customs, Treasury, and Intelligence Community agencies on October 28, 1981 as an Intelligence Information Report.

545. In February 1982, CIA Headquarters responded to a name trace request with a cable stating that Enrique Bermudez "is not associated with the 'renegade' 15th of September Legion members who are probably using their acquaintance with him as a means to gain some respectability." The 15th of September Legion was the designation of the military wing of the ADREN and was described by the Headquarters cable as engaged in criminal activities, including drug smuggling.

546. A CIA records search was conducted in February 1988 regarding the Nicaraguan exile in response to his allegations that month that Bermudez and other UNO/FDN leaders had engaged in drug smuggling. The search, according to a February 1988 Headquarters cable, indicated that a number of sources characterized him as unstable, a swindler and as having a reputation of being a drug dealer in Nicaragua before leaving that country in 1983.

547. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As mentioned earlier, a CIA intelligence report entitled "ADREN Operations to Smuggle Narcotics Into the U.S. to Finance Its Anti-Sandinista Activities" was disseminated to DEA, FBI, Customs Service, Treasury, and Intelligence Community agencies on October 28, 1981. The report related the allegation that the ADREN leadership intended to smuggle drugs into the United States to finance its activities against the GRN. The report also included the allegation that, in the initial effort in July 1981, cocaine was carried to Miami aboard a commercial flight by an ADREN member. The information had been shared with the State Department, DIA, NSA, Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command and U.S. Ambassadors in Central America by cable on September 14, 1981.

548. On February 9, 1988, the information contained in the February 1988 cable concerning the drug trafficking allegation by the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Nicaraguan exile against Bermudez and other Contra leaders was forwarded by CIA to the FBI.

549. No record has been found to indicate that either of the allegations received by CIA regarding Bermudez and drug trafficking was reported to the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Mario Jose Calero

550. **Background**. In the mid-1980s, Mario Calero, the brother of Contra leader Adolfo Calero, was the FDN's purchasing agent in New Orleans. An August 1985 cable to Headquarters reported that the FDN had contracted with a Honduran-registered airplane charter company named "Compania ORBE" to transport non-lethal aid from New Orleans to the FDN. The company was reported to be operating one DC-6. The cable indicated that FDN officials had become uneasy in dealing with ORBE officials because they charged the FDN unusually low rates, appeared to be overly eager to please and appeared to not be knowledgeable about certain aspects of the air charter business. As a result of these concerns, the FDN reportedly had decided that FDN personnel would not be allowed to accompany the DC-6 when it returned to the United States after each charter flight. Moreover, the cable indicated that Mario Calero had informed unnamed U.S. law enforcement officials that the ORBE aircraft was only under charter by the FDN when it carried FDN cargo from New Orleans to Honduras, and was not under FDN charter on its return flights to the United States.

551. In July 1988, Mario Calero and six other individuals were indicted in Miami, Florida, for Neutrality Act violations involving arms smuggling. However, the charges against Calero and five of the six other defendants were dismissed in July 1989.

552. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking**. A February 1986 cable to Headquarters reported an allegation that Mario Calero was engaged in drug trafficking. No specific details of the alleged drug trafficking were provided, although the cable noted that the individual making the allegation provided it to an FDN supporter following a meeting with Eden Pastora. No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any action to investigate the validity of the allegation. The FDN supporter and the individual who reportedly made the allegation say they do not know of any information linking Mario Calero to drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

553. An April 1988 cable to Headquarters reported that a person had been approached by several individuals, including Mario Calero, who were interested in locating an alternative airport for shipping supplies to the Contras. The individuals also reportedly indicated they had an unspecified association with CIA. The person who had been approached said that, although he had no basis for his suspicions, he was concerned that these persons might take advantage of his good name by sending illegal supplies to the Contras or engaging in drug trafficking.

554. In December 1985, a cable reported to Headquarters that the Associated Press planned to publish a story on the FDN that included a claim that Mario Calero was taking kickbacks on FDN arms purchases. The cable did not provide any specific information, however, regarding the nature and extent of the alleged kickbacks.

555. In December 1985, a cable informed Headquarters that rumors were circulating in the local Latin community to the effect that Mario Calero was personally profiting from U.S.-originated aid for the FDN that transited New Orleans and that CIA was aware of this. The cable also said that the FBI and U.S. Customs Service had received similar reports, some possibly generated by media inquiries regarding Calero's activities. The cable added that there was no information to substantiate the allegations and that there was no indication of any CIA contacts with Mario Calero in New Orleans.

556. A December 1985 response observed that the allegations may have been based on jealousy and speculation, especially with respect to Mario Calero's expanded activities as a purchasing agent acting on behalf of the DoS-sponsored Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office (NHAO).

557. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any action in response to allegations of drug trafficking by Calero. However, a November 1986 Headquarters cable warned that Mario Calero's poor reputation was a potential hindrance to the Contras:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Mario Calero has one of the most seamy reputations of all the people involved in the Nicaraguan Democratic Resistance. Rightly or wrongly, he is seen as being up to his knees in corruption. Moreover, he is viewed as being nothing more than a hatchet man for the hardcore unreconstructed right of the FDN. In short, he is a symbol to our critics of all that is perceived to be rotten in the FDN. Whether or not this reputation is justified is immaterial: it is real.

558. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities**. In March 1986, CIA responded to a trace request from the FBI by noting that it had received unconfirmed allegations that Calero had accepted kickbacks. Additionally, the CIA response stated that CIA would appreciate any information the FBI could provide regarding the allegations. No information has been found to indicate such a response from the FBI.

559. No information has been found to indicate that Congress was informed that CIA had received drug trafficking allegations against Mario Calero. However, a June 12, 1985 routing slip from the DO to CIA's Comptroller contained, as an attachment, DO responses to a number of questions regarding the Contras that the House Appropriations Committee (HAC) had asked CIA on May 2, 1985. One question related to allegations of corruption by Contra officials. A portion of the DO response to that question stated:

> There have been a number of allegations that Mario Calero may be skimming funds from NHAO but we have nothing to confirm it. The Agency is by law forbidden to engage in law enforcement activities in the U.S. . . . .

No information has been found to indicate the date and circumstances of CIA's conveyance of this information to the HAC.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Juan Ramon Rivas

560. **Background.** Juan Rivas, whose war name was *El Quiche*, was a former Nicaraguan National Guard officer who joined the Contra resistance in 1981. Upon the organization of the FDN, he became the instructor of the first fighters to enter Nicaragua in July 1982. He later helped organize the Jorge Salazar Force, an FDN combat unit, and became its commander. By August 1986, Rivas had constructed a task force of five regional commands with a total of 5,000 combatants. He was selected to be Chief of Staff of the ERN/North in March 1988, the only candidate who apparently was acceptable to all the commanders.

561. A CIA employee who dealt with the Contras from 1986 to 1988 says Rivas "was a subordinate of [ERN military commander] Enrique Bermudez and interfaced with representatives of the Agency as Enrique Bermudez would empower him to do so on any particular issue." In this regard Rivas was acting no differently than other Contra commanders.

562. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to a February 1989 cable to Headquarters, a Central American Station "was asked to check out a report in November 1988 received by the [U.S.] Embassy from DEA alleging" that Rivas was identical with a person of the same name who had reportedly escaped from a Colombian prison after being arrested on a drug trafficking charge.

563. According to the February cable, a CIA contract officer noted Rivas had said he had deserted from the Nicaraguan National Guard in 1979 when the first combat with the FSLN began in southern Nicaragua. At that point, Rivas said he had relocated to Guatemala, acquired a false passport and shortly thereafter moved to Colombia to find work. Rivas reportedly said that he became involved in the drug trade at a low level--packaging drugs; transporting them within the city of Barranquilla, Colombia; and passing them to traffickers for overseas shipment. He claimed,

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

however, that he did not personally smuggle drugs outside of Colombia. The Colombian authorities caught him, and he was sent to prison. Rivas, then 21 years of age, remained in prison for four or five months before escaping. He said that he then returned to Guatemala, decided not to return to the drug trade and joined the Nicaraguan resistance.

564. The CIA independent contractor says pursuing this question with Rivas was very difficult, in part because Rivas was always surrounded by a lot of "gunmen." Thus, the independent contractor says he believed he might be at personal risk if he accused Rivas in their presence. Nonetheless, he says he attempted to verify the DEA information. The problem of the gunmen took some time to resolve, but eventually he was able to discuss the allegation with Rivas alone.

565. The CIA independent contractor adds that Rivas had said that he had been involved in Colombia in taking drugs to ships in international waters. The CIA contract officer also says that Rivas told him he arranged the escape from the Colombian prison by paying a bribe.

566. The CIA independent contractor says that he never saw Rivas again after their discussion. He states that he does not believe Rivas was involved in drug trafficking while working with the Contras. He notes that Rivas had a horse at the Yamales camp that was reputedly worth $100,000. However, he said that he does not believe the source of Rivas' funds was drug trafficking, but Rivas' family money and overcharging the Agency for supplies.

567. As reported in a March 1989 cable to Headquarters, Bermudez said that, when Rivas joined the resistance forces:

> . . . he had quite a bit of money. At the time [Rivas] had just broken a relationship with [an American] who was the daughter of a very rich US citizen and those who met [Rivas] at the time assumed his money came from the girl and/or her father. [Rivas] contributed most of his remaining resources to the FDN cause and has only a small ranch in Guatemala left from his earlier relationship. Some in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

the FDN may have suspected at the time that the father-in-law was engaged in drug trafficking.

568. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Following receipt of the information the CIA contract officer obtained from Rivas, CIA briefed the U.S. Ambassador to Honduras and the Deputy Chief of Mission. The February 1989 cable to Headquarters reported that Rivas' departure from the ERN would be "devastating," but that there appeared to be no other option.

569. In February 1989, Headquarters responded and requested that Rivas' admissions be discussed with the DEA representative at the Embassy, who was to be asked to take no action at that time and to "advise him that [the CIA] will inform [DEA Headquarters] on his behalf when appropriate." The cable also noted that it presumed the Ambassador would support this position in discussions with the DEA representative in view of the serious political damage to the U.S. Government that could occur should the information about Rivas become public.

570. The cable also indicated that Headquarters was particularly interested in knowing whether the DEA representative was obliged to inform the Government of Colombia of the admissions and whether Rivas was on a DEA "watchlist." The cable also provided instructions to discuss Rivas' admissions with Enrique Bermudez and asked whether he had any prior knowledge of Rivas' drug connection. The cable contained a caution that it was important that no U.S. Government official encourage Rivas "to disappear" and that there were significant legal liabilities--not further explained--to providing Rivas any such advice or encouragement.

571. In February 1989, a cable informed Headquarters that the Rivas case had been discussed with the DEA representative. The DEA representative reportedly said that there was no DEA action to be taken since the information concerning Rivas was "historic" and there was no indication of current trafficking by Rivas. The DEA representative also reportedly said that DEA had no obligation to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

inform the Government of Colombia and that Rivas was not on any DEA watchlist.

572. On February 15, 1989, CATF sent a memorandum to Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) Richard Stolz outlining Rivas' background and his admissions of involvement in the drug trade in 1979. CATF proposed that, because of his importance to the Contras, Rivas be maintained as the Chief of Staff of ERN/North and that the House Permanent Select Committee for Intelligence (HPSCI) and the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence (SSCI) be briefed. The CATF memorandum noted that:

> . . . although this recommendation is not without political risk, the removal of Rivas, at this time, following the Central American Presidents' call for the dissolution of the ERN as an armed force, would adversely impact ERN morale and force integrity [*sic*] to an unquantifiable extent.

573. A February 22, 1989 note for DDO Stolz from Deputy General Counsel for Operations John Rizzo stated that:

> Under the circumstances, I do not believe that the existence of the 1979 drug charges requires us to remove Rivas as ERN/N[orth] Chief of Staff or otherwise disassociate ourselves from him. CIA regulations in this area focus solely on individuals <u>currently</u> in narcotics trafficking: we have to sever our relationship with anyone involved in trafficking to the United States, and we have to make a risk/benefit analysis about continuing to deal with anyone involved in trafficking outside the U.S. There is no indication that Rivas fits either category. What we have here is a single, relatively petty transgression in a foreign country that occurred a decade ago and that is apparently of no current interest to DEA.

(Underlining in original.)

574. A March 1989 cable to Headquarters stated that the Rivas matter had been discussed with Bermudez the previous day. Reportedly, Rivas had already approached Bermudez and explained the problem. The cable noted that, according to Bermudez, Rivas indicated that he wished to resign from the resistance. Bermudez

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

said he had calmed Rivas down and pointed out that his resignation at such a critical time would have "devastating affects [*sic*]." Rivas took some time off and then resumed his functions. He was, reportedly, waiting for guidance from Bermudez, "who recognizes the possibility of a scandal but does not want Rivas to leave."

575. A March 1989 Headquarters cable noted that Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs Cresencio Arcos, along with the CATF Chief of Operations, had met with Bermudez in Washington. Arcos reportedly told Bermudez that Inter-American Affairs Assistant Secretary-Designate Bernard Aronson and Acting Inter-American Affairs Assistant Secretary Michael Kozak had decided that Rivas' admission of involvement in drug trafficking in 1979 necessitated his separation from the Contras as soon as possible. In order to prevent Rivas' departure from being viewed erroneously by ERN troops as a signal of imminent demobilization, Arcos advised Bermudez that Rivas should fade gradually from the scene. Bermudez agreed with this assessment and said that Rivas would be amenable to this approach as long as he had a way to earn a living.

576. In March 1989, a cable informed Headquarters that the Station's COS, the Ambassador and the Deputy Chief of Mission agreed that recent media coverage of ERN human rights violations made the ERN more vulnerable to drug trafficking charges "no matter how far removed." As a result of this discussion, the Deputy Chief of Mission was instructed by the Ambassador to meet with Rivas as soon as possible and inform him that he must leave the ERN. The cable reported that the Deputy Chief of Mission was also to ask Rivas if he knew of anyone else in the ERN who had been involved in drug trafficking.

577. According to an April 1989 cable to Headquarters, Rivas announced on March 29 his intention to resign for medical reasons.

578. At some unspecified time in early 1989, most probably in late April, a CATF officer drafted a cable to the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) regarding an application by Rivas for

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

U.S. Permanent Resident Alien (PRA) status. The cable draft described Rivas' involvement in drug trafficking in Colombia as well as his arrest, incarceration and escape. It also described Rivas' service with the Contras, noting that he had served with distinction and saying that the Agency had no evidence that Rivas had been involved with illegal drugs since 1979. The draft concluded with a request "that Rivas' service be taken into consideration at the time that his application for PRA status is reviewed."

579. The version of the cable that was actually transmitted to INS and the FBI on May 6, 1989 omitted the request that Rivas' service with the Contras be considered when his application was reviewed. The cable did, however, include all pertinent information concerning Rivas' admission of his involvement in drug trafficking in 1979.

580. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As noted above, allegations of involvement in drug trafficking by Rivas were first brought to CIA's attention by the U.S. Embassy in November 1988 in the form of a request for further information concerning a DEA report. After confirmatory information was obtained from Rivas in late January 1989, it was shared almost immediately with the Ambassador and the Deputy Chief of Mission. It was then shared with the DEA representative. INS and FBI were informed by CIA of Rivas' past connection with drug trafficking on May 6, 1989 in connection with Rivas' application for PRA status.

581. On March 15, 1989, a two-page memorandum prepared by CATF provided "talking points" for OCA to brief the HPSCI and SSCI regarding Rivas. The memorandum outlined Rivas' involvement in drug activities in Colombia in 1979, his arrest, incarceration and escape and briefly described his record as "the ERN/N[orth]'s most capable commander." It also noted that:

> During the month of February 1989, Rivas departed from Yamales. In early March 1989 he was in Miami, Florida seeking U.S. residency for himself and for his wife . . . . Rivas is not on the Drug

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Enforcement Administration (DEA) "watchlist," and, according to DEA, there is no indication that Rivas is currently involved in illicit drug activity. Further, DEA considers the information on Rivas "historical" and has no intention of informing the Colombian government about Rivas, nor would DEA normally do so.

582. According to a March 15, 1989 OCA MFR signed by the OCA Deputy Director for Senate Affairs Robert Buckman, he briefed SSCI Staff member David Holliday on March 15 concerning Rivas and told him that the Department of State had determined that Rivas "should be removed from his post and that Resistance leaders agreed." The March 15 MFR also stated that Holliday had said "that he would inform the Committee. He did not regard this as a serious matter." A March 10, 1989 OCA MFR written by OCA Deputy Director for House Affairs Norm Gardner stated that HPSCI Staff member Mike O'Neil was briefed on March 16, 1989 and that O'Neil "appreciated the briefing but had no real comments or remarks to make."

583. A March 28, 1989 CATF MFR reported a March 17, 1989 briefing on the Nicaragua program for HPSCI Staff members Dick Giza, Mike O'Neil, Duane Andrews, and Steve Nelson. The allegations of drug trafficking concerning Rivas were identified in the MFR as one of the topics of the briefing. CATF Chief of Operations (COPS) reportedly informed the Staff members that the Department of State had decided that Rivas would be "separated from the Resistance" and that Arcos had informed Bermudez of this decision on March 14. The COPS also reportedly told the Staff members that Rivas and his wife were applying for PRA status in the United States. In response to a question concerning U.S. Government support for Rivas, the COPS reportedly said that the CIA was not planning to assist in resettling Rivas.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Stedman Fagoth

584. **Background**. Stedman Fagoth led the MISURASATA Indian Movement, a loose knit organization of Indians from Nicaragua's Atlantic coast area. Fagoth's efforts in 1981 to raise money on behalf of the MISURASATA among exiled Nicaraguans in Miami apparently brought him to CIA's attention. Agency records indicate that Fagoth exhibited erratic behavior.

585. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking**. A January 1985 cable asked Headquarters for any information pertaining to a U.S. citizen. According to the cable, the U.S. citizen had offered to provide DEA with information pertaining to alleged Sandinista drug trafficking. The U.S. citizen had reportedly claimed to DEA that he had an association with a group called "The American Freedom Fighters," which was actively providing various types of aid to "the anti-Sandinista forces in Nicaragua."

586. A January 1985 cable--citing the Defense Attaché Office and other U.S. Embassy reporting--responded that the U.S. citizen, among other things, had allegedly sought Fagoth's assistance in stealing boats from Nicaragua. This reportedly was part of an alleged plan by the U.S. citizen that called for taking the boats to Honduras where they would be loaded with guns and then moved onto Colombia, where the guns would be exchanged for narcotics for the U.S. market. Headquarters, in a January 1985 cable, responded that it had no information in its files pertaining to the U.S. citizen.

587. In November 1987, a cable to Headquarters reported a KISAN official who was now a political rival of Fagoth's had said that Fagoth had suggested to him and others in 1982 or 1983 that they should go to Colombia to raise money for "the cause." According to this KISAN official, who claimed to have declined Fagoth's suggestion, the clear implication was that the trip would involve a drug deal of some sort. However, he said that a senior advisor to Fagoth at that time had agreed to the trip.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

588. A November 1987 cabled response expressed skepticism regarding the portion of the November report that pertained to the senior advisor. According to the cable:

> Station finds it difficult to believe that [the senior advisor] would cooperate with [Fagoth] and go to Colombia on a drug deal to make extra money for the cause, especially since [the senior advisor] does not particularly care for or trust [Fagoth]

589. An April 1988 cable to Headquarters stated that the senior advisor had said "in an effort to further denigrate [Fagoth]" that Fagoth had approached him in 1984 with a scheme to kill Colombian cocaine traffickers who were moving through "the Yucatan" to the United States and to seize the drugs they were carrying. The proceeds from the stolen cocaine reportedly were to go to the "Indian movement." The senior advisor claimed to have declined the offer, but believed that Fagoth and other associates probably had consummated the scheme. The senior advisor also claimed that Fagoth—along with two Honduran military officers—had sold marijuana or other drugs.

590. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking** No information has been found to indicate that CIA followed up or pursued the drug-related allegations involving Fagoth to determine their validity.

591. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** Agency records indicate that CIA was in contact with the FBI regarding Fagoth.

592. On July 7, 1987, CIA sent to the DoS copies of a summary report of "questionable activities on the part of Stedman Fagoth." This report included information from Agency records pertaining to alleged human rights abuses and the theft of U.S. Government-provided funds and materials by Fagoth that might "bear on his eligibility to receive [U.S.] support." The report also cited Fagoth's violence in dealing with his Miskito rivals and the charges of corruption linked to him. The report contained no references to the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

January 1985 allegations relating to Fagoth's possible involvement in a drug trafficking scheme with the U.S. citizen. The report predated the CIA's receipt--in November 1987 and April 1988--of additional drug-related allegations against Fagoth.

593. No information has been found to indicate that allegations of drug trafficking involvement by Fagoth were shared with the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Roger Herman

594. **Background.** Roger Herman Hernandez, a Nicaraguan citizen, was political director of KISAN, a resistance organization composed mainly of Indians and Creoles that operated on the Atlantic coast of Honduras and Nicaragua. He was a strong supporter of U.S. policy in the region. By 1990, he was increasingly involved in the effort to reestablish democracy in the Atlantic coast region

595. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A December 1986 Tegucigalpa U.S. Embassy telegram reported that, according to an Embassy source, Herman and the Haylock family of Roatan Island in Honduras were smuggling cocaine into the United States. Herman had reportedly obtained cocaine from Nicaragua and used Hondurans who were friendly to KISAN or unidentified U.S. personnel to carry it in small quantities to his brother in Miami. The Embassy source also reportedly claimed that on two occasions the Nicaraguan diplomatic pouch had been used for this purpose and that the Haylocks were using one of their boats, originally modified to smuggle weapons to KISAN, to traffic in cocaine.

596. The Embassy cable also noted that the Embassy could not vouch for the credibility of the source, and that Embassy checks revealed the source's colleagues were concerned that the source was "paranoid and mentally impaired." The cable also noted that the allegations against Herman may reflect "factional dissension within KISAN."

597. **Agency Response to Allegations Of Drug Trafficking.** The December 6, 1986 allegations against Herman were included in a January 1987 Interagency Assessment regarding the Contras and drug trafficking that was prepared by CIA for the Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research. A February 9, 1987 memorandum to CATF Chief Fiers from Assistant Secretary of State Elliott Abrams proposed that CIA "formally ask DEA and any other

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

appropriate agencies to undertake an investigation of [the] information [that had been] developed by the Agency" on Herman.

598. Fiers responded in an undated memorandum to Abrams that CATF had directed the field to undertake a full investigation, which would include questioning by CIA Security, as soon as the Agency had learned of the allegations against Herman. The Fiers memorandum said that, when the results of this investigation were received, "should there be any questions in our minds that Mr. Herman has any connections with drug smuggling, it will be raised with the Interagency Group/Nicaragua."

599. A CIA Security interview was conducted with Herman in February 1987. Based on this interview, CIA Security determined it was highly probable that Herman was involved in drug trafficking. In further security processing in May 1987, Herman said that he was confused over the term "trafficking." He reportedly said that he thought "trafficking" referred to personal use of illegal drugs. After this interview, CIA Security did not have concerns about Herman and drug trafficking.

600. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA reported the results of its inquiries into the drug trafficking allegations against Herman to Abrams or the Interagency Group.

601. The drug allegations against Herman were included in the January 1987 Memorandum prepared for Assistant Secretary of State Abramowitz. No information has been found to indicate that CIA acquired any other information linking Herman to drug trafficking.

602. No information has been found to indicate that CIA advised Congress of the Embassy source's allegations regarding Herman or the results of CIA inquiries in response to those allegations.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Sebastian Pinel

603. **Background**. A March 1981 cable to Headquarters identified Pinel (also spelled Pinell), a.k.a. "Chatan," as a "leader of one counterrevolutionary group in Honduras" and cited his views of paramilitary training options. A May 1981 cable to Headquarters concerning Nicaraguan counterrevolutionary groups made reference to "a smaller exile group under the leadership of Sebastian 'Chatan' Pinel." A March 1982 cable to Headquarters reported on a Contra-related meeting in Tegucigalpa, Honduras, that was held at a house that "belongs to Sebastian Pinell Chatan [*sic*], a Nica[raguan] Contra sympathizer."

604. A December 1980 cable to Headquarters regarding Sandinista deployments said, "There is a Sebastian 'Chatan' Pinel resident in Tegucigalpa and known ... as reputedly an anti-FSLN activist." A March 1981 cable to Headquarters that was sent in response to information regarding another Contra personality stated: "Sebastian Pinel, one of subject's comrades, is known, although extent of his involvement in counterrevolution [is] somewhat vague."

605. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A February 1985 Headquarters cable to DEA indicated that a source had said that Sebastian Pinel--described as a Nicaraguan exile living in Buena Park, California--may be involved in the dealing of cocaine. The cable also said that Pinel was reported by the source to be in Spain and that, although Pinel was reportedly "broke," he "may be on the verge of a major drug deal." The cable also said that Pinel was a "partner" and "best friend" of Horacio Pereira, a known drug trafficker.[22] No information has been found to indicate the identity of

---

[22] Pereira was alleged in a March 16, 1986 *San Francisco Examiner* article to have been a Costa Rican-based source of cocaine for Julio Zavala and Carlos Cabezas, two of the persons who were arrested in 1983 and subsequently convicted in The Frogman Case in San Francisco, California. Pereira was sentenced to 12 years imprisonment following his 1986 conviction on drug charges in Costa Rica.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

the source of this information or the circumstances under which the information was obtained by CIA.

606. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Headquarters sent a cable to DEA in February 1985 reporting the alleged drug trafficking activities by Pinel.

607. **Information Sharing With Other U.S. Government Entities.** The February 1985 Headquarters cable to DEA provided information to DEA regarding alleged drug trafficking activities by Pinel. No information has been found to indicate CIA informed Congress regarding Pinel's alleged drug trafficking activities.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Arnoldo Jose Arana

608. **Background.** Arnoldo Jose Arana Garcia, also known as Frank Arana, was born in Nicaragua and held U.S. Permanent Resident Alien status during the Contra war period. Arana had been an officer in the Nicaraguan National Guard. Following the Sandinistas' overthrow of the Somoza regime, Arana emigrated to the United States. He joined the FDN's Special Air Branch in March 1983 and was identified in an October 1985 cable as Chief of Operations of the FDN air arm. A November 1985 cable from Headquarters indicated that Arana was the FDN's press officer.[23] A May, 1989 cable indicated that Arana held a senior position in the ERN/North leadership.

609. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In April 1983, the Agency was informed by the FBI in response to a CIA trace request that Arana was under criminal investigation by a U.S. "presidential" task force on narcotics. No additional details were provided by the FBI at that time.

610. A November 1985 Headquarters cable indicated that the Immigration and Naturalization Service believed that some members of Arana's family "may be in jail on drug charges." An FBI memorandum dated January 23, 1986 indicated that Arana was "alleged to be the primary pilot in a drug smuggling enterprise" involving his brothers and others. However, the FBI report noted that " . . . to date, there has been no prosecutive action." On May 14, 1987, DEA responded to a May Agency trace request and indicated that Arana had planned to smuggle 100 kilograms of cocaine into the United States from South America in October 1983.

---

[23] This may be an error, a confusion with another Frank Arana. Agency records indicate that another "Frank" Arana--Francisco Ignacio Arana Icaza--was the FDN's Director of Public Affairs and Communications at this time. This individual had an extensive background in radio broadcasting.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

611. A May 1989 cable reported that ERN/North Air Force Commander Julio Gomez--who had previously held the same position in the FDN as had Arana-- said that "he always had doubts about Arana's activities." Gomez also claimed, however, that he had received a letter from a Texas police department indicating that an investigation into Arana's activities had revealed nothing illegal.

612. The May cable also reported that Gomez had stated that the media in Texas had recently reported allegations of drug smuggling in connection with a helicopter ferry flight from Tegucigalpa to Brownsville, Texas. Arana was reportedly the pilot, and the helicopter was owned by Jose Perez. Gomez said that Arana and Perez visited the DEA office in Tegucigalpa to clear up the matter once they learned of the allegations. Gomez reportedly believed that the purpose of the flight was to get the helicopter to the United States where it could be dismantled and shipped to a further destination in the United States or Canada. He did not know whether the drug allegations were "well-founded."

613. According to a June 1989 cable to Headquarters, CIA officers met with local DEA officers on June 14 in an effort to assess Gomez' story about the helicopter flight. Following this meeting, the cable reported, the DEA officers had confirmed that Arana had visited their offices in early May 1989 with Manuel Perez, Jose's brother. The cable reported that information from the DEA office in Texas seemed to clear Arana in the Brownsville incident, and DEA "thought it a closed case." The cable added, however, that DEA had uncovered additional information of possible drug trafficking involving the Perez brothers and that DEA believed, if "Arana is mixed up with the Perez brothers, he is probably dirty." The Perez brothers were the owners of record of SETCO--a small air services company that had been formed by Juan Matta Ballesteros, a convicted cocaine kingpin, according to DEA and U.S. Customs.

614. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** As mentioned earlier, the FBI advised CIA in April 1983 that Arana was under investigation by a presidential task force on narcotics. Between September 1983 and December 1985, the Agency requested

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

periodic updates from the FBI regarding the Arana investigation in an effort to resolve the allegations. The FBI responded on January 23, 1986 that Arana and his brothers were involved in a drug smuggling enterprise. No information has been found to indicate that the FBI provided other updates to the Agency during this time. In 1988, the Agency twice requested updates concerning the Arana investigation from the FBI. No information has been found to indicate that the FBI provided the requested updates to the Agency.

615. An unsigned, handwritten note was attached to the May 1989 cable that identified Arana as a senior member of the ERN/North leadership. The note stated: "Arnold Arana former Guardian National [*sic*]--still active and working, we [CIA] may have a problem."

616. As to whether CIA should have pressed the FDN (ERN/North) to expel Arana because of the task force on narcotics investigation, CATF compliance officer Louis Dupart says that "an investigation is not proof of wrong-doing." Although Octaviano Cesar was expelled from UNO for suspected involvement in drug trafficking as a result of CIA concerns, Dupart says that the Cesar case was different because CIA Security believed it was highly probable Cesar was involved in drug trafficking.

617. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** The June 1989 cable reporting on the meeting with local DEA officers regarding the drug trafficking allegations against Arana indicated that CIA was working closely with DEA on "several issues." No information has been found to indicate the nature, extent, or results of this cooperation as it pertained to Arana.

618. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency provided information to Congress regarding allegations of Arana's involvement in drug trafficking. According to the official congressional transcript of a CIA briefing to SSCI Staff members on July 31, 1987, CATF Chief Fiers stated that "[CIA has] never found any evidence indicating that the FDN or those around the Northern Front, as it is known today, had been involved in cocaine or any drug

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

dealings, and we have looked very closely at that." According to that transcript, Fiers did not mention that CATF had been informed by Agency counterintelligence personnel on May 21, 1987 that Arana--an FDN official--was the subject of a presidential task force on narcotics investigation.

619. Fiers' written response to questions states that he was not aware that Arana was the subject of a federal narcotics investigation. Moreover, his response states that:

> Since my beginning asociation [*sic*] with the Central America program up until being shown information about Arana by the IG, it was my firm belief that no member of the FDN known to me was the subject of drug smuggling allegations. (I was aware, however, that Arana was not a productive pilot, ... and spent too much time in Miami.)

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Jose Francisco Cardenal/Bergman Arguello/Eduard Jose Sacasa-Urouyo/Rolando Murillo/Juan Savala (or Zavala)/Renato Pena/Roger J. Ramiro

620. ***Jose Francisco Cardenal:*** **Background.** CIA records indicate that Cardenal, a former Vice President of the Nicaraguan Government Council of State, arrived in Miami, Florida, sometime in May 1980 after having left Nicaragua as an opponent of the Sandinista Government. According to an August 1982 cable to Headquarters , Cardenal was described as one of the early leaders of UDN/FARN "which splic [*sic*] from the ADREN group in Sept 80 [*sic*] and member of one of the Nicaraguan counterrevolutionary groups in March 81."

621. According to a September 1982 cable:

> [Cardenal] is at present travelling extensively to try and unify various groups and factions of Nicaraguan exiles into a common front. He has an almost encyclopedic knowledge of Nicaraguan personalities and family histories which makes him especially adept at the establishment of relationships and political ties. An obsticleis [*sic*] the military arm of the [Contras], whose officials are jealous of their prerogatives, and not enthusiastic about coalitions or common fromts [*sic*]. The plans are to overcome that hostility, bring about a unification of factions and groups for the overthrow of the Sandinista regime and the installation of a democratic governemt [*sic*].

622. According to a January 1983 cable to Headquarters Cardenal was ousted from the FDN over political differences with the FDN leadership. Following his ouster, Cardenal attempted to organize some of his followers into a rival organization--the Nicaraguan Insurrection Front--without success. According to a March 1983 cable, Headquarters reported that Cardenal "no longer holds any leadership position in any exile opposition organization."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

623. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An October 1982 cable to CIA Headquarters reported that an informant in the local Nicaraguan exile community had provided information to the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) that indicated that Cardenal and other Contra-related individuals might be linked to drug trafficking:

> 1. On 20 October 1982, an [INS] officer called . . . . to relay information he had received from an informant in the Nicaraguan exile community in the San Francisco area. According to this informant, there are indications of links between [a specific U.S.-based religious organization] and two Nicaraguan counter-revolutionary groups. These links involve an exchange in [the United States] of narcotics for arms, which then are shipped to Nicaragua. A meeting on this matter is scheduled to be held in Costa Rica "within one month." Two names the [INS] informant has associated with this matter are Bergman Arguello, a UDN member and exile living in San Francisco, and Chicano Cardenal, resident of Nicaragua. Cardenal was in San Francisco the week of 11 October 1981 [*sic*] to speak at a freedom rally sponsored by a Cuban freedom group with reported links to Omega 7.
>
> 2. The [INS] officer stated that he had called [the FBI], but was told that nothing could be done as the responsible agent was away on TDY for an uncertain period of time. His call to [CIA] was prompted by the report of a meeting in Costa Rica within the month. We have confirmed that the [FBI] agent is indeed away for an unspecified period of time and that he is the only one who could act on this matter.
>
> 3. In view of para[graph] 2 above, is there anything that should [be done] with the information reported in para[graph] 1? The [INS] officer described his informant as quite reliable, although he has no independent means of verifying the informant's information. Both the [INS] officer and his informant are available to provide further information if needed.

624. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** An October 1982 Headquarters cable responded to the October report and request for advice by stating:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1. If there is good reason to believe that the allegations contained in para[graph] 1 . . . . may have some basis of fact, [Headquarters] would be interested in investigating them further. Request . . . . recontact [with] the [INS] officer in order to attempt to determine the following information:

A. An evaluation of the [INS] source's access to this information. Did he learn it from direct involvement with the individuals named or from a third source? [Please] provide identity of source if available.

B. Is the date mentioned in the last sentence of para[graph] 1 . . . (11 October 81) correct, or did the source mean 1982?

C. Can the source provide any additional details regarding the alleged meeting to take place in Costa Rica? We would be interested in knowing who is scheduled to attend and under what auspices it is to be held?

. . . .

625. In a November 1982 cable to CIA Headquarters, a response stated:

1. While the thread of activities reported in [the October 1982 cable] and in this message leads abroad and involves several aspects of intelligence interest, in responding to [Headquarters] request for information we are discussing the alleged activities of several U.S. persons and verging on reporting of information which is more properly in the purview of U.S. law engorcement [*sic*] agencies. In drafting response to this message, request [Headquarters] comment on this aspect of our reporting so that we may provide all useful assistance within the limits of our charter re[garding] U.S. persons.

. . . .

3. According to the [INS] source, the UDN/FDN meeting in Costa Rica is scheduled to take place within the next three weeks. It was implied to [INS] source that the meeting will be a harbinger of future violence (no further information). Although the meeting is supposed to be secret, cameras will be allowed at the meeting. . . . The following also are expected to attend:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

UDN:
Bergman Arguello Galo, . . . ; applicant for political asylum;
Eden Pastora, "Commandante Zero";
Eduard Jose Sacasa-Urouyo, . . . ; applicant for political asylum;
Jose Rolando Murillo, . . . ; applicant for political asylum;
and two U.S. persons.

FDN:
Renato Pena, . . . ; applicant for political asylum;
and three others named by [INS] source but believed to be U.S. persons.

. . . .

6. In separate contacts with [INS], representatives of the [specific U.S.-based religious organization] have mentioned the [organization's] involvement with anti-Nicaraguan government groups. INS does not know if [the organization's] representatives will be present at the Costa Rica meeting, although [INS] assumes that some [organization] representatives will attend. [INS] officer noted that recently, a group of [organization] members from Guatemala and the Dominican Republic was in Seattle in transit to Honduras.

7. The correct date for the San Francisco meeting sponsored by the Coalition for the Free World is 17 September 1982. Another meeting sponsored by this group is scheduled for 4 November 1982. Nicaraguan and [specific religious organization] groups are members of the coalition.

. . . .

626. In November 1982, CIA Headquarters replied in a cable that stated:

1. Appreciate follow-up information on the subject of alleged Nicaraguan exile activities. [Headquarters] is understanding of . . . . position in [paragraph 1 of November . . . , 1982 cable]. In light of the apparent involvement of U.S. persons throughout, agree you should not pursue the matter further. [Headquarters] will affect [*sic*] coordination as necessary with [FBI] on this case; assume [INS] will make this and any additional information on the subject available to [FBI] San Francisco.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

. . . .

627. A November 1982 cable to CIA Headquarters reported that:

> 1. Per [the November 1982 Headquarters cable], we have contacted [INS] officer and asked him to ensure that [FBI] is kept advised of INS-acquired information on alleged Nicaraguan exile activities. [INS] officer stressed that he has offered the information to [FBI] several times, but has been rebuffed. He will try again, nevertheless.
>
> 2. Since [the other November 1982 cable], [INS] source offered additional information, which may be of interest to you: during the week of 1 November 1982, Eden Pastora flew from Tegucigalpa to San Jose, Costa Rica. Canadian Consul-General in Costa Rica was on the same plane and recognized Pastora. [INS] source has been told that Juan Savala is head of the UDN/FDN training camp in Costa Rica near Lake Nicaragua. . . . During a meeting held in San Francisco on 4 November 1982, a U.S. person known to be affiliated with the Cuban Independence Movement, CID, stated that Eden Pastora will split with the UDN/FDN because of its alleged [CIA] ties. On the other hand, Fernando Chamorro, known as "El Negro," wishes to make contact with CIA.
>
> 3. We will have no further contact with [INS] on this matter unless advised otherwise by [Headquarters].

628. No information has been found to indicate whether a meeting in fact took place in Costa Rica to discuss an exchange of narcotics for arms as described in the October 1982 cable. However, a November 1982 Headquarters cable discussed the alleged meeting and stated:

> 1. It is HQS opinion that much of information contained in [the October 1982 and November 1982 cables] simply does not make sense (i.e., UDN/FDN cooperation, need to obtain armament through illegal means, shipment of arms to Nicaragua, involvement with the [specific U.S.-based religious organization]). We see a distinct possibility that the [INS] source was either intentionally or unintentionally misinformed. However, since the information was surfaced by another [U.S. Government] agency and may return to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> haunt us, feel we must try to confirm or refute the information if possible. To best [anyone's] knowledge, have the [Contras] scheduled any meeting in the next few weeks? If so, what information do you have regarding the attendees? Do you have any other information which might relate to contents of [referenced messages].
> . . . .

629. A November 1982 response to Headquarters reported that:

> . . . . On 16 November, [Eden Pastora] has dispatched Carlos Coronel and Arturo Cruz, Jr. to U.S. for series of meetings, among them meeting with [FRS] supporters in San Francisco.

630. No record has been found to indicate that Headquarters was provided with any additional information in response to the November Headquarters cable. Nevertheless, CIA records do indicate that Cardenal was in San Jose, Costa Rica, from around October 30 or 31, 1982 until November 5, 1982 and again from around December 27 to December 31, 1982 for meetings with Contra leaders. No information has been found to indicate that these meetings pertained to any exchange of narcotics for arms. Moreover, no information has been found to indicate what contacts, if any, Cardenal may have had with representatives of the specific U.S.-based religious organization.

631. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities**. A January 1981 cable from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) requested that CIA provide ATF with "brief background info[rmation] and any derogatory information" regarding Cardenal from CIA files. According to the ATF cable, Cardenal "is believed to be involved in violations of U.S. criminal laws under jurisdiction of ATF."

632. In a February 1981 cable to ATF in response to the January 30 cable, CIA provided biographical information regarding Cardenal and included information that Cardenal "was in contact with a group of Nicaraguans who are preparing an invasion of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Nicaragua from Honduras and Costa Rica." The cable also referred the ATF to the FBI "for possible information on subject."

633. Moreover, a February 1981 CIA cable to ATF in response to "your telephonic request dated 17 February 1981" informed ATF that:

> . . . .
>
> 2. The files of the Directorate of Operations contain no additional information which would aid in the assessment of subject. Furthermore, this Directorate has no interest in Cardenal whatsoever.

634. In a March 23, 1981 cable to CIA, the FBI reported that it was:

> . . . conducting a sensitive Neutrality Act investigation which may involve a Nicaraguan national, Francesco [*sic*] Jose Cardenal and an American citizen. Also possibly involved are two groups, the Nicaraguan Democratic Union and the Nicaraguan Armed Revolutionary Forces, both believed to be headquartered in Nicaragua with possible ties to the United States.

In its cable, the FBI requested that CIA furnish it with any information from its files pertaining to the two individuals and groups.

635. A March 1981 CIA cabled response provided the FBI with the same information that had been provided in February 1981 to ATF. The cable also included information that Cardenal had been unsuccessful in an attempt to obtain weapons and financial support from the El Salvador Government. Moreover, the cable stated that:

> . . . .
>
> 3. This Agency has no information on [the American citizen].
>
> 4. It is suggested that the Department of Treasury/ATF and the Department of State be queried for information on the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Nicaraguan Democratic Union, the Nicaraguan Armed Revolutionary Forces (FARN) and for additional information on Cardenal.

636. In an April 1981 cable to CIA, the FBI reported that it was involved in a "pending investigation concerning possible violation of the Neutrality Act by Jose Fransesco [*sic*] Cardenal and others." According to the cable, the FBI--citing the information that CIA had provided in the March 25 cable--reported that a consensually monitored conversation had taken place between Cardenal and "a private individual cooperating with this Bureau" and that:

> The FBI feels this [conversation] may refer to your information, and your Agency should be aware the above conversation becoming [*sic*] public record if prosecution is forthcoming. The cooperation and the individual's identity will become public inasmuch as he/she has agreed to testify.

637. In its August 23, 1982 cable response to CIA, the FBI reported that:

> Jose Francisco Cardenal was the subject of an FBI Neutrality Act investigation in 1981. He was allegedly involved in the recruiting of personnel to participate in a military coup to overthrow the current Nicaraguan Government. In August, 1981 [*sic*] evidence collected on Cardenal was presented to the U.S. Attorney's Office for possible prosecution. Based on the facts presented, it was determined that there was insufficient evidence to proceed with prosecution.
>
> This Bureau has presently discontinued its investigation. We have no additional pertinent information not already known and/or in your possession.

638. In a memorandum to CIA dated March 8, 1985, the FBI requested a "name check request" on Cardenal. A March 1985 CIA cable to the FBI provided biographical information regarding Cardenal and information that Cardenal had been--according to an undated March 1983 report--organizing "the Nicaraguan insurrectional front to mobilize popular support against the Sandinistas in Nicaragua." In addition, the cable stated that Cardenal

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"has shown a disregard for security by indiscriminately divulging details of confidential information."

639. ***Bergman Arguello Galo:*** **Background.** According to the October 1982 cable, an INS informant described Arguello as a UDN representative who was to attend a secret meeting in Costa Rica to discuss a narcotics for arms exchange. Moreover, the November 1982 cable indicated that Arguello was the individual who had discussed the purported narcotics for arms exchange.

640. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to a February 11, 1983 memorandum from CIA's OGC to the INS regarding Arguello:

> The Agency requests that you arrange for an asylum interview for the subject [*sic*] in your Miami District Office, on an immediate basis. We ask that the interview be conducted during the week of 14 February 1983.
>
> It is our understanding that the subject has already submitted an application. On the chance that he has not, or that it cannot be located, we will instruct the subject to obtain new forms, complete them, and bring them to the interview.
>
> Thank you for your attention to this matter.

641. No information has been found to indicate any INS response to the February 11 OGC memorandum. An INS Biographic Information Form G-325A signed by Arguello on "10-11-84" included a notation under "Other Agency Use" that stated "No Derogatory Information" and the date "14 Jan 85."

642. On March 18, 1983, CIA requested that the FBI conduct "priority traces" on Arguello. A March 30, 1983 CATF memorandum from the FBI stated that " . . . FBI has no record on [Arguello]."

643. ***Eden Pastora:*** **Background.** Eden Pastora is described in the November 1982 cable as a UDN representative who was to attend the secret meeting in Costa Rica regarding an exchange of narcotics

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

for arms. No information has been found to indicate that Pastora attended such a meeting, although the November 1982 cable discussed earlier indicated that Pastora flew from Tegucigalpa, Honduras, to San Jose, Costa Rica, "during the week of 1 November."

644. ***Eduardo Jose Sacasa-Urouyo:*** **Background.** "Eduard" Sacasa was described in the November 1982 cable as a UDN representative who was to attend the secret meeting in Costa Rica regarding an exchange of narcotics for arms. CIA records indicate that an individual named Eduardo Sacasa-Urouyo was associated with the UDN during the 1980s and was a close associate of Fernando Chamorro. Other than the November cable, no information has been found to link Sacasa with drug trafficking.

645. ***Rolando Murillo Escobar:*** **Background.** Murillo was described in the November 1982 cable as a UDN representative who was to attend the secret meeting in Costa Rica regarding an exchange of narcotics for arms. No other information has been found to link Murillo with drug trafficking.

646. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to a March 1983 CIA cable to the FBI that requested information in FBI files regarding Murillo, Murillo was described as "a former member of the Nicaraguan National Guard and former resident of the San Francisco area." Murillo reportedly was living in Costa Rica, according to the cable. A memorandum dated March 30, 1983 stated that "FBI has no record on subject."

647. ***Juan Savala/Zavala Mora:*** **Background.** Juan Savala was described in the November 1982 cable as "head of the UDN/FDN training camp in Costa Rica" where the INS informant claimed the secret meeting would take place to discuss an exchange of narcotics for arms. The November cable did not, however, indicate what role--if any--Savala would play at the meeting.

648. CIA records indicate that a "Juan Zavala Mora" was a member of the UDN during the 1980s and was a close friend of Fernando Chamorro.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

649. No other information has been found to indicate that Savala/Zavala was suspected of drug trafficking or that he was related to--or otherwise linked to--Julio Zavala who, in 1983, was arrested in connection with The Frogman Case in San Francisco.

650. ***Roger J. Ramiro:*** **Background.** According to the November 1982 cable, Ramiro was described by INS as a "known narcotics violator who recently was indicted on a narcotics charge in Orange County, California." As reported in the cable, Bergman Arguello had "mentioned" Ramiro's name in connection with the alleged narcotics for arms exchange. No information has been found to link Ramiro with drug trafficking.

651. ***Renato Pena Cabrera:*** **Background.** Pena, a convicted drug trafficker, was described in the November 1982 cable as an FDN representative who was to attend the secret meeting in Costa Rica regarding an exchange of narcotics for arms.

652. Renato Pena says that he associated with Norwin Meneses. Pena also claims to have participated in Contra-related activities in the United States from 1982-1984 that were unrelated to his drug trafficking activities. Pena says that he served as an official, but unpaid, representative of the FDN political wing in northern California from the end of 1982 until mid-1984, having been appointed to that position by Edgar Chamorro. According to Pena, his duties on behalf of the FDN were related to public relations and distributing Contra-related literature to sympathizers. He claims that his activities on behalf of the FDN were all conducted in the United States and that he never traveled outside of the United States because of his immigration status as a political asylum applicant. Pena says that Norwin Meneses had Contra-related dealings with FDN official Enrique Bermudez. According to Pena, the specific U.S.-based religious organization that was allegedly involved with the Contras and drug trafficking was an FDN political ally that provided only humanitarian aid to Nicaraguan refugees and logistical support for Contra-related rallies, such as printing services and portable stages.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

653. Pena says that his personal drug trafficking activities were unrelated to the Contras, but claims that the Contras must have had alternative sources of funding since the money that the Contras received from the U.S. Government was "peanuts." Pena says that a Colombian associate of Meneses's named "Carlos" told Pena in "general" terms that portions of the proceeds from the sale of the cocaine Pena brought to San Francisco were going to the Contras. According to Pena, "Carlos" said something to the effect that "we are helping your cause with this drug thing . . . we are helping your organization a lot." Pena said "Carlos" did not provide him with any further information. "Carlos" has not been identified.

654. Pena says that, when he was removed from his FDN position in mid-1984--possibly because Contra officials suspected him of drug trafficking--and replaced by a U.S. citizen, he was appointed to be the "military representative" to the FDN in San Francisco. He says this appointment occurred "in part because of Norwin Meneses's close relationship with [Enrique] Bermudez."

655. The FDN representative in San Francisco who replaced Pena--who is a naturalized citizen who immigrated to the United States from Nicaragua in 1963--was active in Contra-related affairs in San Francisco during the 1980s. He says he first became involved with the Contras after an early 1980s visit to San Francisco by Contra leader Fernando Chamorro in which Chamorro asked for volunteers to support anti-Sandinista activities. On July 23, 1986, he says he was appointed to be the official FDN representative in San Francisco. Prior to his appointment, he says the FDN did not have an officially authorized representative in San Francisco. In 1986, the FDN opened an office at the Flood Building on Market Street in San Francisco with $5,000 provided by the FDN office in Miami. However, the office was closed some six months later because it was too expensive to maintain.

656. The FDN representative in San Francisco who replaced Pena says that the Nicaraguan community in San Francisco was poor and the Sandinistas had considerable support there. "San Francisco was a Sandinista town," he asserts. He states that the net amount of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

funds raised for the Contras from the San Francisco community throughout the years was about $5,000. According to the FDN representative, the Contra support movement did not even have sufficient funds to sustain a mailing of brochures and other correspondence. He adds that people did not want to be on a list of Contra supporters for fear that the list would fall into the hands of the Sandinistas and cause difficulties for them or their families. As he recalls, the FDN did, however, make several radio announcements on local Spanish language radio stations. He says that membership in the San Francisco FDN was handled informally. Individuals who wanted to support the FDN could just attend meetings, dinners and participate in other related events.

657. The FDN representative who replaced Pena says his activities on behalf of the Contras in San Francisco consisted primarily of helping to coordinate Contra fund raising dinners and meetings. He says he often used his personal funds as security deposits at the hotels and other locations where these functions were to be held. On one occasion, he says he made up an $1,800 shortfall out of his own pocket when a catered dinner at a local restaurant or hotel was under-subscribed.

658. The FDN representative in San Francisco who replaced Pena says Renato Pena was not the official FDN representative in San Francisco, having never been appointed by the FDN directorate to any position. Instead, he says Pena was the self-appointed representative for the "military section" of the FDN. He says he learned of Pena's drug-related arrest six months after it occurred. He says some people in the FDN in San Francisco were critical of Pena and did not want Pena to continue his association with the movement after the arrest. However, Pena was never formally expelled from the FDN. According to Pena's successor, Pena sublet an office in San Francisco from drug trafficker Danilo Blandon's sister. Blandon's sister attended some FDN meetings in San Francisco.

659. The FDN representative in San Francisco who replaced Pena believes that drug trafficker Norwin Meneses probably knew

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Enrique Bermudez since Meneses's brother and Bermudez had been senior Somoza officials. He says he met Norwin Meneses "a couple of times" when Meneses attended FDN support meetings in San Francisco. However, Meneses was never a member of the FDN, and he says he does not know whether Meneses provided funds, goods, or supplies to the Contras. He says he usually recognized most of the persons at the FDN meetings, since most of them lived in the community. He says he noticed when new persons or strangers attended the meetings, commenting that "Sandinistas probably also attended" the meetings. Average attendance at an FDN meeting, he says, might be 10 to 15 persons.

660. The FDN representative in San Francisco who replaced Pena says he has no knowledge that Contra organizations--or individuals acting on behalf of the Contras--engaged in drug trafficking. However, he comments that "People that did [engage in drug trafficking] may have been taking advantage of the revolution--that is my opinion."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

OTHER INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN THE CONTRA PROGRAM

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING OTHER INDIVIDUALS SUPPORTING THE CONTRA PROGRAM? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES?

Ivan Gomez

661. **Background and Relationship with CIA.** The individual who was known by the alias "Ivan Gomez" was at various times a CIA independent contractor, spouse of a CIA employee, and a rejected applicant for staff status. Gomez was an Independent Contractor for Latin America (LA) Division by June 1982.

662. According to a September 1982 cable from Headquarters, Gomez returned to Central America on permanent assignment in September 1982 and continued to work as a trainer, coordinator and general liaison to ARDE and related groups.

663. A January 1983 cable to Headquarters described Gomez' ability to engage with others, his effective reporting and his hard work. The Station cable, however, also raised concerns that Gomez was too close to ARDE.

664. By the fall of 1983, the problem of Gomez' close ties to Pastora and ARDE that had been mentioned in the January evaluation of Gomez became an issue. An October 1983 Headquarters cable noted that:

> . . . we have become concerned over indications of a loss of objectivity and increasing signs of "clientism" on the part of [Gomez] toward [ARDE] and [Pastora] in particular . . . . [w]hile his own performance to date has been of extraordinary value . . . it is

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> absolutely necessary for us to ensure the strictest clarity and accuracy in our reporting on and communications with the [ARDE].

665. According to a September 1984 Headquarters cable, Gomez was under consideration for reassignment in the fall of 1984. This was in part because there had been reports that he was on a Sandinista target list for assassination. In October 1984, he was reassigned to another country where he served for the next two years and received favorable performance evaluations.

666. In May 1986, Gomez married a CIA staff employee. As a result, CIA decided that he could no longer continue to serve in the field.

667. A March 1987 Headquarters cable discussed Gomez' future employment with CIA as a result of his marriage to a staff employee. The cable stated that Gomez had been nominated to a career officer program. His file had been reviewed and it was wished to pursue additional processing, including questioning by Security. The cable further noted that his questioning by Security was scheduled for March 1987.

668. An undated document concerning Gomez's FY 1988 activities that was prepared, probably in September 1987, noted that CIA was continuing to pay his salary "until such time as he is either picked up by another Agency component or is terminated." According to a similar undated document for FY 1989, Gomez' relationship with the CIA ended on March 31, 1988.

669. The Security/Counterintelligence section of an undated document for FY 1989 concerning Gomez, probably written in August or September 1988, noted that Gomez' use in Central America:

> ended in late May 1987 after he married an Agency employee and subsequently initiated processing for U.S. citizenship. Efforts were made to use [Gomez] in other Agency programs; however, he was unable to [provide credible answers regarding drug trafficking].

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Consequently, [Gomez] was amicably terminated effective 31 March 1988.

670. A CIA officer reported having met with Gomez socially on December 20, 1989 in the United States and that Gomez was bitter and unhappy about his termination from CIA and was threatening to take his complaint to President George Bush. The CIA officer also reported that Gomez had outlined a plan to expose the identity of CIA personnel serving abroad.

671. In 1992, Gomez reportedly claimed he had information about a weapons shipment and was put in touch with a CIA officer. The officer observed that Gomez "seemed reluctant to meet with him. Gomez reportedly advised that he still harbored bad feelings regarding how and why his relationship with CIA was terminated." A March 1992 Headquarters cable instructed cognizant CIA officers to have no further contact with Gomez.

672. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In conjunction with his marriage to a CIA officer and possible employment by CIA as a staff officer, Gomez underwent a number of polygraph examinations in 1986-1988. During a March 1987 polygraph examination, Gomez stated that in March or April 1982, prior to his employment with CIA, he had provided some assistance to family members engaged in drug trafficking and money laundering in the United states. In the first instance, he had written a check, in exchange for cash, for a cousin who was traveling from the United States to Latin America. Gomez said he believed the cousin was a drug money launderer, but did not believe he was engaging in a money laundering operation by writing the check. In the second instance, Gomez said that a brother who lived in Miami was a drug trafficker and had asked his brother-in-law to transfer some cash from New York to Miami. Gomez accompanied his brother-in-law to New York, where they picked up a bag containing $20,000 to $22,000 in cash and transported it to Miami. Gomez said that, at the time, he told his brother-in-law that "this was probably drug money." Gomez' response as to whether he had aided his brother in his trafficking activities and whether he had

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

been involved in trafficking after he began working for CIA were in doubt.

673. Gomez was subjected to another polygraph examination by CIA in April 1987. According to that report, Gomez changed his story somewhat concerning the bag of cash he had reported obtaining in New York for his brother:

> [Gomez] increased his estimate of cash from somewhere between $30,000 to $40,000. [Gomez] indicated he and [his brother-in-law] met an individual who was identified by the wearing of a yellow jacket. This individual then approached [them], struck up a conversation, and then provided the cash to [Gomez]. During later discussion of this incident, [Gomez] stated he knew this act was illegal at the time, but he consummated the act nevertheless. At the completion of [Gomez'] second session, testing in this area remained incomplete.

674. According to a March 1988 polygraph examiner's report, Gomez was subjected to another polygraph examination on two days in March 1988 as staff employment processing. During discussions with the examiner, Gomez reportedly said that his brothers had accumulated a business debt of about $2 million. According to Gomez, one had gone to Miami to run a money laundering operation for a group of drug traffickers to pay off the debt. Another handled the funds in Latin America. According to Gomez, when he visited his brother in Miami, Gomez saw that "his brother had many visitors whom [Gomez] assumed to be in the drug trafficking business . . . . However, . . . he never saw his brother either receive or distribute any drugs and/or money."

675. According to the March 1988 examiner's report, Gomez provided yet another account of his acquisition of cash in New York:

> [Gomez'] brother-in-law informed [Gomez] that [his brother] had requested that he pick up $30,000 in a bar in New York City. [Gomez] stated that he accompanied his brother-in-law to the bar whereupon the brother-in-law stayed in the car while [Gomez] entered the bar and made contact with the individual who was to turn over the money. This individual took [Gomez] to an

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> apartment where he gave him $15,000 and offered him some cocaine. [Gomez] stated he refused .... [Gomez] shortly thereafter, began to work for this Agency.... In June 1982, ... [His brother] was arrested for drug trafficking. [Gomez] stated he had not had any involvement in narcotic trafficking activities.

Based on the interview, the interviewer believes Gomez directly participated in illegal drug transactions, concealed participation in illegal drug transactions, and concealed information about involvement in illegal drug activity.

676. According to the March 1988 examiner's report, the examiner discussed the different reasons Gomez had provided for his brother giving him money, differing and conflicting ways Gomez had said money was raised for his brother's legal expenses, and the differing accounts of the story concerning the passing of the money in New York--the amount was $20,000 or $30,000 or $15,000; the brother-in-law went into the cafe with Gomez to meet the person making the exchange or the brother-in-law did not go into the bar with Gomez to meet the person; the passage of the money took place in the cafe/bar or in an apartment. According to the report, Gomez tried to dismiss these discrepancies as inconsequential. However, "when the examiner continued to question [Gomez], he suddenly stood up, thanked the examiner, shook his hand and said words to the effect, 'that's all' and walked out."

677. According to a March 1988 report of a CIA official who observed the March 1988 polygraph examination of Gomez, CIA was "especially concerned about any possible involvement with drug trafficking on the part of [Gomez]." The official's report also stated that "the impression was that [Gomez abruptly departed from the examination] because he was being questioned about drug activities which were unacceptably threatening to him, so he called it quits." The official's report concluded:

> the net impression ... is that [Gomez] probably is concealing at least much more personal and direct involvement in drug smuggling than he can report .... The further impression is

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> that . . . he probably never had any intention of revealing his true involvement in drug activity. . . .

678. The December 12, 1996 edition of the British newspaper, *The Independent*, commented on an Independent Television program that was being broadcast that night. According to *The Independent*, Carlos Cabezas, a convicted drug trafficker associated with Julio Zavala in the San Francisco Frogman Case, said:

> . . . he had met a CIA agent, Ivan Gomez, in Costa Rica who, he said, was there to make sure that all the profits went to the Contras and not into the back pockets of the drug dealers and smugglers. "They [not specifically identified] told me who he was and the reason he was there, . . . It was to make sure the money was given to the right people and nobody was taking . . . profit they weren't supposed to."

(Ellipses in original.)

679. Cabezas was interviewed twice in 1997 and initially stated that a man named Ivan Gomez was present at least once in 1981 or 1982 when Cabezas met with drug traffickers Horacio Pereira and Troilo Sanchez in Costa Rica to deliver funds derived from drug trafficking. Cabezas also stated that Gomez said he was with the CIA. According to Cabezas, Gomez participated in the meeting when Pereira and Sanchez discussed their drug smuggling activities on behalf of the Contras. Cabezas described Gomez as a Latin American, and, based on his accent, possibly from the Dominican Republic.

680. In the second interview, Cabezas provided more details concerning his reported meeting with Gomez. He said the meeting took place in either April or May 1982 at a hotel in San Jose, Costa Rica. Cabezas stated that both Pereira and Gomez told him that Gomez was "the CIA's man in Costa Rica" and that "Gomez was there to ensure that the profits from the cocaine went to the Contras and not into someone's pocket." Cabezas again said that Gomez appeared to be a native of the Dominican Republic. Cabezas gave a physical description of Gomez and said he would recognize Gomez if

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

he saw him again. Cabezas was then allowed to examine 16 photographs of Latin males. Cabezas identified one of the individuals in the photograph as being Gomez and a second person, who resembled the first, as also a possibility.

681. Two of the 16 photographs shown Cabezas were of Ivan Gomez. Neither was identified by Cabezas as being Gomez. Moreover, the physical description of Gomez that was provided by Cabezas was three to five inches in height, and 40 pounds in weight, different from Gomez' actual physical characteristics. In addition, Gomez is not a Dominican. According to CIA records, Gomez also was not in Costa Rica in May 1982 when Cabezas says the meeting with Pereira occurred there, but was in the Washington, D.C. area being interviewed by CIA for potential employment. Further Gomez was not hired by CIA until June 1, 1982, nor has any information been found to indicate that he traveled to Costa Rica prior to June 1982.

682. Finally, the name "Ivan Gomez" was not recorded as his alias, and the passport and employment letters necessary for use of that alias to travel to Central America were not provided to Gomez, until June 1982. According to a CIA officer helping process him for his assignment, Gomez had suggested another name--"Juan Gomez"--as his alias and that suggested name was submitted for processing by the officer. However, Gomez' unclear handwriting resulted in "Juan" being read as "Ivan" during the approval process. Therefore, Gomez was not even aware until June 1982 of the Ivan Gomez alias that CIA ultimately provided to him.

683. Cabezas mentions that he also saw Doris Salomon, wife of Julio Zavala, when he reportedly met Gomez at the Costa Rica hotel and that she was several months pregnant at that time. Salomon acknowledges that she stayed at that same hotel and did see Cabezas there and was pregnant with her son. However, the son was born in July 1981. In the spring of 1981 Gomez was still serving in the military of his home country.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

684. Ivan Gomez says that his physical appearance never matched that given by Cabezas. He also says he has never stayed at the hotel in San Jose identified by Cabezas as the meeting site and that the name Carlos Cabezas is not familiar to him. He acknowledges that the name Ivan Gomez was not the name he picked for his alias and that it appeared as a surprise to him on his alias documents. Gomez confirms that he was in the United States in March-April 1982. Gomez says that a former lover of Pastora named Nancy[24] provided his name to the Sandinista newspapers.

685. Other public mentions of the name of Ivan Gomez appeared in the media prior to the 1996 and 1997 allegations by Cabezas regarding meeting Gomez in Costa Rica. For example, the name was included in the 1994 book *Hostile Acts: US Policy in Costa Rica in the 1980s* by Martha Honey, an American journalist. The book states, "the Agency . . . had a group of Costa Rican-based agents working directly inside Pastora's organization . . . . The head agent was Ivan Gomez, a Venezuelan . . . . "

686. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Agency records include an undated, unsigned document describing the June 29, 1982 arrest of Gomez' brother. The document was not in an official form and was poorly typed on a sheet of newsprint paper. The charge against the brother was, according to the document, participating in a continuing criminal enterprise, and search warrants had "lead [*sic*] to arrest of 2 others and seizure of 2 [kilograms] of coke and $160,000." The document also stated that the brother had delivered 54 pounds of cocaine in 1982 to undercover agents of an unidentified law enforcement agency. The document appearing adjacent to this one in the file is dated August 26, 1982.

687. The CIA desk officer from 1981 until late 1984 who dealt with Gomez says that she had heard sometime in 1982 that Gomez' brother had been arrested for involvement with drugs. She comments that Gomez did not appear to make any effort to hide his

[24] "Nancy" was an alias for Marieluz Guillen Serano, who was a Sandanista intelligence penetration of ARDE. She returned to Nicaragua after working at ARDE Headquarters and was used by the Sandinistas in a propaganda effort directed against Pastora, ARDE and CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

brother's arrest from CATF officers. It appeared to the desk officer, as she recalls, that several other Agency officers knew of the situation, but it fell into the category of a family problem and not one affecting Gomez professionally.

688. The desk officer also recounts a conversation she had with Gomez sometime prior to the end of 1984 in which she asked Gomez directly, "Did you know anything about your brother's drug activity?" According to the desk officer, Gomez reacted strongly to the question and indicated he had nothing to do with his brother, drugs, or the incident that led to his brother's arrest. Gomez reportedly stated to her that he had no intention of visiting or helping his brother. Gomez reportedly also told the desk officer that he did not want to see his brother and that he had fought hard not to be tainted by drugs.

689. An officer who participated in Gomez' interview for employment and later worked in CATF, says that he was aware that Gomez' brother had been arrested and convicted on narcotics charges. It is this officer's recollection that Gomez characterized his brother as "dumb" and said that he had a "disastrous lapse in judgment." The officer says he recalls that the charges against the brother may have related to a "money laundering deal" and adds that Gomez made no secret of his brother's arrest. The officer says he has no reason to believe that Gomez had anything to do with the brother's activities and expresses doubts that Gomez would have been personally involved in such activities. In any event, the officer says he did not believe that the brother's conviction was a factor relating to Gomez' suitability for CIA employment. Whatever responsibility there may have been for documenting the information regarding the brother, he says, would have rested with Gomez' CIA supervisors.

690. Gomez says that he told his COS about his brother's arrest when it happened. As Gomez recalls, he informed the COS while they were riding in an automobile.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

691. Gomez says that the COS made no particular comment at the time, only that Gomez should not worry about the matter. Gomez says that he probably also told another operations officer and that he also told the Costa Rica desk officer and at least two other CATF officers. Nonetheless, according to Gomez, no CIA officers ever said anything to him about the situation involving his brother.

692. The former COS recalls Gomez telling him about his brother's arrest, but says he recalls that the brother was arrested for a visa or immigration matter. The former COS says that, if the brother had been arrested on a narcotics charge, "there is no way that I wouldn't have made it part of the record." He says he recalls that the narcotics aspect of Gomez' brother's arrest came up in 1986, and his recollection is that it was raised by Agency security personnel. He says:

> It is inconceivable to me that on hearing this information I--or someone else--would not put it on record. Why would I have covered it up? I would have no reason to do so. [Gomez] was an employee.

693. The person who was DCOS at about the same time recalls that he spoke only briefly with Gomez about his brother's arrest. No details were ever discussed, he says, and Gomez seemed very sensitive about the subject and did not want to discuss it. The former DCOS says that, when knowledge of Gomez' brother's arrest became known to the Agency, there was no thought of looking closer into Gomez' background because he was a strong performer and the allegations concerned his brother, not Gomez. The former DCOS says that he never knew Gomez picked up money for his brother in New York City that may have been drug related. He adds that the former COS was more closely involved with Gomez than either he or the Costa Rica desk officer.

694. The Agency's Office of Security (OS) prepared an October 1986 memorandum outlining information known about Gomez and Gomez' career with the CIA. The memorandum noted that "record traces on [Gomez'] immediate family members revealed possible hits

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

as possible drug traffickers and/or members of leftist organizations in [a Latin America country]." The memorandum also referred to trace results that identified one of Gomez' brothers as a drug trafficker, although the information appears to refer to a person who had a name similar to that of Gomez' brother but who was not related to Gomez. The memorandum also summarized information Gomez had furnished about the association of two brothers with narcotics trafficking during two 1986 Agency polygraph examinations that were part of the marriage review process.

695. The source of the information in the OS memorandum relating to Gomez' family may have been, in part, a November 1985 FBI document that reported information from an informant. The FBI informant reportedly said that the informant learned while he was incarcerated that two of Gomez' brothers were both money launderers and large-scale cocaine importers. One brother was identified by the informant as arranging for cocaine shipments with well-known narco-trafficker Roberto Suarez. The FBI document made no mention of Ivan Gomez. The FBI document was included in OS, but not DO, files. No information has been found to indicate that officers in the DO who were responsible for Gomez' management and administration ever saw the FBI document.

696. The polygraph examiner's report of Gomez' March 1987 polygraph noted that Gomez said he had attempted to "arrange a deal for his brother with U.S. authorities after his brother's 1982 arrest." According to the report, Gomez said that he discussed his brother's case with officials at the U.S. Embassy in his home country:

> In discussions with an agent of the . . . [Drug Enforcement Administration] at the U.S. Embassy . . . [Gomez] tried to arrange immunity for his brother in exchange for his testimony against DEA agents allegedly involved in narcotics trafficking.[25] Furthermore, [Gomez] stated that he discussed his brother's case with unidentified members of the Office of Security at the U.S. Embassy . . . . Finally, [Gomez] was told by unidentified

[25] According to DEA, "These allegations were never substantiated."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Headquarters personnel to stop pursuing the matter because he was "causing problems."

CIA personnel say they do not recall giving advice to Gomez to stop contacting U.S. Government agencies in an effort to help his imprisoned brother.

697. Gomez acknowledges that, after his brother was arrested, he contacted a U.S. DEA agent who was a good friend. Gomez says he asked the DEA agent whether his brother could volunteer to "help out," i.e., to cooperate with U.S. authorities. Gomez says the DEA agent called another one of Gomez' brothers, but Gomez says he does not know the details of that conversation. Eventually, the arrested brother decided not to cooperate because, according to Gomez, there were "lawyers in Miami" who said he would be killed if he did. Gomez also says that he may have told CIA officers that he was trying to put his brother in contact with DEA.

698. The DEA agent with whom Gomez says he spoke recalls that Gomez told him about the brother's arrest. According to the DEA agent, Gomez thought that his brother could be a potential source for DEA and asked the DEA agent to talk to the family. The DEA agent recalls meeting with another of Gomez' brothers and that he appeared to be making some headway in ascertaining the facts surrounding the arrest. However, a sister walked in and entered the conversation. She became hostile and said that they did not need any help. The DEA agent says he left and did not have any further contact with the family.

699. The DEA agent says he never learned the reason for the brother's arrest, and the family did not provide records of the arrest as the DEA agent had requested. Gomez' brother with whom the DEA agent met indicated only that the arrested brother had information that could be useful to DEA. The DEA agent could not remember whether he wrote a report concerning the contact with the brother, and says he did not contact the responsible DEA case agent. The DEA agent indicates that Gomez came from a good family that was not involved in drugs, except for the one brother. The DEA

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

agent says he spoke to Gomez about his confrontational meeting with Gomez' brother and sister and that Gomez never pushed for another meeting or assistance for his brother. The DEA agent states that the assistance requested by Gomez was not unusual, and most times some assistance can be rendered in such circumstances.

700. On May 20, 1987, an Employee Review Panel (ERP)[26] was convened to discuss Gomez and his wife. A May 20, 1987 memorandum describing this ERP was written by the representative of the Counterintelligence Branch of the OS Special Activities Branch who presented the case to the ERP. That memorandum indicated that the meeting was characterized by the chairman as a "fact finding" session and that the discussion was detailed. An attachment to the memorandum described the issues to be considered by the ERP as including Gomez' travel to New York to "pick up approximately $30-40,000 for [his brother]. . . ," The brother's arrest and Gomez' cousin involvement in "laundering drug money." The ERP recommended, according to the memorandum, that "if the opportunity arises to repolygraph [Gomez], he should be directly confronted with the issues of personal affiliation with drug activities . . . ." The question of whether a crimes referral should be made to DoJ was discussed and left for OGC action--an OGC representative was present at the ERP. The memorandum recorded that "CMS representative [the Chief of CMS, Gomez' former COS] noted that [there] is still interest in [Gomez] as [career] candidate and that his application might be reinitiated in June 1987."

701. The former Chief of CMS recalls that he did say at the ERP that there was still interests in Gomez, but notes that the memorandum describing the ERP may or may not accurately present the chronological order of the actual discussion at the ERP. He says:

> One could attribute to the memorandum the sense that [I] was fighting to keep [Gomez] on. That's not my style. Interest attenuated [in Gomez] in reality if it were going to be reported to

[26] An ERP is an Agency group that is responsible for reviewing the conduct of Agency staff employees, not assets, and making employment, administrative, or disciplinary recommendations. It usually is used only for an employee in a trial period of employment.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> DoJ. We were not going to the bitter end to protect him. Foreshortening of these notes could give this impression. This was not my mindset.

702. In a June 26, 1987 memorandum to OS, the LA Division Chief commented on a briefing LA Division had received regarding Gomez' "difficulty" with his questioning by CIA Security. The memorandum stated that "it will be the policy of LA Division to not accept [Gomez] for any assignment to Latin America until . . . any additional security processing is favorably resolved."

703. A July 8, 1987 memorandum to the Chief of SAS from the supervisor mentioned previous in connection with Gomez, recommended several actions regarding Gomez. They included: no further polygraph examination until after Gomez' wife had completed her pregnancy period so as not to create "adverse stress on [Gomez] and spouse, affecting her pregnancy and possibly leading to miscarriage;" continuing Gomez' salary through February 1988, shortly after the birth of his child, while his wife was on Leave Without Pay; fund Gomez' language training in English; and polygraph Gomez after his wife's pregnancy in order to:

> . . . resolve all outstanding issues if possible. C/CMS wishes to clarify situation as it pertains to [Gomez], and future employment of spouse who also is due normal reinvestigation- sticky situation. . . . SAS should initiate action [for] further polygraph testing in early 1988 to resolve outstanding issues. If favorable results are obtained . . . and clarification of any prior narcotics activities are resolved, LA [Division] can then decide if in fact they desire to employ [Gomez].

The recommended actions were concurred in by the Ground Branch Chief, the SOG Chief, the SAS Chief, and the CMS Chief, who wrote, "Concur fully with this approach."

704. The former Chief of CMS says he does not recall specific discussions with the SAS supervisor, but notes that he and the supervisor were in regular contact concerning Gomez. In regard to the recommendation not to initiate action for another polygraph until Gomez' wife's baby was born, the former Chief of CMS says, "As I

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

recall, the conversations focused solely on [the wife's] medical condition. It was a difficult pregnancy." He says he was not thinking at the time in terms of "cutting our losses" and terminating the relationship with Gomez. Asked if he thought that Gomez would pass the polygraph at this juncture, the former Chief of CMS comments that "anyone with this depth of a problem, the likelihood of passing is not good." He says Gomez was continuing to receive his salary and his wife was on Leave Without Pay. He says he wanted to create every opportunity to clarify the situation.

705. The supervisor, in an undated MFR, reported that he and Chief of CMS met with Gomez and his wife on July 16, 1987. The meeting was to brief them on the plans to delay further polygraph testing until after the birth of their child and to sponsor Gomez' English language training. The memorandum noted that Chief of CMS:

> . . . reemphasized his intentions to reinitiate action with both OS and [Office of Medical Services], after the pregnancy period, to desensitize [Gomez] to any issues of concern and conduct the next polygraph sessions in Spanish language. It is hoped that a combination of OS and OMS efforts would favorably produce desired results.

706. The former Chief of CMS says that he recalls the July 16, 1987 meeting with Gomez and his wife.

> It was an extra step. There wasn't any other agenda. Here's a guy who worked directly for me. We owed it to him. I was directly involved as his former COS. It would not be seemly if I hadn't. I don't want [Gomez] to think when the going gets tough, the senior guy won't be there. I had been involved previously. It would have been very inconsistent if I hadn't continued.

707. The amendment to Gomez' contract providing for a termination bonus and a month's salary for each year of service was requested by the SAS SOG Chief on August 3, 1987 and approved by the EPS Chief on September 18, 1987. The request made no mention of the ERP recommendations, Gomez' possible involvement in his

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

brother's drug trafficking activities, or the problems Gomez was having passing the polygraph examination.

708. A September 1, 1987 cable to Headquarters submitted a Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) regarding Gomez. Gomez' Grade and Title were entered as "agent" and "covert action asset." The PAR gave Gomez an overall grade of "5," described as indicating "Performance occasionally exceeds the work standard and is good." The reviewing officer summarized Gomez' performance in the PAR by writing, "Subject is a dedicated, hardworking professional who contributed significantly to Station's overall intelligence mission." The PAR was identified as a "special request," but no information has been found to indicate that this PAR was requested by Headquarters. An undated, unsigned, handwritten note attached to the Headquarters copy of the cable noted, "Unusual to have PAR on asset."

709. A January 6, 1988 MFR written by an OS officer reported on a January 5, 1988 meeting to discuss further polygraphing of Gomez. Attending the meeting were the then-DO Grievance Officer who had attended the May 20, 1987 ERP and who worked in CMS; the SAS supervisor; and the SAS desk officer who supported the surrogate operations officer program. The memorandum recorded that supervisor and the SAS desk officer:

> . . . stated that they are desirous of finding suitable Agency employment for [Gomez] outside of SAS. They feel that [Gomez] has shown extreme dedication and courage in his work with SAS . . . they also understand that [Gomez] walked out on his last polygraph test and that he had admitted to two instances of assisting in the laundering of drug money in the United States.

710. A February 19, 1988 OS MFR noted that the LA Division Chief was asking for a memorandum that summarized Gomez' polygraph admissions. The OS officer wrote, "Apparently, C/CMS is trying to get LA Division to sponsor [Gomez] for Agency employment, which the LA Division Chief does not want to do." The memorandum also noted that another OS official had advised that LA Division should be told that:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> [the LA Division Chief should look to C/CMS/DO for information in the case, as [Chief of CMS] had been fully briefed. If [the LA Division Chief] wanted to talk with someone else, he may want to discuss the case with [the DO Grievance Officer] . . . .

711. The former Chief of CMS confirms that he was trying to have LA Division sponsor Gomez for Agency employment. He says that the February 1988 OS memorandum reflected the position he had consistently taken regarding Gomez. He explains his general view of the Gomez situation in the following way:

> In light of the 1997 context, I'd do things differently. Strictly speaking, the situation with his brother makes [Gomez] an accessory. This was a high risk, dicey, fuzzy situation. [Gomez] was a guy who served [CIA] well. He was loyal to us. I was supportive of him throughout. My view was: Let's get to the bottom of this--whatever was bothering him on the [polygraph]. And let's salvage a good officer. What comes across now is the lack of focus on the legal aspects. I wasn't alone, obviously. It is a striking commentary on me and everyone that this guy's involvement in narcotics didn't weigh more heavily on me or the system. We were looking more heavily on this officer's contribution than this incident, accidental or not.

He points out that his characterization of Gomez as "an accessory" is "from a lay perspective, since I am not an attorney."

712. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** ***Potential Referral to DoJ.*** During the May 20, 1987 ERP that was convened to discuss Gomez and his wife, the OS representative, according to the May 20, 1987 memorandum regarding the ERP, "voiced strong sentiments that the drug related information [concerning Gomez] should be passed to the Department of Justice regardless of the legal requirement, or lack thereof." In response, "the OGC representative requested a written referral in order to initiate possible passage to DOJ." The memorandum also stated that Gomez:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> . . . has never been an employee of this Agency. He . . . is currently working as a[n] Independent Contractor; but he has never had a Contract External clearance. [Gomez'] employment status has been a matter of interest to OGC and the issue of required passage to DOJ hinged on this status (there is now no apparent requirement to pass to DOJ either in true name or as a John Doe). . . . It is recommended that a Memorandum for the Record be routed to OGC for their interest and final decision on passage of pertinent information to DOJ.

(Underlining in original.)

713. The former Chief of CMS says that he does not specifically remember the OS representative saying at the ERP that Gomez' narcotics-related activity should be reported to DoJ. The former Chief of CMS states:

> the issue of getting DoJ involved seems perfectly logical, reading through [the record regarding the ERP]. My reaction now is that [the OGC representative's] view that Gomez is not technically an employee and therefore reporting may not be required is slicing it pretty thin. With today's standards, yes we should report it [to DoJ].

He does not recall seeing a document in response to OGC's request at the ERP for a written referral. He says that his recollection is that the alleged violation was referred to DoJ.

714. A June 12, 1987 memorandum from the Deputy Director for Personnel Security, to the OGC lawyer who had attended the May 20 ERP, outlines Gomez' "association with the Agency and his admissions of possible criminal activity." The OGC attorney addressed the question of reporting information concerning Gomez to DoJ in an August 20, 1987 memorandum for then-Associate Deputy General Counsel for Administrative Law and Management Support Gary Chase. The memorandum requested Chase's concurrence with the OGC attorney's recommendation that the information concerning Gomez not be reported to DoJ. In the memorandum, the OGC attorney reasoned:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> While [Gomez] could be guilty as a principal, accessory after the fact, or of conspiracy if he knew the money was part of a drug transaction, we have no evidence that he did know that critical fact. While the receipt of $35,000 cash in a paper bag should have (and probably did) raise his suspicions, I do not feel that the information available to us is sufficient to provide a basis for inferring criminal intent. The offense that might be involved, moreover, is not a non-employee offense required to be reported under the procedures [established by the 1982 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between DoJ and CIA regarding reporting of potential crimes]. (Although [Gomez] . . . has . . . been an independent contractor, he has never been an "employee" as defined in the [DoJ-CIA MOU]).

On August 11, 1987, Chase concurred with the OGC attorney's recommendation, adding a note that his concurrence was "pursuant to delegation from the General Counsel of 25 June 1986 . . . ."[27]

715. The OGC attorney says that he believes that the standard at the time was properly applied. Gomez, as an Independent Contractor, was not an "employee." Therefore, whether a possible crime involving him should be referred to DoJ was covered by the non-employee portion of the 1982 DoJ-CIA MOU. Under that portion of the MOU, he says, this information regarding a possible narcotics violation was not required to be reported.

716. The OGC attorney also comments that, at the time, OGC carefully reviewed cases to determine whether all elements of a crime were present as part of the consideration of whether to refer a matter to DoJ. Now the practice is to refer most matters and let DoJ make such determinations.

717. Chase explains that the General Counsel had delegated authority to him to make crimes referral decisions and that Chase's signature noting his concurrence with the OGC attorney's recommendation reflected Chase's approval of the decision not to refer the matter to DoJ. Chase, commenting on the OGC attorney's

---

[27] August 20 appears in three places as the date of the memorandum, two typed and one stamped. The concurrence date of August 11, nine days prior to the date of the memorandum is handwritten along with Chase's signature.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

memorandum, agrees that Gomez did not meet the criteria of an "employee" and that the offense in question, a possible narcotics violation, was not a reportable offense under the section of the 1982 DoJ-CIA MOU on crimes reporting that dealt with non-employees. Chase opines that, therefore, there was no obligation to make a report to DoJ. Chase further notes that he does not view the conduct by Gomez that was described in the OGC attorney's memorandum as the kind of issue that should be rushed to DoJ. He notes that the incident in question had occurred five years prior to the date of the OGC attorney's memorandum, and the statute of limitations may have played a role in his decision not to refer the matter to DoJ.

718. Chase, concerning the discussion in the OGC attorney's memorandum of Gomez' intent, says that whether intent is a factor in making a crimes referral depends on the specific potential crime and that specific intent crimes would require an intent finding. Chase states that the OGC attorney must have believed there had to be some kind of intent in order for there to be a crime. Chase says that transporting money, unlike transporting drugs, is not illegal on its face. Chase says, however, that he thinks the intent element might have been overemphasized in the OGC attorney's memorandum because OGC should only be interested in reporting facts in a crimes referral.

719. No information has been found to indicate that the OGC attorney/Chase memorandum was ever distributed to the ERP members. The OGC attorney says that the crime referral itself was not an ERP issue, since that group focuses on employee actions. In retrospect, the OGC attorney says it "might have been wise to see that the ERP got a copy."

720. ***FBI Trace Request.*** A May 19, 1989 cable to CIA from a Supervisory Special Agent in the FBI's Counterterrorism Section requested the status of traces the FBI had requested from CIA concerning Ivan Gomez on April 14, 1989. The May 19 cable was directed to the External Inquiries Branch in the DO's Information Management Staff (IMS) for action.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

721. A June 28, 1989 cable to CIA from another Supervisory Special Agent in the FBI's Counterterrorism Section requested trace information and "all information contained in your files" regarding Ivan Gomez. The responsibility for responding to the cable also was assigned on June 28, 1989 to the External Inquiries Branch in the DO's Information Management Staff.

722. A June 30, 1989 letter to the CIA's Deputy Director of Security from the Chief of the FBI's Counterterrorism Section requested "all information contained in your files" concerning Ivan Gomez. An August 30, 1989 letter from the Chief of the FBI Counterterrorism Section to the Deputy Director of OS requested a response to its June 30, 1989 letter for all information in CIA files on Gomez. A handwritten note appears in pencil in the margin that "FBI-reviewed file on 14 June."

723. A handwritten October 31, 1989 note to the Information Management Staff from a Special Assistant to the Associate DDO for Counterintelligence states, ". . . enclosed is the correspondence with FBI indicating we had 'no record' on [Gomez]. . . ." Subsequently, however, the Chief of the IMS Information Services Group, Information Research and Retrieval Branch wrote to the Chief, Information Services Group on November 2, 1989 and explained that the traces on Gomez for the FBI had been done by a person who had previously worked in the section, but was now helping with traces on an overtime basis. The memorandum noted that the search for records relating to Gomez had been conducted in at least one instance by his given name, not his surname. This reportedly explained why the Agency had produced a "no record" response to the FBI. The memorandum commented that the "consequences of missing a record for . . . the FBI . . . could be particularly severe" and, therefore, "effective immediately, all FBI material will be handled by full-time . . . staffers. As individuals who work with name tracing . . . full-time staffers . . . generally have a sharper sense for the accuracy of results . . . . "

724. A November 1, 1989 memorandum from the OS, Special Activities Division, Special Investigations Branch to the Special

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Activities Division Chief described an interview of Gomez' wife concerning his activities. The memorandum indicated that OS officials had met with FBI agents on June 14, 1989 and:

> The FBI advised that they were aware of the fact that [Gomez] had worked for the Agency but that they had not traced him until May 1989.

The OS memorandum noted that OS had provided the FBI with an oral "summary of the security issues affecting" Gomez. According to the memorandum, "The FBI was, prior to this point, unaware of the fact that [Gomez] left the Agency under less than favorable conditions, that he had a brother convicted in federal court on felony drug charges or that [Gomez'] family was involved in the drug trade."

725. According to the November 1 OS memorandum, an additional meeting between OS and FBI officials and also Gomez' wife had reportedly been held on July 31, 1989. The FBI acknowledged that the June 30 FBI trace request had been initiated as a result of the June 14, 1989 meeting with OS and that:

> . . . no reply was necessary as the requested information was passed on 14 June 1989 . . . . On 30 August 1989 the FBI sent a trace request referencing the 30 June 1989 request . . . . Contact with the FBI Supervisory Agent . . . confirmed that the 30 June 1989 and 30 August 1989 traces request [*sic*] were for information already received in the 14 June 1989 meeting and were merely formalities. [The Supervisory Agent] advised that no new requests were being made and that it appears to be a clerical error in sending the follow-up request.

726. An October 26, 1989 CIA cable to the FBI's Counterterrorism Section states that Gomez:

> . . . served for the CIA . . . . from 1983 to 1988 when he was terminated amicably. During Subject's polygraph, [the results] precluded his continued use by this Agency. . . . FBI agent[s] . . . reviewed all available information on [Gomez] on 14 June 1984.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> The Office of Security reiterates that all available background on Subject has been made available to your [Headquarters].

727. A November 13, 1989 OS letter to the Director of the FBI, for the attention of the Intelligence Division, confirmed that "all information contained in our files concerning" Gomez was provided to FBI Special Agents "in a meeting that occurred on 14 June 1989. Subsequent contact with these Agents disclosed no additional requests for information."

728. No information has been found to indicate that information relating to possible involvement in drug trafficking by Ivan Gomez was provided to the Congress by CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

A CIA Independent Contractor

729. **Background and Relationship with CIA.** A January 1983 cable to Headquarters first brought this future CIA independent contractor to the attention of CIA. An overseas DEA office had reportedly informed the CIA that he, a principal informant in a major U.S. drug case, had finished his work for DEA and wanted to work for the Agency. The cable described him as recommended highly by DEA. It also noted that he had spent three years in prison in connection with membership in an insurgent organization and that he had been "involved in narcotics-related affairs" after his release from prison.

730. A March 1983 cable described this independent contractor's previous activities. It reported that he had been sentenced to a long prison term for plotting against the State, insurgency, use of false documents, desertion, stealing military property, being commander of a group of armed insurgents, and destroying public buildings with explosives. He was not convicted of an additional charge of assassination. He served, according to the March 1983 description, six years of his sentence and was the beneficiary of an amnesty.

731. A March 1983 request by a CIA officer to employ him noted that he had told the Agency that he became aware, as a private businessman in Latin America in 1980, of guerrilla groups using profits from drug trafficking to buy weapons. He claimed to have decided to conduct his own investigation of these activities. He said he had thereafter become the associate of a major drug trafficker and made four or five trips to Miami in 1980 to relay arrangements for various drug deals. In the fall of 1980, he had reportedly accompanied a group of traffickers moving drugs to Miami. He also claimed to have subsequently sought employment outside Latin America, where he initially contacted DEA and provided information on the drug trafficking with which he had been involved. He also later testified in a U.S. court against the leader of the group. In

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

addition, he claimed that he was under the impression that DEA would offer him a job after he had given his testimony. Instead, he was provided a monthly stipend by DEA. The March 1983 description made no comment about his drug trafficking activities, except to note that there was no reason to doubt they occurred.

732. A November 1983 cable stated that this independent contractor had been on a CIA mission in July and August outside of Latin America and that he was then deployed to Central America where he would be used for the foreseeable future.

733. The contract officer was reassigned within Central America in 1986, but Headquarters was informed of insubordination on his part, and he was again reassigned. In early 1987, after questioning by CIA Security, CIA concluded that the contract officer probably was not fully cooperating and by early March 1987, his employment had been terminated.

734. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate that any allegations were received by CIA of drug trafficking by this independent contractor during the time he was employed by CIA.

735. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** The only information that appears to have been available to CIA concerning this independent contractor's involvement in drug trafficking related to his activities in the early 1980s, before he was brought to CIA's attention. The information regarding those activities that was provided by DEA, in addition to the further details offered later by the independent contractor, himself, was evaluated at the time that CIA established its relationship with him in March 1983. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency took any steps to collect further information or investigate his involvement in drug trafficking prior to his relationship with CIA.

736. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** In an August 1987 cable, CIA Headquarters described this independent contractor's background, previous association with

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

DEA, work for the CIA, and the details of the termination of his relationship with CIA, and indicated that the information should be shared with the local DEA office.

737. An August 1997 Headquarters cable included a description of the CIA's relationship him for passage to the DEA.

738. No information has been found to indicate that information concerning his involvement in drug trafficking before he came into contact with CIA was provided to the Congress by CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

A Second CIA Independent Contractor

739. **Background and Relationship with CIA.** According to a file review, CIA hired this independent contractor in January 1983 to serve in Central America to participate in supporting the Contras. From 1983 to 1989, he was assigned to two Central American countries. From 1989 to 1994 he was assigned outside of Central America.

740. No specific date for the termination of his relationship with CIA has been found. However, according to a July 5, 1994 cable, the relationship was terminated in 1994 for poor performance.

741. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** On January 24, 1983, he was questioned by CIA Security as part of Agency security processing. According to the Security Officer's report, the contractor reported that in August 1980, prior to his first contact with CIA, he had accepted $1,000 from drug traffickers who were delivering cocaine paste from a port to an airstrip. He said he shared the money with two subordinate officers. He reportedly explained that the "only reason he had taken the money was because of [the U.S. military advisor to his unit] telling him to do so in order to be in a better position to obtain drug information."

742. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A February 8, 1983 cable to Headquarters stated that his admission that he had accepted a $1,000 bribe from a narcotics smuggler was disturbing. However, the cable added:

> . . . to put the best face on a truly ugly development, we could only point out that had [he] chosen to do so, he could have accepted these payments on a daily basis, thus enriching himself to an unimaginable degree. He chose not to, however, and became the bane of the narcotraffickers' existence at great personal risk to himself and to the detriment of his military career.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

No information has been found to indicate that the U.S. military advisor was ever asked by CIA about the contractor's claim that the advisor had told him to accept the bribe.

743. A March 11, 1985 Headquarters cable noted his comments from the 1983 security interview. The cable stated that "it would be prudent to arrange for [interviews by CIA Security] at regular intervals."

744. He was questioned again by CIA Security on June 18, 1986. The Security Officer's report stated:

> Regarding the issue of narcotics trafficking since his [last interview] in 1983, [he] stated that he has never engaged in narcotics trafficking. The one incident previously reported was done only to locate drug factories . . . and ultimately raid those factories. He did relate that he had learned that members of [the Contras] had been using the private aircraft belonging to [Pastora] to smuggle cocaine into [San Jose, Costa Rica]. He denied actually seeing this activity. He stated that this was reported to him by members of [the Contras].
>
> He was specifically [questioned] regarding any narcotics trafficking he may have engaged in since his [interview] in 1983. [CIA Security was satisfied with his answers and did not have any further questions regarding illegal drug trafficking.]

No information has been found to indicate that his allegation during the questioning concerning the use of Pastora's plane to smuggle cocaine into Costa Rica was further investigated by CIA.

745. A February 16, 1995 cable to Headquarters reported that an anonymous letter had been received by "numerous individuals and the press . . . charging various present and former military personnel with involvement in narcotics trafficking." The letter reportedly included the specific allegation that this contractor had, prior to 1981, murdered a drug trafficker who had been bribing him.

746. The cable commented that the anonymous letter might have been "a way for some group or individual to get revenge on

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

personal enemies." In the cable the Station also noted that it did not know the "veracity of the claims made in the letter." No information has been found to indicate that these allegations against the contractor were pursued further by CIA.

747. An August 13, 1997 cable to Headquarters discussed a meeting to terminate the Station's relationship with a contact who had no connection with the Contra program. According to the cable, the contact alleged during the meeting that the contractor in the early 1980s (note: prior to his becoming a contractor with CIA) had raided a cocaine lab, seized an amount of cocaine, and staged a destruction of the cocaine, but actually sold it for himself. The Station commented that the contact and his source for his information were not reliable.

748. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA reported the drug trafficking allegations against the contractor to other U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement entities or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

A Third CIA Independent Contractor

749. **Background.** The third independent contractor was interviewed by CIA Security on January 24, 1986, according to the October 1995 cable. After serving in a Central American country, in 1990 he was reassigned and in 1993, he was assigned to other Latin American countries. He was also questioned by CIA Security in 1995 and 1996.

750. As a result of his inability to provide satisfactory answers concerning narcotics trafficking, CIA decided to terminate his employment.

751. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In a January 1986 Security Officer's report, his responses to questions about drug trafficking were less than satisfactory. A February 28, 1996 memorandum from LA Division to the ADDO suggested that he be questioned again.

752. According to a July 1995 report, he was questioned again in 1995 with similar results.

753. He was questioned for a third time in 1996 with similar results. According to a report of the third round of questioning, the contract employee could provide no explanation for his lack of credibility in his answers. However, he said that several years in the past, in the course of his work, he had received assistance from a person whom he subsequently learned was a drug trafficker.

754. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** No information has been found to indicate any efforts by CIA to resolve or verify the drug trafficking issues that arose in the 1986, 1995 and 1996 questioning of this contractor. The Agency decided in March 1996 to end his employment.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

755. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that information relating to this independent contractor's possible involvement in drug trafficking was shared with other U.S. Government intelligence or law enforcement entities or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

John Floyd Hull

756. **Background.** John Hull, (a.k.a. John Hull Clarke and Juan Clark), a dual U.S. and Costa Rican citizen, resided during the period of the Contra resistance in Muelle De San Carlos, Costa Rica, where he owned one ranch and had a shared interest or management authority regarding five other properties. He allowed the Contras to use the airstrips and storage facilities on his properties and, over the course of the 1980s, was in contact with the Agency and senior Contra leaders—especially of the Southern Front—wealthy U.S. businessmen and senior U.S. Government officials.

757. A former Agency officer says he received a visit after his retirement from Hull in 1989. This was after Hull left Costa Rica. According to the retired officer, Hull talked about his escape from Costa Rica and his pending extradition request. He also says that Hull said he was in Washington, D.C. to see a member of Congress.

758. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In November 1984, a cable to Headquarters reported an allegation that an ARDE pilot had alleged Hull's airfield "has been used for smuggling in the past and that some of the people who live in the area have been associated with contraband/drug smuggling." The report did not specify whether Hull had knowledge of the trafficking, nor did it indicate the source of the information, when the alleged trafficking took place, or any other details. Hull denies knowing the pilot who made the allegation, and he denies having knowledge of such activities. No information has been found to indicate that the pilot was ever present at any of Hull's airstrips in Costa Rica.

759. A January 1985, cable informed Headquarters that Hull had said that the FBI was "investigating" his "relationship with Frank Castro." According to the cable, Hull said that "he has no contact or working relationship with Castro," although he was "aware of Castro's background--past involvement in terrorist activities and possible involvement in drug trafficking."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

760. Hull admits having met Frank Castro and says that Castro visited his ranch once with some Cuban-Americans. Hull notes that the Cuban-Americans had told him that Castro gave a lot of money to the Contras. Hull also says that it was rumored that Castro was involved in the drug business, but Hull does not know whether the money Castro gave to the Contras came from drug trafficking.

761. According to a February 1985 cable to Headquarters, it was reported that Moises Ruiz Nunez had been interviewed by the FBI about Hull's relationship with Castro. Nunez said he told the FBI that "[Hull] has nothing to do with Castro and added that [Hull] knows that Castro should be avoided."

762. On March 7, 1986, FBI Headquarters sent CIA a cable that provided information from an FBI interview of Jack Terrell. The cable reported that Terrell alleged that an airplane carrying cocaine arrived at Corn Island, Nicaragua from Colombia twice weekly. According to the cable, the aircraft was refueled at Corn Island before flying to Rama, Nicaragua, for unloading. Terrell had further alleged that, after unloading the cocaine, Cuban-Americans Rene Corvo and another individual would bring the cocaine to Hull's ranch for shipment to the United States. According to the FBI cable, both Terrell and Costa Rican authorities also believed that Dr. Hugo Spadafora, a former ARDE commander, was connected with drug trafficking at Hull's ranch.

763. Hull says that he does not recall the name of the other individual, but he says he met Corvo several times and transported medicine and supplies to Corvo's training camps on the Northern border of Costa Rica from 1983 to 1985. According to a May 1986 cable to Headquarters, Hull:

> . . . said that he met Rene Corvo twice, once in San Jose and once along the border area. Those meetings took place approximately six/eight months ago. [Hull] said that both Corvo and Terrell are "loose cannon" types whom he avoids at all cost.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Hull says that it was "not possible" for Corvo and the other individual to ship cocaine from his ranch without his knowledge. Hull states, "If it were going on, I would have known." Hull also notes that there were no patterns of activity at his ranch that would have been similar to the twice weekly schedule of flights that was alleged by Terrell. Hull reiterates that he is "10,000 percent" certain that he would have known about any such narcotics shipments from his ranch.

764. In April 1986, a Headquarters cable stated that Senator John Kerry of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee had "assigned several staff members to conduct an intensive investigation of allegations of [Contra] involvement in illegal activities." According to the cable, the scope of the SFRC investigation included, among other things:

> . . . . An on going [*sic*] drug smuggling operation connecting Columbia [*sic*], Costa Rica, Nicaragua and the United States, in which Resistance members and their American supporters, . . . handled the transport of cocaine produced in Columbia [*sic*], shipped to Costa Rica, processed in the region, transported to airstrips controlled by American supporters of the Resistance and distributed in the U.S.

The cable did not allege misdeeds by Hull.

765. According to the CBS *West 57th Street* broadcast on April 6, 1987, Gary Betzner said, "I took two loads, small aircraft loads of weapons to John Hull's ranch in Costa Rica and returned back to Florida with approximately a thousand kilos of cocaine." Betzner then stated that he returned with "500 each trip." He also alleged that personnel working for Hull "would load the aircraft. In both cases John Hull was there." Betzner also said that Hull "physically saw the bags" of cocaine that were loaded onto the aircraft. During this program, Betzner described a whistle as a means of communicating with personnel at Hull's airstrip before landing. Later in the program, Betzner said, "The second trip I went down, I didn't go back into John Hull's place. I went into another strip that was maybe 10 or 15 miles east of the place, but a little better

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

strip." In denying these allegations on the same program, Hull said, "There's never been any drug movement through this zone or through any of our farms . . . . "

766. Hull states that the allegations by Gary Betzner, including a "whistle" signal between pilots in the air and personnel at Hull's airstrip, are false. According to Hull, there was no air-to-ground communication system at his air strips that could be used as Betzner alleged.

767. A December 1987 cable from Headquarters asked for background information concerning a U.S. pilot. According to the cable, this U.S. pilot had a "close relative" with "possible drug involvement . . . . [This U.S. pilot] normally based [his] aircraft at the Muelle De San Carlos private airfield in northern Costa Rica, which is owned by John Hull . . . ." Responses indicated no information had been found regarding this U.S. pilot.

768. A January 1988, Headquarters cable advised, a Cuban-American, claimed he had been in contact with Hull. As of August 1986, the cable noted, the Cuban-American was being investigated by the FBI for smuggling and possession of marijuana.

769. In October 1988, a cable to Headquarters stated that an individual, who had been accused of money laundering in Costa Rica, had threatened to implicate Hull, Agriculture Minister Alberto Esquivel and "U.S. intelligence in narcotics trafficking." Hull says that he does not know the individual and does not recall the threat.

770. On February 10, 1988, Floyd Carlton testified before the Kerry Committee that Teofilo Watson piloted an aircraft carrying about 530 kilograms of narcotics to Costa Rica that ended up on Hull's ranch. The transcript stated:

> Senator Kerry: And instead of appearing at that -- what field was it supposed to appear at?
>
> Mr. Carlton: I can't recall the name, but I could locate it on a map.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Senator Kerry: Did you learn that that drug shipment appeared instead on another farm, another strip?

Mr. Carlton: That is what we were told by a member of the civil guard in Costa Rica.

Senator Kerry: Did he inform you that it landed on the strip and ranch of John Hull?

Mr. Carlton: Yes, that is correct.

Senator Kerry: And was Teofilo Watson, the pilot of the aircraft, at some time assassinated?

Mr. Carlton: Yes.

Senator Kerry: When was he assassinated?

Mr. Carlton: I can't recall the date, the exact date. But one of the people who was to meet Watson told him not to land at the appointed place, but to change and land elsewhere. It was supposedly waiting for him there. They killed him and then took the airplane and the drugs to Mr. Hull's ranch.

Senator Kerry: So this is the very occasion that he landed at the wrong field that he was killed. He was assassinated when he was met landing where he thought he was going, correct?

Mr. Carlton: Yes, that is correct.

771. A January 1989 cable to Headquarters reported that the Costa Rican Government had arrested Hull on charges that included illegal arms and narcotics trafficking. According to the cable, the chief of the Costa Rican Office of Judicial Investigation had said that Hull's arrest was "based on testimony of known narcotics traffickers arrested in Miami." These traffickers had alleged that Hull allowed "aircraft to utilize his property . . . for transit of drugs to USA."

772. Hull says that, after he was arrested, he was stripped of his clothing and asked to sign an affidavit stating that he was aware that CIA was smuggling drugs. According to Hull, he was told that

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

he would have his clothing returned and be set free if he signed the affidavit. Hull says that he refused to sign the document and was placed in a cell with 16 other prisoners.

773. Agency records contain a copy of a January 13, 1989 Reuters article that reported information provided by a spokesman for the "Costa Rican judicial investigation department." According to the article:

> The investigation of Hull . . . began after a Colombian drug trafficker, George Morales, accused the American in a television interview of links with arms and drugs [*sic*] trafficking and of spying for the Contras.

774. A January 13, 1989 Associated Press story reported that witnesses testifying before Congress had said "Hull's ranch was a way station for gun smugglers and cocaine traffickers." The Associated Press report also stated that "Convicted cocaine pilot Gary Betzner has testified that Hull once met his plane when it landed on the ranch to exchange Contra arms for Colombian cocaine." Hull responds that his grass airstrip was too short—only 1,600 useable feet—and situated so that it could not be used as claimed by Morales and Betzner—hills on one end and a river on the other.

775. Hull says that it was "impossible—flat impossible!" that someone could unload weapons and load narcotics on his property. He further asserts that all of the allegations about drugs being shipped from facilities controlled by him in Costa Rica came from convicted felons and that his airstrip was too short to accommodate the aircraft alleged to have used his airstrip. Hull states that, according to information he obtained from a representative of the manufacturer, the Cessna 402B aircraft, loaded as Betzner alleged, would have been 800 pounds over the gross weight to take off safely from a grass strip such as Hull's.[28] Hull says that Betzner's allegations are "not true, not true! He made it up. He was coached."

---

[28] Hull provided a copy of a document that cites the results of calculations based on information contained in the *Pilot's Operating Handbook and FAA Approved Flight Manual, 1977 Model 402B (D1544-1-13-RPC-1000-6/78* and conversations with an individual, identified as a factory

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

776. March 7, 1989 reporting explained that a Costa Rican judge had issued a ruling that charged Hull with "hostile acts" and "drug trafficking." Costa Rican court documents claimed that Hull and Contra pilot Gerardo Duran had met Morales in Miami to discuss the sale of drugs and weapons. Thereafter, planes piloted by Duran and Marcos Aguado had reportedly landed at Hull's airstrips carrying military supplies and, with his knowledge, left loaded with drugs. Allegedly 400 kilograms of cocaine had been transported to Miami from Hull's ranch at one time. On another occasion, according to Betzner, 1,000 kilograms had been transported from Hull's ranch to Florida. The court had ordered Hull's "preventive imprisonment."

777. A July 1989 cable advised Headquarters that Hull had fled Costa Rica in mid-July. Hull says that he arranged his departure from Costa Rica and was flown to Haiti by a DEA pilot he knew. According to Hull, a Canadian pilot flew him from Haiti to Miami. Hull says that in Miami he stayed in the apartment of Moises Nunez.

778. In August 1989 reporting indicated that Hull had been reindicted by the Costa Rican Government for "hostile acts" stemming from his Contra-related activities. An August 1991 cable to Headquarters, stated that "The drug charges [against Hull] have since been dropped, but Hull is now formally charged with being a conspirator in the 1984 La Penca bombing, and is the subject of a Costa Rican extradition request in the hands of the U.S. Department of Justice." Hull remains the subject of an extradition request by the Costa Rican Government.

---

representative for Cessna, the manufacturer of the Cessna 402B that Betzner is alleged to have flown to and from Hull's ranch. According to that document, after taking into consideration the weight of the cargo-configured Cessna 402B, fuel, oil, and the pilots, the aircraft would weigh 5,961 pounds—just 339 pounds below the manufacturer's recommended maximum gross takeoff weight of 6,300 pounds. With the addition of 500 kilograms (1,100 pounds) of cocaine the weight of the aircraft would exceed the maximum gross takeoff weight by 761 pounds. The document further stated that a 2,670 foot takeoff roll on a paved runway would be required for this aircraft loaded to its recommended maximum gross takeoff weight. It noted that Hull's runway was grass and would require approximately a 15 percent longer takeoff roll. This would bring the required runway length to 3,070 feet. According to the document, the useable length of Hull's runway was only 1,500 feet.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

779. Hull says that the narcotics trafficking charges were dropped in July 1989. This occurred, he says, after the Costa Rican judge went to his farm, measured the landing strip and saw for himself that it was too short to be used as alleged.

780. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A November 1984 cable stated that a Station Officer was "quite confident that [Hull . . . has not] supported any drug trafficking activity." The cable provided no further explanation regarding the basis for this statement.

781. A February 1985 Headquarters cable noted that Hull "has become a political liability to the [Costa Rican Government] and a subject of interest to [U.S.] law enforcement agencies." A responding cable noted that the Station does not believe that Hull is involved with Frank Castro in any narcotics trafficking activities.

782. A May 1986 cable from Headquarters stated:

> 1. On 2 May 1986 [CATF] personnel met with representatives of [FBI] to review the status of their investigation into plan to blow up embassy in Costa Rica . . . . Figuring prominently in investigation is [Hull], according to information provided by Jack Terrell. . . . Nonetheless this allegation as well as one that [Hull's] driver "David" had been killed possibly by [Hull] figure prominently in Senator Kerry's staff's investigations into alleged improprieties in Central America. . . . . Request Station provide answers to the following questions:
>
> D. Does station have any information on [Hull] that indicates taht [sic] he might have violated U.S. laws. Terrell alledges [*sic*] that 42 kilo[gram]s of cocaine were smuggled into the U.S. from [Hull's] farm. This cocaine allegedly is smuggled into Nicaragua by Columbia [*sic*] drug smugglers with the knowledge of the Nicaraguan government and the assistance of Rene Corbo.[29] Corbo gets a cut of the shipment to Nicaragua for his help and allegedly has an arrangement with [Hull] to move the drugs to [Hull's] farm and then into the U.S. . . .

[29] "Corbo" is an alternate spelling of "Corvo."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> 2. No one is alledging [*sic*] that [Hull] actually did anything wrong at his [*sic*] time, but we must have . . . any information that Station has which might shed light on the questions raised . . . . It is our hope by doing our homework now to shut down allegations of improprieties by Senator Kerry's staff and avoid public hearings where it will be difficult if not impossible to disprove the allegations being made.

783. According to a May 1986 cable, Hull denied all allegations by Terrell regarding cocaine movements through his property and "stated that to the best of his knowledge he has never violated any aspect of U.S. neutrality laws." Further, the cable stated, "to the best of Station knowledge, [Hull] has not been involved in any type of smuggling operations."

784. A September 1986 cable stated that Hull "has . . . contact . . . with senior [U.S. Government] officials and wealthy [U.S.] businessmen supportive of his anti-Communist activities."

785. Hull says that he was polygraphed by a private firm on February 20, 1992. According to a copy of a polygraph examination report from that private firm, Hull's negative answers to three questions concerning whether, "from 1982 to about 1985" he made "arrangements to fly out cocaine," "ship[ped] cocaine out of one of your airstrips" or "knowingly permit[ed] anyone to use your airstrips to fly out cocaine" were deemed to "correspond to truthfulness."

786. Eden Pastora says that there was "bad blood" between himself and John Hull, but states that he has no knowledge of drug trafficking by Hull. Pastora says that he recalls rumors of drug trafficking on Hull's ranch, but he says there were no facts. Pastora allows that Gerardo Duran may have misled Hull and used Hull's airstrip for some drug flights under the guise of humanitarian aid. Pastora also identified another pilot known as "Condorito" or "Condor" who was alleged to have been involved in drug running and who used Hull's airstrip. Pastora says that, if drug trafficking occurred on Hull's airstrips, he does not believe that Hull knew about it.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

787. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** In April 1982, CIA requested FBI traces regarding Hull. A June 1, 1982 Memorandum For the Record (MFR) noted that the FBI had replied that "there were no identifiable FBI traces." In February 1985, several months after the first allegations arose that Hull was engaged in drug trafficking, Headquarters stated in a cable that it was "making discrete inquiries with appropriate law enforcement agencies as to derogatory information on [Hull]."

788. On March 21, 1985, two months after Hull had said that his relationship with Frank Castro was being investigated by the FBI, a Headquarters cable stated that U.S. Government law enforcement agencies had no ongoing investigations of Hull. The cable noted that DoJ was curious as to why Hull believed he was being investigated.

789. On March 7, 1986, the FBI sent a multi-part cable to CIA regarding neutrality matters in Honduras, Costa Rica and Nicaragua. These cables summarized the FBI interview with Jack Terrell in which he had made allegations regarding Hull's involvement in narcotics trafficking. On April 1, 1986, CIA responded to the FBI that, "If it would be germane to the FBI's neutrality matters investigation, this Agency is ready to provide a comprehensive briefing on Hull and his activities." No information has been found to indicate whether the FBI accepted this offer.

790. A May 3, 1986, Headquarters cable stated that, on May 2, 1986, "[CATF] personnel met with representatives of [the FBI] to review the status of their investigation into plan to blow up [the U.S.] embassy in Costa Rica and blame it on the Sandinistas." The Headquarters cable noted that Hull figured prominently in that investigation, based on information provided by Terrell.

791. On May 8, 1986, a cable noted:

> [Hull] stated that to the best of his knowledge he has never violated any aspect of U.S. neutrality laws. All allegations by Jack Terrell

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> regarding cocaine movement on a farm belonging to [Hull] are false.

This cable continued by stating:

> To the best of Station knowledge, [Hull] has not been involved in any type of smuggling operations. His greatest sin has been to employ Nicaraguan refugees in menial jobs on his farm.

792. According to a May 9, 1986 Headquarters cable representatives of CIA, DEA, FBI, DoS, the NHAO, and DoJ met with SFRC Staff members on May 7, 1986 to review allegations regarding Hull's alleged involvement in arms trafficking, drug trafficking and murder. According to the cable:

> Both [DoJ] and [DEA] were specifically asked if they have ongoing investigations of [Hull]. They both told the Staffers that there are no investigations presently in progress. However, because of the breath [*sic*] of the allegations made and our inability to respond to them, it is possible that [DoJ] may open an investigation of [Hull].

The cable characterized the meeting as "largely unproductive as Kerry's Staffers were unwilling to provide details and sources of information that had provided information that pointed to violations of U.S. laws."

793. CATF Legal Advisor Louis Dupart wrote an undated MFR concerning the May 7, 1986 meeting which noted that DoJ had said "there is an active and ongoing investigation" underway with regard to one alleged shipment of lethal weapons that arrived at Hull's ranch. According to the MFR, the CIA representatives stated that "Our sources reported [the shipment] only consisted of non-lethal supplies." With regard to the allegations of murder, Neutrality Act violations, conspiracy to commit murder, and drug smuggling, the MFR stated that "Both Justice and the DEA told the Staffers that they do not have ongoing investigations of Hull." As for the allegation that Hull "is some how [*sic*] responsible for the death of David [Hull's driver]," the MFR noted that "The Staffers were told that a thorough search of [CIA] records did not reveal any information on a driver

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

named David." The MFR stated that "in a recent San Jose newspaper article, Rojas [the source of the allegation about David's murder] had repudiated the statements attributed to him." According to the MFR, "The Staffers out of hand rejected the information provided on Rojas saying they had another source, who they would not identify."

794. A May 9, 1986 Headquarters cable referred to the May 7, 1986 meeting and stated that DoJ and DEA had reported that they had no current investigations of Hull. However, the cable noted that "it is possible that [DoJ] may open an investigation of [Hull]."

795. According to an undated MFR by Dupart, CATF Chief Fiers and OGC representatives met on July 9, 1986 with HPSCI Staff member Michael O'Neil regarding Hull. The MFR indicated that CIA reviewed and the allegations that Hull had violated U.S. law. According to the MFR, Fiers told O'Neil that CIA had "no information of Hull having been involved in violations of U.S. law" and that, since CIA was "not a law enforcement agency, we have not collected or sought any information on this." The MFR also noted that Fiers stated that "while it is possible that Hull had in fact violated the law, we have no knowledge of any violations."

796. Sometime in October 1986, according to a November 25, 1987 memorandum from Agency Office of Congressional Affairs Director David Gries to DCI Webster, Fiers briefed Senator Kerry on Hull.

797. An October 16, 1986 MFR by OCA Deputy Director for Senate Affairs Alvin K. Dorn stated that Fiers briefed Senator John Kerry on October 15 regarding questions that had resulted from Fiers' October 10, 1986 briefing concerning the Contras. The MFR noted that Fiers presented "a series of prepared sheets responding to the questions to Senator Kerry, who read each one carefully and occasionally asked additional questions. These sheets concerned: [among others] John Hull."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

798. On July 31, 1987, Fiers briefed the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) about Hull's alleged relationship with Jorge Morales. According to an SSCI transcript of the briefing, Fiers stated:

> Morales saw John Hull in his, Morales' office, with [Marcos] Aguado in 1983. However, Morales didn't meet him. He didn't want to. Hull is very well know [*sic*] in columbia [*sic*] and Central America for his activities and his reputation dealing [*sic*] with CIA. Morales did not want any more dealings with government people other than what he had with Chamorro and Cesar.

The SSCI transcript also noted that Fiers recounted the drug trafficking allegations by Morales against Hull:

> [Morales] also claims to have unloaded--that Hull's airstrip was used in loading and unloading of drugs [and that] Morales first heard about John Hull in 1981 from a Columbian [*sic*] friend. Morales said it was very well known John Hull's ranch was a facility for refueling and storing drugs. John Hull was in Morales' office in July 1983 with Gustavo Velez, a Columbian [*sic*] friend of Morales, to arrange delivery of 40 grenade launchers from Opaloca, Florida, to El Salvador. Morales' cargo airliner flew the launchers for Hull.

According to the transcript, Fiers also said:

> It is possible that John Hull's ranch was used as a transshipment point for drugs. We never had any hard proof of that other than the claims made by various convicted narcotics traffickers. It is possible it could have been used without Hull's knowledge. It is also possible he could have been a willing accomplice in using it. We just don't have any significant information about that.

799. On August 20, 1987, a CIA officer met briefly with the SFRC's Special Counsel to advise him that Headquarters was willing to brief him on matters related to Hull. According to a November 20, 1987 OCA MFR, Louis Dupart and an OCA Legislation Division officer met with the SFRC's Special Counsel on November 20, 1987. The MFR stated that Senator Kerry was seeking a meeting with DCI Webster because "the Senator needed to convey his concerns about the matter on a 'political' level to a 'political' figure in the government

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

. . . ." On December 22, 1987, DCI Webster advised Senator Kerry by letter that he had directed appropriate Agency officials to conduct "an in-depth review" concerning Hull and that the Agency was prepared to assist Kerry's investigation. The letter noted that further comment concerning Hull's activities was "appropriately left to the Department of Justice and [Iran-Contra Independent Counsel] Judge Walsh's office." No information has been found to indicate that the in-depth review referred to by DCI Webster was ever conducted.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

PILOTS, COMPANIES, AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS WORKING FOR COMPANIES USED TO SUPPORT THE CONTRA PROGRAM

WHAT DRUG TRAFFICKING ALLEGATIONS WAS CIA AWARE OF, AND WHEN, INVOLVING PILOTS AND COMPANIES SUPPORTING THE CONTRA PROGRAM? HOW DID CIA RESPOND TO THIS INFORMATION, AND HOW WAS THIS INFORMATION SHARED WITH OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT ENTITIES?

Allegations Involving Companies Supporting the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Aid Effort

800. **Background.** In early 1986, Senator John Kerry began an investigation of allegations that elements of the supply network supporting the Contras were linked to drug traffickers. In April 1986, Senator Kerry took the information he had developed to the Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee (SFRC), Richard Lugar, who agreed to conduct a staff inquiry into these allegations. In February 1987, the SFRC expanded the focus of the inquiry to include the impact of drug trafficking from the Caribbean and Central and South America on U.S. foreign policy interests. In April, the responsibility for this broader investigation was given to the Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations, chaired by Senator Kerry.

801. The Subcommittee's report, "Drugs, Law Enforcement and Foreign Policy" ("the Kerry Report"), was published in December 1988 and identified six companies that had been owned and operated by convicted or suspected drug traffickers and were linked to the Contras. The companies were:

- Frigorificos De Puntarenas
- Ocean Hunter
- SETCO
- DIACSA

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- Vortex
- Hondu Carib

802. In August 1985, Congress had appropriated $27 million for humanitarian support to the Contras and designated the DoS as the executive agent for the purchase and distribution of all aid. As a result, the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office (NHAO) was created in DoS under the direction of Ambassador Robert Duemling. The program reached its peak in March 1986 when it delivered over 500,000 pounds of material to Aguacate, Honduras, on 11 chartered flights from the United States. The last NHAO flights were in June 1986 and the program officially ended in October 1986. All of the companies, except for Ocean Hunter, that had been identified by the Kerry Report as being owned and operated by known or suspected drug traffickers were included among the organizations selected by DoS to supply humanitarian aid to the Contras through NHAO.

803. **CIA Vetting of Companies for NHAO.** In July 1987, the Central Intelligence Agency's Office of Inspector General (CIA/OIG) published a Report of Inspection that noted that the NHAO--not the Agency--was responsible for administering the humanitarian aid program. The only Agency roles recognized in the "legislative history" of the statutorily-established program were to provide advice on the delivery of the aid and to monitor and verify its receipt by the Contras

804. According to the 1987 CIA/OIG Report of Inspection, "Agency support was always at the behest of NHAO and appears to have been both legal and proper." Among the types of assistance the Agency provided the NHAO, according to the Report, was advice on contractors. Alan Fiers was interviewed by the CIA/OIG inspection team on April 27, 1987. The CIA interview report stated that Fiers said he and Ambassador Duemling "had frequent meetings regarding possible contract cargo carriers." Fiers also reportedly said he had "checked out" some of these carriers for Duemling.

805. Fiers' written response to OIG questions during this current investigation stated that he "specifically recalls discussions

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

with Ambassador Duemling" on the subject of vetting air carriers for the NHAO. "More specifically," Fiers writes:

> I personally steered them [NHAO] away from the Private Benefactors, I believe we guided them toward carriers they ultimately used, although I cannot recall the details exactly [*sic*] how the names of the carriers were initially brought to my attention.

With the possible exception of Vortex, no information has been found to indicate that this CIA vetting assistance for the NHAO included information regarding the six companies identified in the Kerry Report as having ties to drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Frigorificos De Puntarenas/Ocean Hunter

806. **Background.** Frigorificos De Puntarenas ("Frigorificos") was a Costa Rican seafood company that was created as a cover for laundering drug money, according to grand jury testimony by one of its owners that is cited in the Kerry Report and testimony by Ramon Milian Rodriguez, the convicted money launderer who established the company. Frigorificos was owned and operated by Luis Rodriguez of Miami, Carlos Soto and Ubaldo Fernandez. Milian Rodriguez told Federal authorities about Luis Rodriguez' drug trafficking prior to Milian's arrest in May 1983. Moises Nunez was the General Manager of Frigorificos.

807. The December 1988 Kerry Report indicated that the DoS used Frigorificos to deliver more than $261,000 in humanitarian assistance funds to the Contras in 1986. These funds were controlled by Rodriguez, who signed most of the orders to transfer the funds to the Contras.

808. The Kerry Report further indicated that Luis Rodriguez owned another company--Ocean Hunter--that was linked to drug trafficking and money laundering. Ocean Hunter was a Miami-based seafood company that Milian Rodriguez had also established to enable Luis Rodriguez to launder drug money. Ocean Hunter imported seafood from Frigorificos and, according to testimony by Soto and Milian Rodriguez, intra-fund transfers were used to launder drug profits. Luis Rodriguez was indicted on drug trafficking charges by the U.S. Government in September 1987 and on tax evasion charges in April 1988 in connection with money laundering through Ocean Hunter.

809. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to the December 1988 Kerry Report, Senator Kerry informed the Department of Justice, DEA, CIA, and NHAO in May 1986 of allegations he had received involving Luis Rodriguez and his companies--Frigorificos and Ocean Hunter--in drug trafficking and

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

money laundering. No information has been found to indicate that CIA ever received this information from Senator Kerry.

810. On January 29, 1986, a cable reported to Headquarters that DEA had seized over 400 pounds of cocaine concealed in a container of yucca on January 23. The container was leased to David Mayorg--a close advisor to Eden Pastora. In September, it was reported that the container in question had been destined for Ocean Hunter.

811. **Ramon Milian Rodriguez.** According to an undated, unsigned Headquarters memorandum, Milian was arrested by United States Customs in May 1983 as he was preparing to leave the United States with $5.6 million aboard his Lear jet.

812. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** CIA records indicate that the Agency provided some information to the SSCI between December 1986 and June 1988 regarding its contacts with Milian. A MFR dated December 10, 1986 to the SSCI stated that CIA had no relationship with Milian but had received unsolicited information regarding Sandinista drug trafficking from Milian in 1984. During a joint briefing of the SSCI and HPSCI staffs on July 31, 1987, Alan Fiers stated that the CIA had no relationship with Milian but had received unsolicited information. An MFR dated June 23, 1988 from John Buckman answered questions originating from Senator John Kerry about Agency contacts with Milian. This MFR also stated that the Agency had no relationship with Milian. CIA records do not indicate whether any of the information originating from Milian was passed to law enforcement agencies.

813. **CIA Vetting Role.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA played any role in NHAO's selection of Frigorificos as a conduit for the delivery of humanitarian assistance to the Contras.[30]

---

[30] According to a Staff report prepared for Senator Kerry's Subcommittee in October 1986, Robert Owen, a contract employee of the NHAO and an intermediary between Oliver North and the Contras from late 1984 to mid-1985, was responsible for the NHAO contract with Frigorificos.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

814. No information has been found to indicate that CIA played any role in NHAO's selection of Ocean Hunter as a conduit for the delivery of humanitarian assistance to the Contras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

SETCO

815. **Background.** A 1983 Customs Investigative Report stated that "SETCO Aviation is a corporation formed by American businessmen who are dealing with Juan Matta Ballesteros and are smuggling narcotics into the United States." Beginning in 1984, SETCO was the principal company used by the Contras in Honduras to transport supplies and personnel for the FDN.

816. SETCO was chosen by NHAO to transport goods on behalf of the Contras from late 1985 through mid-1986. According to testimony by FDN leader Adolfo Calero before the Iran-Contra committees, SETCO received funds for Contra supply operations from the bank accounts that were established by Oliver North.

817. According to U.S. law enforcement records cited in the Kerry Report, SETCO was established by Juan Matta Ballesteros, "a class I DEA violator." The Kerry Report also states that those records indicate that Matta was a major figure in the Colombian cartel and was involved in the murder of DEA agent Enrique Camarena. Matta was extradited to the United States in 1988 and convicted on drug trafficking charges.

818. The FDN, and later ERN/North, also used SETCO for airdrops of military supplies to Contra forces inside Nicaragua.

819. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** In a July 10, 1987 memorandum to the LA Division Chief, Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs Elliott Abrams requested, among other things, that CIA share as part of a U.S. Government effort to "bring Matta to the United States to face charges" any information it had on Matta's activities in Honduras. Abrams noted that Matta had reportedly been considering "a number of business schemes for laundering his drug money." On July 24, 1987, CATF responded to the request from Abrams by sending a cable asking for information regarding Matta's activities in Honduras. An August 4 cable

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

informed CATF that Matta had purchased "a small air cargo service," but did not provide the name of the company. No information has been found to indicate that Headquarters provided this information to Abrams or requested any follow-up reporting regarding Matta's purchase of the cargo service.

820. On April 28, 1989, the Department of Justice (DoJ) requested that the Agency provide information regarding Matta and six codefendants for use in prosecution. DoJ also requested information concerning SETCO, described as "a Honduran corporation set up by Juan Matta Ballesteros." The May 2 CIA memorandum to DoJ containing the results of Agency traces on Matta, his codefendants and SETCO stated that following an "extensive search of the files and indices of the Directorate of Operations. . . . There are no records of a SETCO Air."

821. The CIA officer who was responsible for handling the 1989 DoJ request says that she followed the usual procedures for tracing names. She says that the fact that no record was found indicates that LA Division had not entered SETCO into the name trace database. She also states that the officer who reviewed the draft when her proposed response to DoJ was sent to the Honduran desk in CATF for coordination should have informed her that the Agency did have information concerning SETCO, and should have provided that information to her. She notes, however, that most managers would not focus on a "no record" response.

822. The draft response to DoJ indicated that a CATF officer coordinated on the draft. He says that he does not recall SETCO, never visited its facilities and does not recall coordinating on the response to DoJ.

823. A former CATF Nicaraguan Operations Group Chief says that the officer who coordinated on the cable should have known about SETCO because it was common knowledge in CATF that the company was used to support the Contra program and he had probably been at SETCO's facilities at one time or another. He cautions, however, that there can be no certainty that the officer

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

actually coordinated on the response. Although his name was entered as the coordinating officer, the former NOG Chief states that this does not necessarily indicate that the officer saw it. Someone else could have coordinated for him if he had not been available at the time. The former NOG Chief says that the only way to ascertain that the officer reviewed the document is to examine the routing slip with the actual signature. No routing slip has been found, however.

824. A June 15, 1989 cable reported to Headquarters that DEA had "uncovered . . . information of possible drug trafficking" involving Manuel and Jose Perez, owners of SETCO Aviation. A June 15, 1988 Headquarters memorandum regarding a May 1988 DO trace request concerning Matta indicated that Matta "normally put . . . businesses in the name of third persons" for his holdings in Colombia.

825. Matta, who is incarcerated in the federal penitentiary in Florence, Colorado, says that he did not own or have any financial interest in SETCO, and claims he does not recognize the name.

826. No information has been found to indicate that CIA received allegations that any SETCO aircraft were involved in drug trafficking during the Contra era. In late 1992, however, a Defense Department counternarcotics cable indicated that SETCO was being used in the Honduran Bay Islands by drug traffickers who concealed narcotics under dried fish in transport through Honduras. The cable did not indicate whether SETCO was aware of this transshipment operation.

827. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No records have been found of information shared with law enforcement agencies.

828. **CIA Vetting Role.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA played any role in NHAO's selection of SETCO as a conduit for the delivery of humanitarian assistance to the Contras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

DIACSA

829. **Background.** According to the December 1988 Kerry Report, DIACSA was an aircraft dealership and parts company whose president was Alfredo Caballero. During 1984 and 1985, the FDN chose DIACSA for "intra-account" transfers to conceal that some funds for the Contras were sent through deposits arranged by Oliver North. A February 8, 1985 cable to Headquarters described DIACSA as the "ARDE cover company" and indicated that DIACSA was used to purchase aircraft for ARDE. According to the Kerry Report, on January 23, 1986, Caballero, Floyd Carlton--a cocaine trafficker associated with Manuel Noriega--and five others were indicted and later convicted for bringing 900 pounds of cocaine into the United States and laundering $2.6 million. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency had any relationship with DIACSA or Caballero.

830. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A May 4, 1985 cable to Headquarters provided a summary of reporting concerning FRS personnel who may have been involved in drug trafficking. According to the cable, Caballero in February 1985 had offered to transport FRS supplies to Ilopango or Costa Rica in one of his aircraft if he could make the landing arrangements. The cable also reported that Caballero was the Miami representative of a company based in San Jose that was owned by David Mayorga. The cable noted that there were those who believed that Mayorga, Caballero and others were transporting drugs from San Jose to Miami.

831. No other information has been found to indicate that Caballero or DIACSA were connected with drug trafficking or traffickers.

832. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** No information has been found to indicate that the Agency provided any information concerning alleged drug trafficking by

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Caballero or DIACSA to other U.S. Government intelligence or law enforcement agencies or the Congress.

833. **CIA Vetting Role.** No information has been found to indicate that CIA played any role in NHAO's selection of DIACSA as a conduit for the delivery of humanitarian assistance to the Contras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Vortex/Universal

834. **Background.** According to the December 1988 Kerry Report, the NHAO had a contract in 1985-1986 with Vortex, an air transport company based in Miami, Florida, to move supplies for the Contras. Michael Palmer, the Company's executive Vice President, signed the contract for Vortex in November 1985. At the time the contract was signed, Palmer was under investigation by the FBI for drug smuggling, and a federal grand jury was preparing to indict him in Detroit.

835. According to an April 6, 1988 memorandum to DCI Webster and DDCI Gates from David Pearline in OCA, Palmer testified that day to the SFRC Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations that he had gone to work for Vortex in 1985 or early 1986. Vortex later changed its name to Universal Air Leasing. Palmer also testified that Vortex/Universal entered into a contract in late 1986 to service planes and deliver materiel to the Contras. Palmer denied that he was ever an Agency asset or employee.

836. The April 6, 1988 memorandum also reported that Palmer had testified that he smuggled 120,000 pounds of marijuana into the United States in 1977. Palmer testified further that aircraft he used to smuggle drugs were later used to supply humanitarian assistance to the Contras. He asserted, however, that he was not involved in illegal drug smuggling while involved in supplying the Contras for the NHAO.

837. **Relationship with CIA.** An October 3, 1986 MFR indicated that Fiers chaired a "final meeting" on October 2, 1986 concerning preparations to implement the $100 million support program that Congress was about to approve for the Contras. According to that memorandum, Vortex/Universal would be used under subcontract for logistical flights. An April 7, 1987

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

memorandum described Palmer as the focal point for obtaining crews, mechanics and spare parts.

838. According to a March 25, 1988 memorandum to the Assistant General Counsel from the SAS legal advisor, the subcontract with Vortex/Universal included provisions for aircraft maintenance, as well as recruitment and training of air crews. An attachment to SAS legal advisor's memorandum indicated that Agency officers met with or spoke to Vortex/Universal personnel on several occasions and visited Vortex/Universal sites once and possibly twice between October 1986 and March 1987.

839. According to an April 7, 1987 Agency MFR, Palmer said that Al Herreros, President of Vortex/Universal, was a law enforcement source of information. Palmer also reportedly said that both he and Herreros were doing "sting/scam" operations for DEA in April 1986. According to the March 25, 1988 SAS legal advisor's memorandum to the Assistant General Counsel, the Agency's relationship with Palmer and Vortex/Universal was terminated on April 16, 1987.

840. The former CATF contractor who oversaw support for the Contras at the time does not recall asking for traces concerning Palmer or Vortex/Universal. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency requested traces from other agencies regarding Palmer or Vortex/Universal before or during the period when Vortex was working for the NHAO.

841. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to an April 21, 1987 MFR by the LA Division Security Chief, a meeting was held on April 13, 1987 between CIA officers and DEA officials regarding Michael Palmer's relationship with DEA. The MFR stated that then-Deputy CATF Chief had said that individuals at Vortex/Universal Air, though probably "suspicious," were never made witting that they were actually working for CIA through the Vortex/Universal subcontract.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

842. According to a March 26, 1987 memorandum to the Chief of the Policy and Coordination Staff, one of the Nicaragua program's DC-6s was searched on March 21, 1987 by U.S. Customs agents after it landed at the Miami airport. Palmer arrived to assist in obtaining clearance for the aircraft. A misunderstanding developed between Palmer and Customs officials with the result that Customs took the identification papers of Palmer and all the crew members. The March 26 memorandum indicated that the plane was given clearance by Customs only after discussions in Washington between Agency and Customs officials. Subsequently, according to an undated memorandum to DCI Webster from DDO Stolz, Customs ran traces on Palmer and the plane's crew and discovered that Palmer had been indicted in Detroit on drug trafficking charges. The March 26 memorandum also stated that the difficulties with Customs arose because Customs did not receive proper notification of the aircraft's arrival and the crew was not able to answer questions about the aircraft's ownership because it had not been properly briefed. Further, the plane was configured for airdrops and a weapon was found aboard.

843. According to an undated Stolz memorandum to DCI Webster, "a CIA officer subsequently learned through a DEA official" that Palmer was a law enforcement source of information and a meeting was arranged between DEA and CIA officers. Although the memorandum indicates that a meeting between DEA and CIA officials regarding Palmer took place on April 21, 1987, it cannot be entirely ruled out that this was the same meeting as that which was described earlier in LA Security Chief April 21, 1987 MFR that indicates that a meeting between CIA and DEA officials took place on April 13, 1987.

844. In any event, the DEA officials reportedly told Agency officers in this meeting that Palmer was an "operative in a sensitive drug investigation/sting operation" and that his cooperation with DEA could be a determining factor as to whether the indictment

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

would be prosecuted.[31] When told that the Agency was considering terminating its relationship with Palmer, "DEA expressed concern regarding the possible impact that would have on their own 'big operation.'" Nevertheless, the Agency "informed DEA that we would direct the prime contractor to terminate all ties to Vortex/Universal Air Leasing and the prime contractor did so promptly, at least with respect to Agency operations."

845. According to Palmer's testimony to the Kerry committee and a March 31, 1988 memorandum from the SAS legal advisor to the OGC Assistant General Counsel, Palmer contacted the Agency through the prime contractor's security officer and secretary. However, the March 26, 1987 memorandum indicated that Palmer contacted CIA officers as well as the prime contractor, in an effort to have the DC-6 released by Customs.

846. The SAS Contracts Branch Chief at the time of the Miami incident, says that she called Air Branch after receiving a call from the prime contractor's secretary. She says that she then called Palmer who was waiting at a pay phone and told him that "we're working on it via Customs, and sit tight."

847. The drafter of the March 26 memorandum says that it was standard procedure for subcontractors to have the telephone number of the an air operations officer in case there were maintenance problems with the aircraft. He states that the problem CIA faced with contractor and proprietary aircraft was that they looked like drug planes going back and forth regularly from Latin America to Key West or Miami. He says Customs assumed that anyone flying from Latin America was a possible drug trafficker. The aircraft and crews were suspect because they came from Miami and fit the Customs profile. He asserts that being branded a "druggie" by DEA

[31] The Kerry Report noted that, throughout Palmer's career as a government informant, the various agencies using him did not seem to know that he was involved with more than one agency in more than one operation. Palmer's varied operations all involved the same airplane, and the result was "chaos and confusion." The DEA eventually persuaded the Detroit prosecutor to drop the indictment against Palmer, because of his cooperation with law enforcement.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

or Customs did not mean much in the 1980s. The Agency, he asserts, thought that Customs often overreacted.

848. According to an April 8, 1987 MFR by an OGC attorney, CIA officers met with senior Customs officials on April 7, 1987 concerning the Miami DC-6 incident. According to the MFR, "Customs . . . was concerned that, because of the crews' records on this flight, some Agency flights could be used to smuggle drugs." In addressing this concern, the MFR indicated that CIA reaffirmed to Customs that CIA was not seeking any preferential treatment for Agency-sponsored flights and that "CIA expect[ed] that these flights will be treated the same as any other flights." This would include, according to the MFR, the right of Customs agents to search the plane and its contents and to seize any contraband.

849. According to the OGC attorney's MFR, the Customs officials were satisfied that CIA's and Customs' understanding of the procedures were the same. However, Customs "was still concerned that some crew members may have previous involvement in drug trafficking." Customs officials then asked about CIA procedures to "check the crews hired for the Central American flights." The MFR indicated that an Air Branch Chief officer, explained that:

> . . . we have several contracts with different aviation companies and that while we trace the principal individuals with whom we are in contact, it is possible that these principals sub-contract for others who are not necessarily traced by us. In addition, the traces we do have been through Agency records and do not necessarily include criminal records available to DEA and Customs.

850. According to the OGC attorney's MFR, Customs requested that CIA henceforth supply Customs with not only the name of the pilot and tail number of the aircraft, but also the names, dates and places of birth of all crew members and passengers on Agency-sponsored flights so that Customs records could be checked. Customs also asked CIA to supply this information for the crews and passengers of all Agency sponsored flights dating back to August 1984. The Air Branch officer "indicated that CIA had no problem in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

furnishing this data and that he would forward it as soon as possible." The last paragraph of the MFR indicated that:

> One issue that was not fully addressed at the meeting is the Agency policy on the use of pilots and crews who surface in Customs records with suspected or known involvement in drug trafficking. It may be that Customs will pay more attention to those flights whose crews are listed in their records. This is an issue that needs to be addressed further. It was mentioned in a preliminary fashion that we may wish to [question] suspected crew members as to their activities during their employment with us.

851. The Air Branch Chief also recorded the meeting with Customs in an April 7 memorandum to the Chief of Special Activities Staff. That memorandum indicated that he pointed out to the Customs officials that "It is virtually impossible to check on every individual who becomes involved in sub-contract situations with [CIA]."

852. Following the Miami DC-6 incident and the April 7, 1987 meeting with Customs, ADCI Gates sent a memorandum to DDO Clair George on April 9, 1987 entitled "Customs and Agency-Sponsored Flights to Central America." That memorandum established more stringent vetting procedures for contractors and prohibited CATF from using known or suspected drug traffickers:

> It is absolutely imperative that this Agency and our operations in Central America avoid any kind of involvement with individuals or companies that are even suspected of involvement in narcotics trafficking. This must be true not only of those with whom we contract, but also their subcontractors. I believe it is essential that we obtain the names of all aircrew personnel who have any association with Agency contractors or subcontractors and vet those names through DEA, Customs and the FBI--even though this is likely to be an onerous and occasionally inconvenient undertaking--and perhaps even hamper operations at times.
>
> OGC and the DO should work together with Customs to develop procedures to ensure that these instructions are carried out on a continuing basis. Furthermore, per my conversation with the Commissioner of Customs, it should be clear that CIA seeks no

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> preferential treatment with respect to facilitating clearances and that Agency-sponsored flights are to be treated the same as any other flights. In those rare instances where sensitive cargo is involved, such Agency-sponsored flights will also be subject to Customs search . . . .

853. In response to the Gates memorandum, CATF requested traces from DEA, Customs and the FBI in April, May and June 1987 concerning Vortex/Universal, the prime contractor and the officers and employees of those companies. DEA responded in an April 28, 1987 memorandum from the DEA Deputy Assistant Administrator for Intelligence indicating that Palmer had been arrested in Colombia in 1985 in connection with the seizure of an aircraft and 1,000 pounds of marijuana. He was also, according to the DEA response, "criminally associated with aircraft N22VX (formerly N3434F), which is suspected of off-loading 19,000 pounds of marijuana" in Northern Mexico destined for the United States in September 1986.

854. The April 28, 1987 DEA memorandum stated that Herreros was "criminally associated" with aircraft N3434F--the same aircraft that had been implicated in the suspected drug smuggling incident involving Palmer in Mexico. DEA's El Paso Intelligence Center had reported that Herreros had purchased this aircraft for $125,000 in cash for the purpose of marijuana smuggling. DEA also reported that Herreros was identified as being "criminally associated" with various aircraft in FAA "lookouts" in the late 1970s, and as an alleged part-owner of an aircraft that had been used to smuggle cocaine into Miami.

855. The April 28 DEA memorandum also stated that Universal Air of Miami had been incorporated by three individuals. These individuals were reportedly investigated by DEA/Tucson for their association with a fourth individual in the distribution of multi-kilograms of cocaine during 1984-1985.

856. Further, according to the DEA memorandum, an aircraft of the prime contractor had been seized at a Colombian airstrip in January 1978 along with "165 tons of marijuana." The prime contractor was also linked to the seizure of another aircraft in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Colombia in January 1978, but the DEA memorandum did not indicate whether the seizure was drug related.

857. The April 28, 1987 DEA memorandum also reported that an aircraft of the prime contractor had been modified in 1981 in a manner that led the source to believe the aircraft was to have been used for narcotics-related activity. A December 12, 1988 memorandum to the LA Division Deputy Chief from a CATF officer noted that " . . . these modifications are consistent with those needed for [Contra] airdrop activity." An unsigned, handwritten note in the margin of the CATF memorandum noted that there was "no activity [by the prime contractor] for [CIA] during this period."

858. On May 13, 1987, Customs responded to the CIA trace request. The Customs response indicated that Al Herreros, Vortex/Universal's president, was a suspected drug trafficker. Customs' records reportedly indicated that Herreros "[was] believed [in 1985] to be engaged in smuggling narcotics via aircraft" and was doing business as Vortex Sales and Leasing. He was also reported to be associated with "documented smuggler" John Lett. In a June 24, 1987 cable to CIA, Customs described the source of this information as "highly reliable" and noted that the source had acquired the information from Herreros himself.

859. An August 18, 1987 FBI cable to Headquarters--in response to a May 1, 1987 CIA cable--and the April 28, 1987 memorandum from the DEA Deputy Assistant Administrator for Intelligence provided no derogatory information on the president of the prime contractor. A May 13, 1987 cable from Customs to Headquarters provided information that he had been involved in possible neutrality and munitions control violations in 1977. The FBI, DEA and Customs responses to the CIA trace request reported no links between him and drug trafficking. The DEA and Customs trace responses also indicated that other employees of Vortex/Universal and the prime contractor--Michael Palmer, Joseph Haas, Alberto Prado Herreros, Maurico Letona, Martin Gomez, Donaldo Frixone, and two pilots for the prime contractor--all of whom were affiliated

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

with the CIA Contra support program, may have been involved in narcotics trafficking prior to their relationship with the Agency.[32]

860. On February 25, 1988, the Assistant General Counsel and an OGC attorney met with a representative from DEA's Office of General Counsel regarding the prosecution of Frank Correa--a Colombian drug kingpin. According to a March 8, 1988 Assistant General Counsel MFR regarding that meeting, the Agency became aware of federal criminal prosecution against Correa who was indicted in Detroit for drug trafficking. Palmer reportedly participated as a law enforcement informant in the September 1987 sting operation that resulted in Correa's arrest. The MFR stated that DEA provided funds for Palmer to lease a plane, hire a crew and pick up a load of drugs in Colombia. Correa flew back to the United States with Palmer and the drugs and was arrested when the plane landed in Michigan. As a result of Palmer's cooperation in this case, DEA reportedly was able to have Palmer's earlier indictment for drug trafficking dismissed.

861. The Assistant General Counsel's MFR also noted that Correa's attorneys were alleging that Palmer was a CIA asset and that Vortex/Universal was an Agency proprietary. The claims were based on an April 4, 1987 CBS news story that alleged the Agency was protecting known drug dealers in order to carry out secret operations in Central America and focused on the Miami DC-6 incident involving Palmer.

862. The Assistant General Counsel was the OGC attorney responsible for any Agency involvement in the Correa case. She recalls that Correa's lawyers sought information concerning Palmer's relationship with the U.S. Government and the Agency undertook a file search in response to a "discovery request" from them.

---

[32] According to the May 13, 1987 Customs response, another Vortex/Universal pilot affiliated with the Contra program was briefly detained at a Florida airport by INS in 1986 "when he could not produce an INS I94 card." The Customs response stated that the aircraft that the pilot was flying "is allegedly involved in smuggling operations" although the precise nature of the alleged smuggling activity was not specified.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

863. As part of the file search that was initiated on April 6, 1988, for information in response to the discovery request by Correa's lawyers, the SAS legal advisor sent a cable to the former CATF contractor who had overseen support for the Contras at the time and was now serving overseas. The SAS legal advisor's cable requested, among other things, "any information you may have regarding [CIA] suspicion or knowledge, or your suspicion or knowledge that Palmer and/or his associates at Vortex/Universal Air Leasing were involved in narcotics trafficking." The CATF contractor's April 8, 1988 reply stated that he "had no suspicion or knowledge of Palmer/Vortex narcotics trafficking

864. On May 6, 1988, Agency officers--David Pearline, OCA; OGC's Assistant General Counsel; the OGC attorney serving as CATF compliance officer; and three other CATF officers--met with the president of the prime contractor to inform him that the "Hughes Subcommittee on Crime" intended to subpoena him as part of its investigation into alleged ties between CIA, the Contras and drug trafficking. According to a May 9, 1988 OGC MFR regarding the meeting, the president of the prime contractor stated that his company's relationship with Palmer and Vortex/Universal began in late 1985 when CIA's SAS Air Branch asked him to meet with Ambassador Duemling, Director of the NHAO. NHAO needed to find a replacement for the company it was then using for humanitarian aid flights. The president reportedly recommended Vortex/Universal and, after speaking with Herreros, put Palmer in touch with the NHAO. The MFR noted that he said he had only sporadic contact with Palmer during the time that NHAO contracted with Vortex/Universal.

865. He also added at the May 6 meeting, according to the OGC MFR, that the CATF contractor had checked Vortex/Universal and Palmer with U.S. Customs and DEA at the time the NHAO was considering using Vortex/Universal as a carrier. Both agencies, he said, gave glowing reports concerning Palmer and indicated that he had worked with them on sting operations. The OGC MFR also indicated that he said he had told the CATF contractor who oversaw support for the Contras at the time in April 1986 that Palmer had

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

been arrested by the FBI in Miami on drug trafficking charges. He also said that a decision had been made at that time that the president should have no further contact with Palmer. The president of the prime contractor stated that Palmer's subsequent indictment--in June 1986--was discussed in November 1986.

866. Agency records that describe the NHAO-Vortex/Universal relationship differ in one respect from the statements of the president of the prime contractor. A March 31, 1988 memorandum from the SAS legal advisor to the OGC Assistant General Counsel stated that the president of the prime contractor had recommended Palmer and Vortex/Universal to the NHAO, but made no mention of an Air Branch request that the president of the prime contractor meet with Ambassador Duemling. The former CATF contractor who oversaw support for the Contras at the time of the NHAO's contract with Vortex/Universal also stated in his April 8, 1988 cable that responded to the SAS legal advisor's request for information that the president of the prime contractor had recommended Vortex to NHAO on his own initiative, and that either the former CATF contractor or Fiers had concurred in the recommendation. The former CATF contractor's cable ended by pointing out that the "NHAO was in a position to accept or reject any carrier." According to the April 4, 1988 OCA MFR of a March 31 Agency briefing to the HPSCI, HPSCI Staff member Dick Giza stated that Fiers had said in a February 2, 1987 briefing to HPSCI that he had referred NHAO to Vortex/Universal.

867. The president of the prime contractor says that he believes he learned of Palmer's arrest from someone in the Agency, but he cannot be sure because it was such a long time ago. Further, he recalls a lot of discussion with Agency personnel in the fall of 1986 about Palmer's drug arrest. He recalls that the attitude among the participants in these discussions was that the Agency needed a plane that was "clean" and the fact that Palmer had been indicted for drug trafficking was "irrelevant."

868. One of the air operations officers identified by the president of the prime contractor says that he was told by an Air

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Branch officer, whose name he cannot recall, at a meeting in late 1986 that Palmer had been under investigation, but that everything was fine and Palmer was now in the clear. The officer says he does not recall being told that Palmer had been indicted for drug trafficking, but says the implication was that there were allegations that Palmer was a drug trafficker.

869. A June 7, 1988 cable responded to a CIA/OIG request for information as part of an investigation into the Agency's connection with Palmer. The cable stated that the president of the prime contracting company had discussed Palmer at a November 1986 meeting with FDN representatives. The president, according to the cable, mentioned that Palmer had been "questioned for a possible connection with drugs." Furthermore, the cable stated that Palmer had "volunteered" information at a meeting at Vortex/Universal, that he had been questioned about drug trafficking and that he had taken the issue "very seriously and had legally cleared the issue." The officer also stated in the cable:

> I have no knowledge or information that would make me suspicious that Palmer or Vortex [/Universal] were involved or connected with narcotic trafficking. The up front attitude and explanation from Palmer about the subject further dispelled suspicion.

870. Dupart states that he has no recollection of a May 1988 meeting at which, as claimed by the president of the prime contractor, Palmer's indictment was discussed, nor can he recall any other discussion of that subject with the president. Dupart notes that, in the aftermath of the Iran-Contra affair, matters like the Palmer case would not have been overlooked. The president, Dupart observes, is "loose with the facts."

871. The OGC Assistant General Counsel recalls that the statement of the president of the prime contractor at the May 1988 meeting that he had discussed Palmer's arrest with a CIA official in 1986--prior to the March 21, 1987 Customs incident--caused quite a stir at the meeting because Agency personnel realized this meant that erroneous information had been given to Congress in the March 14,

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1988 briefing. At that briefing, Agency personnel had stated that CIA was not aware of Palmer's arrest until after the Customs incident. Once they realized this, she says they went back to Congress and corrected the error.

872. The OGC attorney who served as CATF compliance officer at the time, recalls the May 1988 meeting. However, she says she has no recollection of a discussion about drug trafficking. She says that, in general, drug trafficking was not a priority at the time in CATF--"it would not hit a register." She also has no recollection that any action was taken after the meeting. Two of the other officers the MFR indicated had attended the May 1988 meeting with the president of the prime contractor do not recall participating.

873. CIA's OIG opened an investigation regarding CIA's involvement with Palmer in May 1988. The CIA/OIG Investigator says that she was assigned the investigation on an "urgent basis." A May 16, 1988 memorandum from her to Inspector General William Donnelly reporting the results of her investigation stated that OIG opened the investigation as a result of "Congressional concern" regarding allegations that "CIA had knowledge of and assisted Vortex Aviation pilot Michael B. Palmer's drug activities."

874. A May 7, 1988 CIA/OIG cable to the former CATF contractor who oversaw support for the Contras at the time informed him that the president of the prime contracting company had said at the May 6 meeting that he had told the contractor about Palmer's arrest in April 1986. The cable noted that the contractor had asserted earlier in his April 1988 response to the SAS legal advisor's cable that he was not aware of Palmer's involvement in narcotics trafficking and requested that he "clarify the facts." The former CATF contractor responded in a May 23 cable that he recalled being informed by the president of the prime contractor of Palmer's arrest. While he said he could not recall the exact date, it was after the NHAO flights ended.[33] He also said he recalled that he "immediately" informed Fiers of the information about Palmer's arrest. The former CATF contractor's

[33] The NHAO flights ended on June 30, 1986.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

cable also said that he did not recall any other CATF personnel being present when he advised Fiers of Palmer's arrest.

875. The former CATF contractor says he does not recall Fiers' response when told about Palmer's arrest in April 1986, but he assumes Fiers told Ambassador Duemling about it. The contractor states that he does not know much else about the Agency's handling of the Palmer incident because he was transferred in August 1986.

876. The former CATF contractor also states that he cannot explain why--after being told of Palmer's arrest by the president of the prime contractor in April 1986--he replied to the SAS legal advisor's cable in April 1988 that he had no knowledge of it. He speculates that the SAS cable reached him when he was in the field, and those were "long days with many things happening." The Palmer issue, he says, was "probably not the most important thing that happened that day." However, he says that the OIG cable noting the comments of the president of the prime contractor jogged his memory when he received it in May 1988.

877. Dupart asserts that, contrary to the former CATF contractor's account that he reported Palmer's arrest to Fiers sometime in early-1986, CATF was not aware of Palmer's arrest and indictment for drug trafficking until March 1987. He says he does not believe the former CATF contractor told Fiers about Palmer's arrest prior to March 1987 because the contractor would have had to go through Dupart on a matter like this and he has no recollection of ever discussing Palmer with the contractor. Moreover, Dupart states that "this was the kind of thing Fiers would have discussed with me, and no such discussion ever took place."

878. Fiers, in his written response to CIA/OIG questions, states that he does not recall being told by the former CATF contractor about Palmer's arrest in April 1986. Further, Fiers says that he has spoken "on the record" about Vortex/Universal and Palmer--"perhaps with the Independent Counsel [for Iran-Contra], perhaps with members of Congress." Fiers' written response notes that he "certainly became aware" that Palmer was "a problem" in the "late

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

spring or early summer of 1987" and that "he had to be distanced from Central America operations." Fiers' written response states that "without going into extensive review of the records to refresh my memory . . . I cannot comment further, other than to say that I had no information that Palmer was using our operation for drug smuggling." Fiers' written response asserts that he was unaware of any rumors or conversations concerning Palmer and drug trafficking.

879. According to handwritten notes compiled by the OIG inspector in the course of the May 1988 CIA/OIG investigation, a detailee to CATF ran traces on Palmer in late December 1986 or early January 1987. The detailee reportedly stated that there were "whisperings" about Palmer and the detailee "remembers explicitly" that the traces showed Palmer was "under investigation" for drug trafficking. The notes also stated that the detailee passed the derogatory information about Palmer from the traces to Fiers, who passed the information "on up the line and [a] decision [was] made at a higher level to go ahead and use [Palmer]."

880. The OIG inspector's notes also stated that she discussed the information provided by the detailee regarding the Palmer traces with the SOG CATF Deputy Chief, who was the military detailee's supervisor beginning in May 1987. According to the notes, the Deputy Chief "reluctantly" said that she thought that the detailee was confused and that he was a "major stumbling block" concerning traces and that the detailee was "unable to distinguish between Agency and external traces" and that he believed there was "no need to trace people." The OIG notes indicated that the Deputy Chief said that she had to relieve the detailee of his duties "for cause," because he was causing unspecified problems.

881. The Deputy Chief says that she did not verify whether the detailee had conducted traces on Palmer. She also says she does not recall learning that a trace had been done regarding Palmer in December 1986 or January 1987, prior to the April/May 1987 traces. The March 21, 1987 Miami DC-6 incident was when Palmer first "burst on people," the Deputy Chief states.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

882. The May 16, 1988 inspector's memorandum to IG Donnelly providing the results of her investigation regarding the Agency's involvement with Palmer stated her conclusion that allegations that CIA had knowledge of and assisted Palmer's drug trafficking activities were "without foundation." Further, the memorandum concluded that:

> . . . there is no basis for the allegation that an Agency employee was aware of Mr. Palmer's drug activities when that employee concurred in a recommendation of Palmer/Vortex, made by . . . [the president of the prime contracting company] circa December 1985-January 1986 to the . . . Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office.

The memorandum did not mention any allegation or information indicating that CATF may have decided to use Vortex/Universal and Palmer after CATF reportedly became aware of Palmer's arrest and later indictment on drug trafficking charges. No information has been found to indicate that CIA/OIG produced a formal report concerning this investigation, or that the OIG inspector's May 1988 memorandum was made available to CIA management by IG Donnelly.

883. The OIG inspector says that she did not address the question of CATF's relationship with Vortex/Universal in her memorandum because she did not have enough facts at the time to reach a conclusion. She states that no one she interviewed could recall much about Palmer's drug arrest. Moreover, she says that she received little cooperation from CATF or the DO in response to her requests for documents. She recalls that CATF records "were unavailable, unobtainable and undiscoverable."

884. She states that she tried to interview Dupart at the time regarding the Palmer issue, but he refused to discuss the matter because he had moved to one of the Intelligence Oversight Committee Staffs--HPSCI--and he believed commenting on the matter would be inappropriate. She says she never got around to interviewing Fiers because she was assigned another urgent

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

investigation into Agency activities in Honduras. Dupart says he has never refused a request to be interviewed by OIG.

885. She does not know why there is no record of a final CIA/OIG report concerning the Palmer investigation, but speculates that it may have been because she was told to drop everything she was working on in June 1988 to focus on the investigation involving Honduras. She says the Palmer issue may have "fallen through the cracks" as a result. No information has been found to indicate that the Palmer matter was examined subsequently by any CIA component other than CIA/OIG.

886. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** On March 14, 1988, according to a March 29 MFR prepared by OCA's David Pearline, he and OGC's Assistant General Counsel described the circumstances surrounding the Miami DC-6 incident and the Agency's relationship with Palmer to the Judiciary Subcommittee on Crime Staff members. The Staff members reportedly asked whether the Agency had realized that Palmer was a DEA informant who had been indicted for drug trafficking. OGC's Assistant General Counsel responded, according to the MFR, that the Agency was not aware of Palmer's indictment or his DEA connection until the Miami DC-6 incident. On learning of his indictment, she said, the Agency terminated the relationship with Palmer and Vortex/Universal Air. This information was also conveyed to the SSCI and HPSCI Staffs on March 31, according to the MFR.

887. According to an April 4, 1988 MFR regarding the March 31 briefing to HPSCI Staff members, OCA expressed concern that Palmer would reveal the Agency's ties to the prime contractor at his upcoming testimony before the House Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Crime. Further, OCA informed the HPSCI Staff members that the Agency anticipated that the Crime Subcommittee would press for operational information in its investigation into drug smuggling by the Contras. OCA requested the HPSCI's assistance in handling these inquiries. The MFR indicated that Michael O'Neil of the HPSCI Staff responded that the Judiciary Committee's inquiry had the full support of HPSCI members and that the HPSCI was not

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

in a position to provide any assistance to CIA in limiting the Judiciary Committee's probe into intelligence activities that related to its investigation.

888. Following the May 6, 1988 meeting, the president of the prime contractor, OGC's Assistant General Counsel, Pearline, and two CATF officers met on May 11 with two House Judiciary Subcommittee on Crime Staff members. A May 16 OCA MFR concerning that meeting reported that OCA had corrected the information given earlier to the Subcommittee Staff regarding when the Agency first learned that Palmer had been arrested for drug trafficking. OCA reportedly said that:

> . . . at least two Agency officers (Fiers and the [former CATF contractor]) knew about Palmer's drug dealing before the Agency agreed to buy an aircraft from [Vortex/] Universal Air Leasing and approved the subcontracting ... to [Vortex/] Universal Air Leasing of the servicing of aircraft flying resupply flights for the Contras.

OCA reportedly also informed the Staff members that the Agency was "still looking into this matter." The Subcommittee Staff requested that the Agency inform it of the results of any investigation. The same information, according to the MFR, was shared with David Holliday of the SSCI Staff and O'Neil of the HPSCI Staff on May 13 and May 16, respectively.

889. No information has been found to indicate that the results of the 1988 CIA/OIG investigation or any other CIA inquiry into this matter were communicated to the SSCI, HPSCI, or the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Crime.

890. **CIA Vetting Role.** As noted earlier, Agency records indicate that the president of the prime contracting company claimed in 1988 that he had met with Ambassador Duemling of NHAO in 1985 and, during the course of the meeting, had recommended that NHAO utilize Vortex/Universal. However, Agency records differ in whether he says he contacted Ambassador Duemling on his own initiative or if he was responding to a request from CIA officials that

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

he meet with the Ambassador. In any event, an April 4, 1988 OCA MFR indicated that HPSCI Staff member Dick Giza said that Alan Fiers had said in a February 2, 1987 briefing to HPSCI that he had referred NHAO to Vortex/Universal. Fiers' written response to OIG questions also indicates CIA played some role in steering NHAO to Vortex/Universal since Fiers states that he "specifically recalls discussions with Ambassador Duemling" pertaining to the vetting of air carriers for NHAO.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Hondu Carib

891. **Background.** According to the December 1988 Kerry Report, one of the pilots who flew Contra resupply missions for SETCO was Frank Moss. The Kerry Report also noted that Moss had been under investigation since 1979 for drug trafficking but reportedly was never indicted. In 1985, Moss formed his own company, Hondu Carib, which flew supplies to the FDN. The Kerry Report indicated that the FDN's arrangement with Moss and Hondu Carib was based on a commercial agreement between Moss and Mario Calero, the FDN's chief supply officer. Under that agreement, Calero was to receive an ownership interest in Moss' company.

892. Also according to the December 1988 Kerry Report, one of the Moss planes that was used to ferry supplies to the Contras was chased off the west coast of Florida by the Customs Service while it was dumping what appeared to be a load of drugs. When the plane landed in Port Charlotte, Florida, an inspection revealed significant marijuana residue on board. The plane reportedly was seized by the DEA in March 1987.

893. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** On March 31, 1984, Headquarters was informed by cable that Moss was among a group suspected of using a DC-4 owned by Hondu Carib in drug and arms trafficking through the Merida, Mexico International Airport. The aircraft reportedly flew from the United States to Honduras or Guatemala and then to Merida, ostensibly to pick up fish for export to Tampa. The aircraft had reportedly been searched by Mexican authorities and DEA agents with negative results. However, DEA agents were suspicious because of the aircraft's circuitous route and the fact that all of the individuals connected with the plane had previous drug trafficking records. This information reportedly had been brought to the CIA's attention by DEA because Moss and the others had claimed at the time of the search that they were connected with or worked for the Agency. No information has been found to indicate that Headquarters responded to the March 1984 cable.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

894. A July 9, 1984 cable to Headquarters described Moss' company, Atlas Aviation, as a "shoestring cargo operation and hungry for business," that was "normally employed in transporting fresh fish and fruits from Central America and Mexico to the United States." The cable noted, however, that Atlas' "business profile fits the U.S. Customs narcotic trafficking profile," and the company was in the Customs computer as a "suspicious operation." Consequently, Atlas was "closely watched and thoroughly checked at all U.S. airports of entry and in Mexico, but not in other countries." Nonetheless, according to the cable, Atlas had a "clean record" with Customs and "will not become involved in drug trafficking or any other illegal activity which could damage their record."

895. The July 1984 cable also pointed out, however, that Atlas is "hungry enough to walk a thin line in other countries," and that the company was aware of all international traffic regulations and procedures and "how to circumvent them if necessary." The Station added that Atlas had accomplished "very confidential modifications on [*sic*] low profile customers and aircraft for sensitive use."

896. As mentioned earlier, the Kerry Report indicated that one of the planes Moss used to carry Contra supplies had been seized in March 1987 by DEA after dumping what appeared to be drugs off the Florida coast and that significant marijuana residue was found on board at the time. According to an April 28, 1987 cable, the names of two CIA officers and their telephone numbers were included in Moss' notes that were seized by DEA when the aircraft was confiscated.

897. At an April 7, 1987 meeting between CIA and Customs officials in connection with the March 1987 Miami incident involving Michael Palmer and a DC-6 Vortex/Universal aircraft, Customs officials also raised issues relating to the March 1987 seizure of Moss' DC-4. According to the April 7 memorandum summarizing that meeting that was prepared by the Air Branch Chief, Customs was informed that "there is no linkage of this aircraft or Mr. Moss to [CIA]."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

898. A May 12, 1989 FBI report concerning Moss indicated that DEA's search of Moss' aircraft in March 1987 had resulted in no narcotics evidence being discovered and that the aircraft had subsequently been released to Moss. Further, the FBI report noted that Customs had an open case on Moss as of November 1988, but there was no evidence to substantiate the drug trafficking allegations against him.

899. On May 26, 1987, a cable reported to Headquarters that Moss was trying to generate business with the FDN by offering to fly air resupply drops inside Nicaragua. CATF responded on June 3 that it was concerned about Moss' possible ties to "druggers and the FDN." Headquarters also requested that the field "look into the ties with Moss and the FDN further and keep us advised." No information has been found to indicate that any further action was taken or that any additional information was generated in response to this request.

900. A former CATF NOG Chief's initial recollection was that Moss may have been involved briefly with the Contra program, but that the Agency's relationship with him was terminated on the basis of something that happened with respect to keeping files on an aircraft. However, based upon further reflection and review of relevant records, he stated that Moss may have actually flown "stuff" for the Private Benefactors, not the Agency. No other Agency officers could recall any relationship between the Agency and Moss or his company. No information has been found to indicate any relationship between CIA and Moss or his company at any time.

901. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** Apart from the meeting with Customs officials on April 7, 1987, no information has been found to indicate that the Agency provided information concerning Moss or his company to other U.S. Government intelligence or law enforcement agencies or the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

902. **CIA Vetting Role.** A February 25, 1986 Headquarters cable noted that Moss had approached the NHAO in early 1986 with a proposal for Hondu Carib to provide air services for the NHAO. The cable requested information on the company's suitability for flying NHAO cargo missions. No information has been found to indicate there was a reply to this request. No information has been found to indicate that Agency personnel retrieved and considered the March and July 1984 cables regarding Moss and his companies or that the Agency requested further information from U.S. law enforcement agencies concerning Moss or Hondu Carib at this time. No information has been found to indicate whether CIA provided any information regarding Hondu Carib to the NHAO.

903. A February 26, 1986 Headquarters cable indicated that the Agency received an inquiry from NHAO in February 1986 regarding the use of Hondu Carib as a conduit for the delivery of humanitarian assistance to the Contras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Allegations Involving Other Companies Associated With the Contras

904. Allegations were made regarding two companies, Southern Air Transport and Markair--that were involved in supporting the Contras.

Southern Air Transport

905. **Background.** Southern Air Transport (SAT) carried a variety of equipment, supplies and humanitarian aid for the FDN during the 1980s.

906. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A January 21, 1987 memorandum from ADCI Robert Gates to Morton Abramowitz, Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research, stated that the U.S. Customs Service had advised CIA that the Customs office in New Orleans was investigating an allegation of drug trafficking by SAT crew members. The Gates memorandum noted that the source of the allegation was a senior FDN official. The memorandum indicated that the FDN official was concerned that "scandal emanating from Southern Air Transport could redound badly on FDN interests, including humanitarian aid from the United States."

907. A February 23, 1991 DEA cable to CIA linked SAT to drug trafficking. The cable reported that SAT was "of record" in DEA's database from January 1985-September 1990 for alleged involvement in cocaine trafficking. An August 1990 entry in DEA's database reportedly alleged that $2 million was delivered to the firm's business sites, and several of the firm's pilots and executives were suspected of smuggling "narcotics currency."

908. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As previously noted, a January 21, 1987 memorandum from ADCI Robert Gates to Morton Abramowitz, Assistant Secretary of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

State for Intelligence and Research, reported that U.S. Customs had informed CIA that the Customs office in New Orleans was investigating an allegation of drug trafficking by SAT crew members.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Markair

909. **Background.** A June 24, 1986 Headquarters cable indicated that Markair flew the last three support flights for NHAO in late June 1986.

910. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** On October 14, 1987, CIA requested traces concerning Markair from U.S. law enforcement agencies. The October 21 Customs Service response reported that the company was "strongly suspected" of owning an aircraft that had been used in 1984 to smuggle cocaine into the United States from South America. Further, according to Customs, the aircraft was sold that same year by Markair to "a large scale . . . [unnamed] drug trafficking organization recently convicted in federal court." Customs reported also that it was investigating the financial activities of Markair and its officers because of "large cash movements to and from Mexico and other foreign countries."

911. An October 26, 1987 MFR by the CATF Deputy Chief indicated that he had contacted the Intelligence Section of the Customs Service that same day to determine whether the information in the Customs response to the CIA trace request was "sufficiently well-sourced to exclude Markair from contracting with the U.S. Government." According to the MFR, the Customs Intelligence Section indicated that the drug trafficking information was "only speculation." The MFR stated that Customs reportedly had confirmed that Markair had sold the aircraft to a major narcotics smuggling ring, but "the sale to this group may have been a legitimate business deal and not drug related." According to the MFR, Customs indicated that the information concerning Markair officers carrying large quantities of cash was "certain," but the Intelligence Section reported that "such behavior is common in the air charter business and thus is not by itself suspicious. Customs advises there is no current investigation open involving Markair." The MFR concluded by noting that the Customs Intelligence Section

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"would not exclude" the use [by the U.S. Government] of Markair "solely on the basis of information in Customs' files."

912. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As noted earlier, an October 26, 1987 MFR indicates that the CATF Deputy Chief contacted the Intelligence Section of U.S. Customs on October 26 to discuss information provided by Customs regarding suspected drug trafficking activities by Markair.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Alan Hyde

913. **Background.** Alan Hyde is a Honduran citizen and businessman whose company, Mariscos Hybur, provided logistical support to the Contras from mid-1987 to late 1988 or early 1989.

914. **Allegations Of Drug Trafficking.** A September 1984 report from the U.S. Defense Attaché Office (USDAO) in Tegucigalpa stated that a "Mr. Hyde," who "purportedly owns some 15 boats and a fish processing plant in French Harbor [Roatan]," said that "he is making much money dealing in 'white gold,' i.e., cocaine." A USDAO comment in the report stated that the description "fits that of Alan Hyde of Mariscos Hybur S.A." A February 27, 1985 Headquarters cable summarized traces received concerning possible maritime narcotics trafficking in the Caribbean and cited the September 1984 USDAO report that "Hyde claims to be making a lot of money selling cocaine."

915. On October 30, 1985, a cable to Headquarters reported that Hyde had boasted in mid-October that Hyde had two U.S. Customs Service agents "in his pocket." Hyde had reportedly also said that he had friends in the "Cosa Nostra."

916. A December 1986 report from the U.S. Coast Guard's Miami office stated that organized criminal activity on Roatan Island had been increasing for the prior two years, according to two reliable sources. The Coast Guard report cited "rumors" that cocaine was warehoused on Roatan for shipment on coastal cargo and fishing vessels bound for south Florida. Named among the associates of the "organized criminal organization" were Hyde, owner of Hyde Shipping of Miami, and members of his family. The Coast Guard report also cited sources who named Hyde as the master of the vessel F/V Bobby in 1985 as a favor to Pablo Escobar, a major Colombian cocaine trafficker. Hyde was also rumored to be involved in loading cocaine into containerized cargo at Puerto Cortez, Honduras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

917. On December 31, 1986, the U. S. Coast Guard/Miami issued a report to CIA indicating that Hyde's brother-in-law had sold up to six kilograms of cocaine in February-October 1986. The report also cited sources who claimed that Hyde Shipping purchased fishing vessels in Brownsville, Texas, in 1986 that were to have transited the Panama Canal, but instead sailed directly to Cartegena, Colombia, to be outfitted for drug smuggling.

918. An April 22, 1987, Headquarters cable stated that U.S. Government law enforcement agencies were "very familiar" with Hyde and others on Roatan Island. These individuals, according to the cable, were believed to be involved in international drug trafficking.

919. An unsigned, undated memorandum marked with a handwritten notation that stated "FM: USG C. July 1987" described Hyde as a "big time drug trafficker" who "probably runs cocaine to U.S. using the Hybur Clipper or Hybur Transport." It is "strongly believed," the memorandum stated, that the Hybur Clipper, a vessel operated by Hyde Shipping, "is or has been used to transport cocaine to Miami." Hybur Transport, it was noted, "is believed to have been used for cocaine transport as early as 1976." The memorandum cited three unsuccessful Coast Guard attempts--one in 1976 and two in 1985--to capture Hybur vessels believed to be running drugs, and noted that a "Luis Hyde" of Roatan had been arrested in January 1985 by the U.S. Coast Guard aboard a freighter carrying 200 pounds of cocaine. Business cards for Hyde Shipping were reportedly found on board. The memorandum did not indicate whether Luis Hyde was a relative of Alan Hyde.

920. A July 11, 1987 Headquarters cable stated that the U.S. Coast Guard had placed three Hyde vessels on its watch list for suspected drug smuggling. A July 20, 1987 cable to Headquarters reported that, according to Honduran military officers, there was a widespread belief that Hyde had been using his fishing fleet and personal plane for "at least the last five years for transporting illegal substances," including drugs. The cable added that Hyde was well connected to "highly placed Honduran officials" and that, while the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

allegations against him cannot be confirmed," the popular belief is that Hyde has insulated himself from prosecution by judicious use of his money."

921. On September 23, 1987, the U.S. Coast Guard reported to CIA and other agencies concerning a smuggling network based in the Bay Islands located off the coast of Honduras. According to reliable sources cited in the Coast Guard report, Hyde was the "'godfather' for all criminal activities originating in the Bay Islands and the [Honduran] mainland." He had, the Coast Guard reported, allegedly maintained numerous bank accounts in Grand Cayman and in Panama, pressed the Government of Honduras to refrain from establishing a greater presence in the Bay Islands, and diverted weapons destined for the Contras. On October 9, 1987, a cable to Headquarters indicated receipt of a Honduran report that named Hyde and several others on Roatan as suspected narcotics traffickers.

922. On March 17, 1988, the Agency's Directorate of Intelligence issued an intelligence assessment entitled "Honduras: Emerging Player in the Drug Trade." That assessment stated that a U.S. Coast Guard source had identified Hyde and another family in the Bay Islands as using their legitimate businesses to transport cocaine refining chemicals and guns from the United States to Honduras on commercial fishing vessels. The source reportedly alleged that the chemicals were destined for two cocaine processing labs on Roatan or for processing operations in Colombia. Another Coast Guard source cited in the assessment claimed Hyde had laundered $4-5 million through shell companies in Panama in 1986.

923. A March 9, 1988 memorandum from the Acting LA Division Chief to DDO Richard Stolz pertained to the use of Hyde and Mariscos Hybur. That memorandum cited February 1988 U.S. Coast Guard reporting that Hyde headed an air smuggling ring that operated out of Honduras with contacts in the Tampa/St. Petersburg area.

924. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A CIA officer recalls that Hyde "offered his help" to the Agency in 1986.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

However, CIA decided to reject this offer, he says, because a records check with U.S. law enforcement agencies produced derogatory information.

925. Another CIA officer recalls that there was a lot of radio communication between the field and Headquarters regarding Hyde. The first cable communication regarding these arrangements that has been found is a July 8, 1987 cable to Headquarters that included a summary of discussions with Hyde and indicated that using Hyde's vessels to ferry supplies would be more economical, secure and time efficient than using aircraft. The following day, July 9, CATF replied that information available at Headquarters indicated that Hyde might have ties to drug traffickers and directed CIA personnel "make no new commitments with Hyde until this information can be explored." The Headquarters cable, however, authorized a continuation of ongoing work.

926. Headquarters requested on July 10, 1987 that DEA, FBI and the U.S. Customs Service provide traces regarding Hyde. A July 11, cable summarized the derogatory information that was available to Headquarters at the time, but added that none of this information was "firm proof that [Hyde] is involved in [drug] smuggling or nefarious activities." Nonetheless, the cable stressed that:

> We cannot . . . authorize [CIA] entering a relationship with [Hyde] until we are satisfied, through traces and the use of other [Security resources], that he is not a threat to the security of our operations.

A copy of this cable included a handwritten notation to: "Press this very hard it is not a good thing." CATF Chief Fiers says in his written response to OIG questions that the notation is his. CATF Nicaraguan Operations Group (NOG) Chief states that Fiers remained knowledgeable of the Hyde issue and made sure it was taken care of properly.

927. A CIA officer recalls that it was not standard practice at the time to conduct traces concerning individuals or companies that

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

were overtly supplying commercial services to CIA. He remembers receiving "mixed signals" from Headquarters in regard to the relationship with Hyde There was a lot of pressure from Fiers and DCI Casey to get the "job done," as he recalls, but there were also low level Agency "functionaries" who were mainly concerned with following procedures. These people "were playing to an audience," the officer adds, wanting to make sure the Agency would be protected in case the congressional intelligence oversight committees "came calling." A former senior logistics officer recalls that it was not unusual at that time for Headquarters to tell the field to do something and then act surprised when it was done.

928. A CIA officer, whose component coordinated logistical support to the Contra program in the 1980s, says that it was Agency policy to run traces with other agencies regarding foreign contractors. She says Hyde was involved in an operation, there was derogatory information about him, and there was a need to make sure that everything was done right. She recalls that Fiers was constantly saying that "we need to know everything about this guy and getting [*sic*] proper approvals."

929. A July 11, 1987 reply to the July 9 cable from Headquarters ordering no expansion of the arrangements with Hyde warned that to:

> . . . pursue other alternatives at this time . . . could have an obvious backlash from Mariscos Hybur . . . . A literal interpretation [of the Headquarters order] will bring the . . . effort to a halt

930. A July 14, 1987 Headquarters cable advised that--even if the allegations against Hyde were to be favorably resolved--questioning of him still would be required concerning issues related to illegal drugs and arms trafficking. Headquarters concluded this cable by pointing out that "there is a very real risk that news of our relationship with subject, whose reputation as an alleged drug smuggler is widely known to various agencies, will hit the public domain--something that could bring our program to a full stop."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

931. On July 22, 1987, a Headquarters cable stated that:

> . . . the DDO [George] received the following directive from DDCI Gates in April of this year. "It is absolutely imperative that this Agency and our operations in Central America avoid any kind of involvement with individuals or companies that are even suspected of involvement in narcotics trafficking. This must be true not only of those with whom we contract but also their subcontractors." This directive and our consistent policy of avoiding use of individuals who have links to drug smuggling has served CIA well. We have been able to deny involvement with individuals and organizations accused of drug smuggling. We have initiated priority traces with several other U.S. Government agencies and departments to get the full story on Hyde and Mariscos Hybur. At this point, preliminary information . . . is not encouraging.

CIA personnel in the field were again directed in the July 22 cable to look for alternative logistical means.

932. A CIA officer in the field says that there was never any misunderstanding on the drug issue. No one wanted to become involved with narcotics traffickers, and everyone was keenly aware that Congress would end the Contra program were the Agency so involved. At the same time, he asserts that there was nothing solid concerning Hyde, nothing that could be regarded as hard evidence. "If these allegations were true, DEA and the Coast Guard would have got him," the officer notes.

933. The former senior logistics officer states that he never believed the drug allegations against Hyde, whom he came to regard as a close friend. However, he allows that Hyde had 35 vessels at sea and it might have been possible for an employee of Hyde to use one of the boats for smuggling. He speculates that there were a lot of people in Honduras, including Honduran Government officials, who were jealous of Hyde and may have been the source of the drug trafficking allegations. The officer says that he was totally focused on getting the job done. After hearing the rumors, he discussed them and considered them with his supervisor, with the two of them taking "due care given the circumstances."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

934. A July 18, 1987, cable to Headquarters opposed the questioning of Hyde by CIA Security that had been suggested in the July 14 Headquarters cable. The relationship with Hyde, the cable asserted, was "an overt, commercial transaction of short duration" similar to other commercial dealings CIA had, and it would be inappropriate to conduct an in-depth security questioning. The cable proposed to use Mariscos Hybur until the estimated project completion in less than two months because there was no alternative. Should Mariscos Hybur turn out to be the only viable long-term option, the Base added, it would then "reconsider" approaching Hyde to submit to questioning.

935. On July 20, 1987, DEA responded to the July 10 CIA trace request and reported no derogatory information other than an October 1983 report alleging Hyde's involvement in cocaine smuggling. On August 20, Customs reported no derogatory information on Hyde. A July 20 cable to Headquarters reported that Honduran Government files reportedly contained no derogatory information on Hyde, but noted that the Hondurans suspected Hyde of drug trafficking and of bribing Honduran officials to escape prosecution.

936. On July 27, 1987, OGC attorney W. George Jameson, then-Counsel to the DO, provided Fiers with a legal opinion regarding the use of Hyde:

> I see no legal impediment to proceeding as planned. Neither the firm, nor its owner, Alan Hyde, is under indictment or investigation to your knowledge, and the allegations of drug trafficking are not substantiated. Moreover, you have no independent basis to believe the accusations are true, and intend to take steps to ensure no possibility of trafficking occurs in the course of carrying out the terms of the contract . . . . Obviously, CIA use of a known drug smuggler would raise significant legal and policy implications. When Congress authorized a Nicaraguan paramilitary program, it prohibited the assistance it authorized from being provided to "any group that retains in its ranks any individual **who has been found to engage in . . . drug smuggling.**" Although not directly applicable to the situation at hand, I think the analogy is instructive and sets a reasonable standard on which to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> determine how to proceed. It does not appear to me from the information you have provided that this standard has been met.

(Emphasis added.)

937. Jameson says that he was unaware in July 1987 when he authored the legal opinion to Fiers of the April 9, 1987 ADCI Gates memorandum prohibiting Agency use of known or suspected narcotics traffickers unless the allegations were cleared up. He states that his memorandum addressed only the legal issues, not operational or policy considerations. The Gates directive, he asserts, was a policy statement. Had he been aware of the Gates memorandum, Jameson says he would not have interpreted it to mean that Hyde could not be used, but that the Agency should do its best to obtain more information. In this regard, he says his own memorandum made clear that CATF needed to dig further into the allegations by checking with law enforcement agencies or with assets to determine whether these were reasonable allegations or unfounded. He was warning CATF to be careful. Jameson also says it should not be assumed or implied that he was aware of all that the Agency knew about Hyde at the time.

938. On August 5, 1987, Fiers sent a memorandum to DDO Clair George recommending that George approve the use of Hyde. The memorandum summarized all of the available derogatory information and acknowledged that Hyde was "not attractive and is the type of activity [*sic*] we wish to avoid." Fiers added, however, that the Agency had no choice but to use Hyde on the grounds of "operational necessity." The Fiers memorandum explained that there would be controls on Hyde that "should minimize the risks." The contract would not require him to do business in or enter the United States. Fiers concluded the memorandum by noting that the Agency had shared the derogatory information regarding Hyde with the FBI, U.S. Customs Service, DEA, and staff members of both congressional oversight committees and had informed them of CIA's intent to use Hyde for a limited commercial contract. The congressional staff members, Fiers reported to DDO George, had posed no objections to the limited use of Hyde.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

939. Headquarters, in a cable dated August 8, 1987, stated that DDO George had approved the use of Hyde and Mariscos Hybur to provide logistical services to complete a project, after which all contacts must cease. A March 31, 1988 CATF memorandum to DDCI Gates also indicated that the decision to use Hyde and Mariscos Hybur was "made at the DDCI level." A CIA officer stated in a February 2, 1988 memorandum to the Chief of SAS that "The decision was made at the DDCI level to go ahead and use [Mariscos Hybur]."

940. Then-DDCI Gates says that he has no recollection of approving the use of Hyde and does not recall the matter being brought to his attention.

941. Gates recalls that he started "putting everything in writing--especially if it had to do with the Contras" because he had been "savaged" several months earlier in DCI confirmation hearings for not being aggressive enough in looking into the Contra program. He also says he almost certainly would have discussed any such approval with DCI Webster since the congressional Iran-Contra hearings were underway and Webster was conducting his own inquiry into CIA's role.

942. Then-CATF Compliance Officer Louis Dupart asserts that Gates did in fact approve the use of Hyde. As he recalls, DDO George went to Gates saying, "We need to use him, but we also need to figure out how to get rid of him." Gates, according to Dupart, gave the approval, with the caveat that CATF end the relationship with Hyde as soon as possible. This was all done verbally, nothing was in writing, Dupart says, since "Gates would not put something like that in writing." The approval may have been attributed to DDO George in the August 8 cable, says Dupart, but it was run through Gates. The DDO did not do it on his own, Dupart says.

943. Fiers states in his written response to OIG questions that:

> My belief is that Acting DCI [Gates] approved [Hyde's] use in a conversation with me. (I do not specifically recall a conversation in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> this regard, but I do believe one took place.) I also recall that the subject was highly controversial. I specifically recall that Headquarters--me included--did not want to use Alan Hyde. . . . After much discussion, I recall that we agreed that he could be used on a highly restricted basis, which essentially eliminated the possibility that he could use the . . . . operation in connection with any illicit activities.

944. In a September 29, 1987 cable, CATF requested a determination as to whether there was any substance to recent allegations that the "criminal families" on Roatan, including Alan Hyde, were stealing arms and ammunition destined for the Contras. Further, CATF directed that an appropriate person should travel to Roatan to attempt to buy weapons if there were substance to the charges. When asked why CATF did not take similar actions to verify the narcotics trafficking allegations against Hyde, the former Chief of NOG says "It was an issue of relative order of priorities . . . . [T]he issue of stealing our guns had a higher priority than verifying whether Hyde was smuggling cocaine into the U.S." Fiers states in his written response to OIG questions that he has "no recollection of Hyde being involved in gun smuggling. No information has been found to indicate that the instructions regarding the alleged arms thefts were executed.

945. A November 9, 1987 cable informed Headquarters that Hyde had consented to being questioned by CIA Security. A CIA officer speculates that Hyde may have thought the request to take the test had something to do with the weapons that were showing up on Roatan. He notes that Hyde was concerned that this contraband would hurt the local community, and he did not want to be associated with this.

946. No information has been found to indicate that CATF responded to the November 9 cable indicating that Hyde was prepared to be questioned by CIA Security or that any interview was ever conducted with Hyde. A former CATF officer does not recall why Hyde was never questioned, but notes that no interview was "required" since Hyde was used in a limited capacity as a contractor.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

947. On February 2, 1988, a CIA officer sent a memorandum expressing his misgivings about CIA's involvement with Hyde. He concluded the memorandum by stating his belief that "consideration should be given to [not] having any further involvement with the HYBUR [*sic*] organization." No information has been found to indicate that any action was taken in response to the memorandum.

948. On February 19, 1988, the Defense Attaché in Tegucigalpa reported in a cable received by CIA that the Honduran military would be increasing coastal patrols in the Bay Islands because of concerns about drugs and arms smuggling. On February 24, 1988, a CIA officer sent a handwritten note to the CATF SOG Chief informing him of a conversation she had on that day with Dupart regarding the need to obtain additional approvals to use Hyde's facilities. The note stated that she also informed Dupart of the planned Honduran Government "drug-busting effort" against the Bay Islands. The note went on to say that "Because Hyde is reported . . . as the 'godfather of all criminal activities'--[especially] drug smuggling--in the Islands, it is likely he will be a target of this effort."

949. CIA records indicate that the Agency continued to believe that Hyde's docking and storage facilities on Roatan would be needed to comply with the congressional mandate to keep in mothball status all equipment, aircraft, vessels, and supplies that had been used to support the Contras, pending authorization to continue the Nicaragua program. With these requirements in mind, the Acting LA Division Chief informed DDO Stolz in a March 9, 1988 memorandum that the Agency would have to lease additional storage facilities from Hyde. The memorandum also provided Stolz with the most recent derogatory information concerning Hyde, citing the allegations that a Hyde vessel was being used for drug smuggling and that Hyde was the head of an air smuggling ring with contacts in the Tampa/St. Petersburg area. The memorandum pointed out, however, that there was still no "hard evidence" against Hyde.

950. On March 12, 1988 an extension of the Agency's contract with Hyde was approved by DDO Stolz. The extended contract was

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

modified to allow use of Hyde's storage facilities on Roatan as long as the mothballing of Contra support assets was required. Other arrangements with Hyde would require prior Headquarters approval, according to the cable.

951. Despite this March 1988 extension of the contractual relationship with Hyde, Agency records indicate that CATF was determined to terminate the relationship by June 1988 because of the receipt of continuing allegations of Hyde's involvement in cocaine trafficking and the pending expiration of the so-called mothball authorities on September 30. A June 29, 1988, CATF cable noted Headquarters "wishes" to limit any further contact with Hyde and directed CIA officers in the field to find another commercial firm. The field again objected to severing ties to Hyde in a July 11, 1988 cable to Headquarters describing Hyde as being the only Honduran "delivery mechanism" for aviation gas. Field officers also reportedly believed that Honduran authorities would not allow another company to do business at the site.

952. CATF replied in a July 29 cable that an alternative to Hyde "must" be found and informed the field that there would be no further Agency contact with Hyde even if the mothball authorities were extended. On September 22, however, the field requested permission to use Hyde's facility until the end of the hurricane season. In an October 27 memorandum, LA Division Chief requested Stolz' approval to continue the use of the Hyde facility until December 1998. CIA records indicate that Stolz approved the continued use on October 28, 1988 and that the Agency's relationship with Hyde apparently ended at the beginning of 1989.

953. A March 11, 1993 cable discouraged counternarcotics efforts against Alan Hyde because "his connection to [CIA] is well documented and could prove difficult in the prosecution stage."

954. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** According to the October 9, 1987 cable that cited a Honduran report linking Hyde to drug trafficking, that information

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

was shared with the DEA office in Honduras and the U.S. Consul General there "in his capacity as mission narcotics referent."

955. Fiers' August 5, 1987 memorandum to DDO George indicated that the Agency had informed the FBI, DEA and Customs of its intention to use Hyde for a limited commercial contract. No information has been found to confirm the statement that the Agency actually informed these law enforcement agencies of its intention to use Hyde.

956. An undated memorandum from Fiers to the ADDO and the LA Division Chief appears to have been written following a CATF briefing to the SSCI and HPSCI Staffs concerning Contra drug trafficking allegations in late July 1987. The memorandum indicated that Fiers had included information about Hyde in his briefing to several SSCI and HPSCI Staff members. According to the memorandum, David Holliday of the SSCI Staff had seen "no problems in using Hyde in the manner outlined [i.e., contracting with him to haul cargo]." The memorandum recorded, however, that Holliday said he wanted to discuss the matter with SSCI Chairman Boren before giving "final concurrence." The Fiers memorandum also noted that Thomas Latimer of the HPSCI Staff "was more forward leaning. He saw no problems and indicated we should proceed." Fiers indicated in the memorandum that he would contact Holliday during the following week to determine whether he had talked to Senator Boren. No information has been found to indicate a follow-up conversation between Fiers and Holliday concerning Senator Boren's views.

957. An August 3, 1987 OCA MFR by Robert Buckman recorded a meeting of SSCI and OCA officers that day. Attending for the SSCI were Staff Director Sven Holmes, Jim Dykstra, Dave Holliday, Keith Hall, and Britt Snider. David Gries, Al Dorn, and Robert Buckman represented OCA. According to the MFR, Holmes questioned CIA's use of Alan Hyde in the Contra supply program in light of allegations of drug-related activities by Hyde. The MFR indicated that Fiers was contacted by telephone during the meeting and reportedly stated that Agency policy was that persons such as

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Hyde could be used in the Contra program if there were no ongoing investigations of wrongdoing or no outstanding indictments.

958. On August 7, 1987, a memorandum from Alvin Dorn, the then-Deputy Director for Senate Affairs in OCA indicated that SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes had been provided background information concerning Hyde, but wanted more. Holmes was reportedly also requesting information regarding Agency policies that governed operational use by CIA of persons with criminal backgrounds. A draft response to Holmes was completed by August 13 that stated, in part:

> . . . should the need . . . arise an assessment is made to determine whether the specifics of the criminal history or notoriety of the person is compatible with contemplated use; if there is an unacceptable degree of incompatibility, the individual is not used . . . . It [is] generally inadvisable to involve anyone in operational activities who is known to currently be pursuing a criminal activity that is subject to U.S. process.

No information has been found to indicate whether this draft response was ever made final or sent to the SSCI.

959. An unsigned August 19, 1987 memorandum virtually restated verbatim the information in the memorandum Fiers had forwarded to DDO George on August 5. This memorandum contained a full exposition of the derogatory information concerning Hyde. No information has been found to indicate whether this document was provided to Holmes or anyone else.

960. The Acting LA Division Chief's March 8, 1988 memorandum to DDO Stolz indicated that the SSCI and HPSCI would be briefed concerning the Agency's plans to extend the Hyde contract. No information has been found to indicate that these briefings took place.

961. The Acting LA Division Chief requested guidance from DDO Stolz in a March 9, 1988 memorandum regarding whether to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

inform law enforcement agencies of the extension of the Hyde contract. No information has been found to indicate any response or decision regarding this request.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Allegations Involving Air Crew Members of Companies that Provided Services to the Contras Under Contract or Subcontract with CIA

962. **Background.** Following the March 21, 1987 incident at the Miami airport involving U.S. Customs and an Agency DC-6 operated by Michael Palmer of Vortex/Universal Air Leasing, ADCI Gates sent a memorandum to DDO Clair George on April 9, 1987 directing that all contractor and subcontractor air crew personnel be vetted with DEA and the U.S. Customs Service as well as with the FBI. This was necessary, wrote Gates, to protect the Agency against even indirect involvement with drug trafficking.

963. Thereafter, CATF requested traces during April, May and June 1987 from DEA, U.S. Customs and the FBI concerning employees of Vortex/Universal and the prime contracting company. In addition to linking Michael Palmer and Al Herreros of Vortex/Universal to drug trafficking, information provided by DEA and Customs in response to these CIA trace requests also indicated that two employees of the prime contractor and seven employees of Vortex/Universal were suspected of having drug trafficking connections.

964. Moreover, CIA, through the use of a trusted resource, developed information to indicate that three other individuals—all of whom were employed by the prime contractor—might have some connection to drug trafficking.

965. **A Prime Contractor Pilot.** According to DEA information provided to CIA on April 28, 1987 a contractor pilot was:

> . . . listed as the pilot of [aircraft registration number] . In 1981, the aircraft was placed on lookout because [he] was suspected of smuggling drugs into the United States from the Bahamas. The lookout was later canceled.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

966. According to Customs information provided to CIA on May 13, 1987 from the Treasury database, the pilot was the subject of a 1982 report of alleged drug smuggling. According to the Customs report, he was alleged to have used an aircraft with the same registration number that was cited in the April 28, 1987 DEA information.

967. A June 1, 1987 CIA cable to Customs requested further information on the pilot and three other individuals in an attempt to determine the validity of the information that Customs had provided to CIA in its May 13, 1987 cable. According to the June 1 cable, CIA:

> . . . . would appreciate details on the sources of information, including any available assessments on the reliability of the sources and their access to the information (for example, whether through direct involvement in the alleged activity or via hearsay). . . .

In its June 24, 1987 response, Customs referred the CIA to the U.S. Coast Guard for further information pertaining to the pilot. However, no information has been found to indicate CIA contacted the U.S. Coast Guard regarding the pilot.

968. On April 29, 1986, the pilot was questioned by CIA Security as part of the clearance process to work under the prime contractor. A May 1, 1986 report of that questioning indicated that the pilot admitted to extensive use of illegal drugs and to selling marijuana to friends on several occasions in the late 1960s and early 1970s. He claimed that these sales occurred at social functions and that he did not make a profit from this activity. The report noted that although he was questioned intensively on these matters, CIA concluded that his answers were probably credible. According to the report, the pilot was advised of CIA's policy regarding the illegal use of drugs and he agreed to abide by that policy.

969. A December 22, 1988 CIA memorandum indicated that an aircraft that Customs identified as belonging to the prime contractor and suspected of drug smuggling in 1981-82 had been sold by the prime contractor in November 1979, but subsequently had been

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

stored at the prime contractor's facility. It was unclear, the MFR noted, whether the pilot had been flying this aircraft as an employee of the prime contractor or as a charter pilot for the new owners. The December 1988 MFR indicated that more information would be needed from Customs in order to determine whether the aircraft and the pilot had actually been involved in drug trafficking. No information has been found to indicate that CIA sought additional information from Customs or any other source to follow-up or verify this information.

970. No information has been found to indicate that the results of questioning regarding drug use by the pilot were provided to U.S. law enforcement agencies. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by the pilot was provided to Congress.

971. **A Second Prime Contractor Pilot.** According to a June 8, 1987 DEA cable, a second pilot was suspected of being "the pilot of an aircraft that was placed on lookout [*sic*] for suspected drug smuggling."

972. He was hired on June 25, 1987 as a pilot for the Contra program with temporary approvals.

973. An October 21, 1987 Headquarters cable indicated that the pilot had resigned from the Contra program. An October 23 cable to Headquarters urged that a strong effort be made "to try and turn him around," because he was "unquestionably the premier DC-6 captain." On December 3, Headquarters cabled that the pilot had agreed to continue in the Contra program. A December 10 Headquarters cable indicated that "investigative efforts" were underway to "clarify" the drug trafficking allegations. The cable stated that questioning by CIA Security "will be scheduled as soon as possible."

974. No information has been found to indicate any further investigative efforts were pursued by CIA. No information has been found to indicate when CIA's relationship with the pilot was actually terminated.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

975. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by the pilot was provided to Congress.

976. **An Aircraft Mechanic**. CIA Security questioned this mechanic was conducted on December 2, 1986. According to the report cabled to Headquarters on April 3, 1987, the information provided by the mechanic led CIA to conclude that he was probably involved in drug trafficking. Further, the report indicated CIA's view that, even under intense questioning, he was also withholding information regarding people he knew who were involved in the Contra program and drug trafficking. According to the report, the mechanic refused to identify any of these individuals, although he claimed that one of them had recommended him for the Contra program.

977. An April 20, 1987 Headquarters cable provided instructions that the mechanic was to be removed from his job pending the results of a second round of questioning by CIA Security. An April 24, 1987 memorandum from the LA Division Chief to DDO Clair George and the Director of Security indicated that the mechanic had been advised that he would have to undergo a third round of questioning to resolve the drug trafficking questions.

978. The mechanic was questioned again on May 10, 1987. According to the Security report of June 22, the mechanic admitted to smuggling a small amount of marijuana for his personal use into the United States in 1968. He also admitted that he "fostered drug transactions on a few occasions" while with the U.S. military in Vietnam. He reportedly asserted, however, that he never personally dealt illegally in drugs. Based on the information he provided, CIA concluded that his answers were probably credible. The report, however, did not indicate whether he was questioned regarding the other individuals in the Contra program who might be involved in drug trafficking and to whom he had referred in December 1986.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

979. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency took any further action to pursue or verify the information regarding the mechanic or to determine the identities of the other individuals.

980. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by the mechanic was provided to Congress or to other U.S. Government agencies.

981. **A Third Pilot**. This pilot was hired by the prime contractor in November 1986 in support of the Contra program and was questioned by CIA Security on December 2 and December 4, 1986. As a result of the information the pilot provided on both dates, CIA concluded that this pilot was probably involved in drug trafficking. The pilot was questioned further on December 11 and December 12, 1986 without the issues being clarified.

982. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency took any further action to pursue or verify the information developed during questioning by CIA Security.

983. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding drug trafficking by the pilot was provided to Congress or to other U.S. Government agencies.

984. **A Fourth Pilot**. This pilot was hired by the prime contractor for the Contra program in late 1986. He was questioned by CIA Security on December 2 and December 3, 1986. Based on the information he provided, CIA concluded that the questioning was not productive.

985. No record has been found to indicate any further action by CIA to follow-up or verify this information. No information has been found to indicate to what extent or for how long he was employed by the prime contractor to support CIA's Contra program.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

986. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding drug trafficking by the pilot was provided to Congress or to other U.S. Government agencies.

987. **Vortex/Universal employees.** The seven individuals identified through DEA and Customs trace responses as suspected drug traffickers who were employed by Vortex/Universal were:

- Joseph Haas
- Donaldo Frixone
- Martin H. Gomez
- Martin Alberto Gomez
- Irving Silva
- Mauricio Letona
- Stephen Herreros.

988. According to information DEA provided to CIA on April 28, 1987, Haas, Frixone, Silva, and Stephen Herreros had been implicated with Michael Palmer in a September 1986 drug smuggling incident in northern Mexico involving 19,000 pounds of marijuana destined for the United States. The DEA response also reported that Haas, Frixone and Martin Alberto Gomez had been crew members on the DC-6 that was involved in the March 1987 incident at Miami International Airport.

989. **Joseph Haas.** Haas was reportedly a long-time informant for a U.S. law enforcement agency.

990. Haas had been hired by Vortex/Universal in December 1986 to assist in providing crew support for air drops in support of the Contras. The April 28, 1987 DEA memorandum appears to have been the first indication to the Agency that Haas was suspected of involvement in drug trafficking and had been a suspected marijuana trafficker since 1984. According to an April 7, 1987 MFR prepared by a CIA Contracts Branch Chief regarding a conversation she had with the president of the prime contractor on that date, Haas had been "taken off" CIA's payroll as of April 1 because he had gone to work

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

for a U.S. law enforcement agency in the United States. No information has been found to indicate that the Agency had any further contact or relationship with Haas.

991. DoJ and DEA requested information from CIA concerning Haas in 1985, 1987 and 1991. A December 16, 1987 OGC memorandum indicated that the Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York requested CIA information concerning Haas in May 1985 because he was likely to be a witness in an arms smuggling case--*U.S. v. Schwartz and Berg, et al.*

992. A December 7, 1987 letter from the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York again requested information from CIA regarding its relationship with Haas in connection with the "Berg" prosecution because of "inquiries from the press, and from defense counsel, asking if Haas is involved in any type of covert operations to aid the Nicaraguan contras [*sic*]." These inquiries, according to the letter, also involved questions concerning the "Vortex Affair." The letter indicated that Haas' involvement with CIA might be used by the defense to "impeach Haas' testimony" as a witness for the prosecution.

993. An undated internal CIA memorandum in response to the U.S. Attorney's December 1987 request indicated that Haas had been "a contractor of Vortex/Universal which was a subcontractor of an Agency prime contractor." The memorandum noted that Haas had been employed by Vortex/Universal from "approximately December 1986 to April 1987." In answering the U.S. Attorney's request regarding any relationship between CIA and Haas, the memorandum made no mention of the April 1987 DEA and Customs trace responses that linked Haas to drug trafficking.

994. On September 9, 1988, CIA received a request for information from the DEA Administrator concerning Haas and Michael Palmer. ADCI Gates responded to the request in an October 1988 memorandum that briefly outlined the CIA's relationship with Haas, and indicated that the "Agency has had no contact, direct or indirect, with Haas since April 1, 1987." ADCI Gates' memorandum

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

also noted that the Agency had directed that the prime contractor sever its ties with Vortex/Universal following the March 21, 1987 Miami airport incident involving U.S. Customs and the subsequent discovery of drug trafficking information relating to Haas.

995. An October 4, 1988 memorandum to the Director of Congressional Affairs from David Pearline of OCA's Legislative Division indicates that CIA may have informed the House Judiciary Committee of information pertaining to Haas. According to the memorandum, which discussed an October 3, 1988 meeting between Pearline and Congressional staff employees Haydon Gregory and Jim Dahl of the House Judiciary's Subcommittee on Crime:

> . . . .
>
> 3. The Committee staff also made two additional inquiries while I was present. The first inquiry concerned the relationship we had with Joseph Haus [*sic*], a pilot who flew resupply flights for the Contras. The staff felt we may have provided some information on Mr. Haus [*sic*]. (FYI: I checked my memos for the record on our earlier briefings and could not locate a reference to Mr. Haus [*sic*], but the CATF compliance officer believes we may have provided some information during a briefing of the staff in May.)
>
> . . . .

996. **Donaldo Frixone**. Frixone was, according to information DEA provided to CIA on April 28, 1987, implicated along with Michael Palmer and others in the September 1986 drug smuggling incident in northern Mexico involving 19,000 pounds of marijuana destined for the United States. Frixone was hired by CIA for Contra aerial missions from early 1983 to June 1985. Frixone's relationship with CIA was terminated in June 1985 for Frixone's refusal to follow his supervisor's instructions.

997. Following the termination of his relationship with CIA, Frixone was hired by Vortex/Universal in late 1986 or early 1987 as a pilot in support of Contra logistics operations. Frixone was killed on January 23, 1988 when his aircraft was shot down during an air drop over Nicaragua.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

998. On July 13, 1983, CATF cabled a Station and requested that it verify allegations made in May 1981 that Frixone had been arrested on a drug trafficking charge. The Station replied on July 22 that it had received confirmation that Frixone had been arrested for drug trafficking in the Dominican Republic in August 1980.

999. Frixone was questioned by CIA Security on July 19, 1983. According to the report, Frixone said that he had been arrested for trying to steal an airplane in the Dominican Republic, but was exonerated by a jury. The report did not mention the drug charge, but noted that "upon instruction by [a CIA] representative, [the Security Officer] did not [follow up on] the subject's story" of the arrest incident. No information has been found to indicate that CIA undertook any further action to follow up or verify the information about Frixone's arrest for drug trafficking before the termination of the initial Agency relationship with him in June 1985.

1000. A May 20, 1987 cable indicated that Frixone had admitted to Dominican police that he and his accomplices were planning to go to Colombia to pick up marijuana and that he was to be the pilot. The cable added that Frixone and the others had been released by the judge in November 1980 because of "insufficient evidence."

1001. A June 30, 1987 Headquarters cable indicated that the allegations against Frixone and several others would have to be "clarified" before approval to use them could be initiated. Further, the cable stated that investigative efforts were underway and that all the individuals would be questioned by Security "as soon as possible."

1002. No information has been found to indicate that Frixone was questioned again or that further investigative efforts were made in this regard by CIA or other U.S. Government entities.

1003. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by Frixone was provided to the Congress or to other U.S. Government agencies.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1004. **Martin Horatio Gomez**. Gomez, a native of Medellin, Colombia, was an aircraft mechanic for Vortex/Universal. After about nine months, his contractual relationship with the Agency was terminated on March 8, 1989 for "lack of interest."

1005. The Agency was informed by DEA and Customs in April and May 1987 that Gomez was "criminally associated" with aircraft N50314. According to the April 28, 1987 DEA memorandum, the aircraft was owned by a Miami company and was suspected of being used to transport marijuana or cocaine from Colombia to the United States. On May 13, 1987, Customs provided information to CIA that indicated that Gomez had been suspected of involvement in currency and narcotics smuggling as of 1984 and that he was associated with "numerous alleged narcotics traffickers. . . ."

1006. A June 1, 1987 CIA cable to Customs requested further information on Gomez and three other individuals in an attempt to determine the validity of the allegations. According to the June 1 cable, CIA:

> . . . . would appreciate details on the sources of information, including any available assessments on the reliability of the sources and their access to the information (for example, whether through direct involvement in the alleged activity or via hearsay). . . .

In its June 24, 1987 response to CIA, Customs reported it had no additional information regarding Gomez.

1007. No information has been found to indicate that Gomez was questioned by CIA Security. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by Gomez was provided to the Congress.

1008. **Martin Alberto Gomez**. Gomez, an aircraft mechanic, became a naturalized U.S. citizen in July 1986. CIA was informed by DEA on April 28, 1987 that Gomez allegedly had been involved in a drug smuggling organization as of 1981. Another alleged member of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

that organization was Martin Horatio Gomez, whom the DEA response indicated might have been his father.

1009. Martin Alberto Gomez was questioned by Security on August 29, September 1, and November 3, 1988. The totality of the information he provided led CIA to conclude that he probably was involved in drug trafficking.

1010. In an October 5, 1988 memorandum, an officer in the Office of Security wrote that Gomez "has not cooperated during [two attempts to question him] and it is not likely his attitude will change with additional processing." The memorandum therefore recommended that "[the cognizant CIA office] be requested to cancel interest" in Gomez. A November 15, 1988 memorandum from an Operational Evaluation Section officer to the Chief of the Staff and Operations Branch indicated that SAS had refused to "cancel interest" in Gomez, and that he was given a third opportunity for clarification on November 3, 1988. According to the memorandum, major concerns remained concerning the use of illegal drugs." His relationship with CIA was terminated in "mid-March 1989."

1011. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding drug trafficking by Gomez was provided to the Congress or to other U.S. Government agencies.

1012. **Irving Silva**. Silva, as noted in the April 28, 1987 DEA report, was implicated with Palmer in the September 1986 drug smuggling incident in northern Mexico involving 19,000 pounds of marijuana destined for the United States.

1013. According to a February 29, 1988 memorandum to OGC's Assistant General Counsel regarding CIA contacts with Vortex/Universal Air Leasing, Silva was employed part-time by Vortex/Universal from December 1986 to January 1987 to provide navigational training to the ERN. The April 28, 1987 DEA trace response implicated Silva in the September 1986 Mexico marijuana smuggling incident.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1014. No information has been found to indicate that Silva was questioned by CIA Security or that the Agency took other action to follow-up or verify the information linking Silva to drug trafficking. No information has been found to indicate when CIA terminated its relationship with him.

1015. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by Silva was provided to the Congress.

1016. **Mauricio Letona**. Letona apparently was hired by Vortex/Universal in late 1986/early 1987 along with Haas and others under the subcontract with the prime contractor in support of CIA assistance to the Contras.

1017. The Agency terminated its relationship with Letona on May 8, 1987. A May 13, 1987 Customs cable to CIA indicated that Letona had been suspected in 1980 of using his affiliation with an El Salvadoran airline to smuggle cocaine.

1018. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by Letona was provided to the Congress.

1019. **Stephen Herreros.** The April 28, 1987 DEA response to a CIA trace request reported that Herreros was listed in the files of the El Paso Intelligence Center as having been involved in the September 1986 marijuana smuggling incident along with Palmer and other Vortex/Universal employees.

1020. No information has been found to indicate the nature of Herreros' relationship with Vortex/Universal or that he had any relationship with CIA. No information has been found to indicate that CIA took any action regarding the information relating to Herreros and drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1021. No information has been found to indicate that information regarding allegations of drug trafficking by Herreros was provided to the Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

WHAT WAS THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF CIA'S KNOWLEDGE OF ALLEGATIONS OF CONTRA DRUG TRAFFICKING AT THE ILOPANGO AIR BASE?

1022. **Background.** Between 1981 and the 1984 congressional funding cutoff, the Agency provided support services to the Contra program from the El Salvadoran air base at Ilopango--located a few miles to the east of San Salvador. Ilopango Air Base was controlled by the Salvadoran military but was used by CIA as a storage point and staging area for shipments of supplies to the Contras. In the course of these functions, CIA personnel had frequent contacts at Ilopango with Contra pilots and other personnel who came to Ilopango to pick up supplies. CIA personnel were frequently present at Ilopango and sometimes assisted when supplies were loaded onto aircraft operated by Contra pilots.

1023. To support CIA activities at Ilopango, CIA occupied a newly constructed warehouse and hangar in 1984--commonly referred to as Hangar 5--and relocated to it activities that had been conducted in a smaller nearby hangar-- commonly referred to as Hangar 4. After CIA had moved out of Hangar 4, it was used in 1985 and 1986 by NHAO and the Private Benefactors in support of their Contra-related operations. Hangars 4 and 5 shared a common aircraft parking area and were located on a restricted area of the Ilopango air base that was controlled by the Salvadoran military. Another area of Ilopango air base was devoted to civil aviation. Access to that area reportedly was not restricted.

1024. Following the 1984 congressional funding cutoff, supplies that remained at Ilopango were distributed to the Contras by CIA personnel. Thereafter, visits by CIA personnel to Ilopango occurred less frequently. Contra personnel, however, continued to visit Ilopango in connection with support being provided to the Contras by NHAO and the Private Benefactors.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1025. Following congressional approval of the $100 million Contra support program in October 1986, Ilopango Air Base had much less importance to the Contra program.

1026. There have been three main sources of allegations of drug trafficking at Ilopango—a U.S. citizen, Celerino Castillo[34] and a CIA/DEA source known as STG6. The allegations of each of these sources and what CIA knew about them are described below.

1027. **Allegations of Contra drug trafficking at Ilopango—a U.S. citizen.** According to an October 23, 1986 cable to Headquarters, the "narcotics coordinator" at the U.S. Embassy in Tegucigalpa had said there would be an arrest in San Salvador of a specifically named American citizen. According to the cable, the U.S. citizen was to be arrested:

> . . . on narcotics trafficking charges. [The U.S. citizen] will be arrested today or tomorrow by regional [DEA] agent [Celerino Castillo] and charged with cocaine trafficking to the U.S. [U.S. Embassy/Tegucigalpa] alerted [CIA] because [the U.S. citizen] is allegedly some way involved [*sic*] with [Max Gomez] and also allegedly has [United Nicaraguan Resistance/FDN Directorate] contacts and operates his business out of [Hangar 4], supposedly using [Private Benefactor] pilots and aircraft as part of his drug network. [The U.S. citizen's] home in San Salvador was raided about one month ago and guns and a variety of drugs were discovered. **[DEA] believes [the U.S. citizen] will attempt to use publicity of his alleged [U.S. Government] ties to defeat any prosecution on drug charges.** We have no other details on this matter and are not likely to receive more since regional [DEA representative] operates from Guatemala City. . . .

(Emphasis added.)

1028. **Allegations of Contra drug trafficking at Ilopango--former DEA Special Agent Celerino Castillo.** In his book *Powderburns: Cocaine, Contras & the Drug War* (© Celerino Castillo III and Dave Harmon, 1994), former DEA Special Agent Celerino

---

[34] Castillo declined to be interviewed under standard DoJ and CIA/OIG procedures.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Castillo alleged that Ilopango was used by the Contras to support Contra drug trafficking activities. According to *Powderburns*, much of Castillo's information relating to alleged Contra drug trafficking at Ilopango was provided to him by DEA informants, one of whom reportedly worked at the civil air section of Ilopango air base. In *Powderburns*, Castillo referred to this informant at Ilopango as "Hugo Martinez."

1029. In *Powderburns*, Castillo said he arrived in Guatemala in October of 1985 and served until 1990 in the regional DEA office in Guatemala City. Castillo's responsibilities while assigned to the regional DEA office included El Salvador. Castillo said that, soon after his arrival in Guatemala City, his duties brought him into contact with CIA officials both in Guatemala and in El Salvador. Castillo alleged in *Powderburns* that, in at least two instances, he discussed the allegations relating to Contra drug trafficking with CIA officials.

1030. The first instance related to a discussion that Castillo said he had with the San Salvador COS in 1986. As related in *Powderburns*:

> . . . On August 15, I met with Jack McCavett , the mild-mannered CIA station chief in El Salvador. Again, I repeated my evidence against the Contras. McCavett denied any connection between the CIA and the Ilopango operation. As far as [William] Brasher was concerned, McCavett said "He doesn't work for me. He works for the Contras and Ollie North, and we have nothing to do with that operation."
>
> Three days later, McCavett called me into his office and pulled $45,000 in cash out of his desk drawer. "I've got money left over from my budget I need to spend," he said. "Take this for your anti-narcotics group. Go buy them some cars." McCavett didn't mention the Contras, but I suspected he was trying to buy me off. The CIA, to my knowledge, had never given the DEA this kind of gift. I wrote out a receipt and handed it to him, took the stack of bills, and gave it to Adame and Aparecio. They bought three much needed vehicles for [an El Salvadoran Police organization].

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1031. In the second instance cited in *Powderburns*, Castillo claimed he discussed Contra drug trafficking activities with "Randy Kapasar, a CIA agent in Guatemala:"

> He knew I was investigating the Contras. I knew he was helping them. I expected him to deny my evidence of the Contras' narcotrafficking but he followed Sofi's reasoning: "Cele, how do you think the Contras are gonna make money? They've got to run dope, that's the only way we can finance this operation."

1032. **Allegations of Contra Drug Trafficking at Ilopango—STG6.** CIA records indicate that, from September 16, 1986 until August 7, 1989, STG6 was an Agency contact who provided information pertaining to drug trafficking and other subjects. He was turned over to DEA following the termination of his relationship with CIA on August 7, 1989.

1033. On at least two occasions, STG6 provided CIA with lead information that related to possible Contra drug trafficking activities at Ilopango. The first report of this nature was described in a September 23, 1986 cable to Headquarters. According to the cable, STG6 provided the names of two Colombians who were linked to Contra pilot Carlos Amador. Amador, the cable stated, was "suspected of involvement in narcotics trafficking."

1034. The second report from STG6 to CIA regarding possible Contra drug trafficking at Ilopango was described in a March 23, 1988 cable to Headquarters. According to that cable, STG6 had reported that a Guatemalan citizen and suspected drug trafficker named Reyner Veliz had recently been traveling with Contra pilot Marcos Aguado.

1035. **CIA Records: A U.S. Citizen.** Apart from the U.S. citizen's claims and an April 8, 1987 cable to Headquarters reporting an unsolicited telephone call from the U.S. citizen, no information has been found to indicate that CIA had any relationship with the U.S. citizen. Also, no information has been found to indicate that the U.S. citizen's activities in El Salvador were related to the Contras in any

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

manner, other than the October 23, 1986 cable reporting and a reference to him and the Contras in an April 25, 1986 cable--described further below--pertaining to the arrest of suspected American mercenaries in Brazil.

1036. The April 25, 1986 cable --as mentioned earlier--also made a reference to the U.S. citizen and the Contras. This cable provided an update regarding the arrest of American citizens suspected of being mercenaries in Brazil. According to the cable, the detainees had been visited in prison by the U.S. citizen and another person, "both of whom are apparent friends of several of the detained mercenaries." Regarding the U.S. citizen, the cable stated that:

> . . . visiting U.S. Consul. . . .who previously served in San Salvador, told [Consul General] on 25 April he remembers [the U.S. citizen] as being associated with [CIA] in San Salvador as a military advisor to Contras operating on the Honduran border with El Salvador.[35]

1037. In response to the October 23, 1986 cable regarding the U.S. citizen's pending arrest in El Salvador, an October 25, 1986 Headquarters cable requested any available information regarding whether ". . . there is any truth to [the U.S. citizen's] claims of contact" with the United Nicaraguan Resistance/FDN Directorate as well as "possible operations" conducted out of Hangar 4 at Ilopango. The Headquarters cable also provided a lengthy summary of earlier instances in which the U.S. citizen's name had appeared in CIA records:

- A cable had reported on August 27, 1986 that the U.S. citizen had provided night vision equipment to the Salvadoran military as part of a contract that he had with the Salvadoran Government. He reportedly told Salvadoran and U.S. military officials in El Salvador that CIA had "paid his salary in the past and made some broad hints as to a current [CIA] relationship."

---

[35] An October 29, 1986 cable advised CIA Headquarters that preliminary checks with senior Contra officials had indicated no contact between the U.S. citizen and the FDN.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- A May 14, 1986 cable stated that the U.S. citizen had become a subject of investigative interest to the U.S. Customs Service's Office of Special Investigations in New York for allegedly exporting equipment not licensed for export. The U.S. Customs Service said that it would remove his name from its watchlist if his activities were connected to the CIA or other U.S. intelligence organizations. CIA file reviews had found no information to indicate that the U.S. citizen was connected to CIA and, thus, the U.S. Customs Service "intended to continue their investigation with a goal of prosecuting him." Further,

> . . . the most recent incident which aroused [U.S. Customs Service] suspicions occurred on 3 May 1986 when [the U.S. citizen] was supposedly forced to make an emergency landing in his plane in the general area of Tamiami, Florida. [He] told fire department officials who responded to his landing that he was carrying two large cases of top secret material (whether equipment or documents unclear). He asked the fire department people to secure the material while he went to the nearest airport to clear customs. After having gone through a clearance procedure which made no mention of the "sensitive" material, [the U.S. citizen] returned to the fire department building, retrieved his two cases, and disappeared. As a result of this and other incidents, [he] was placed on an [U.S. Customs Service] watchlist which would ensure a very stringent search of him and his possessions/vehicles any time he surfaced at an [U.S. Customs Service] office or branch.

- A May 15, 1986 cable reported that, following the May 3 crash, DEA personnel had asked if CIA had any connection with the U.S. citizen. According to the summary, DEA had reported that he told firemen responding to the crash that three Salvadoran passengers traveling with him were being transported to Fort Bragg, North Carolina "on behalf of [CIA]" The U.S. citizen, according to DEA, reportedly offered the firemen a $100 bribe if they "would not report his activity relative to the Salvadorans to authorities." The cable had also stated that DEA planned a "follow-up

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

investigation" of the U.S. citizen on suspicion of narcotics trafficking.

- July 1, 1986, cable reported that at a June 27, 1986 meeting with FBI and Metro Dade Police Department representatives that, when the U.S. citizen returned to retrieve two suitcases that he had left in the custody of fire officials following the crash, he was accompanied by a Metro Dade reserve police officer "who also claimed connection with [CIA] and vouched for [him]." According to the summary, further inquiry into the Metro Dade reserve police officer's involvement in the matter had been delayed by police officials "for fear of interfering with a [CIA] operation." The cable went on to state that a review of CIA files at that time had revealed no information concerning the reserve police officer or the names of the Salvadorans who allegedly were passengers on the U.S. citizen's airplane.

According to an October 29, 1986 response to the October 25 Headquarters cable, "preliminary checks" with senior Contra officials regarding any contacts between the FDN and the U.S. citizen were "negative."

1038. A March 26, 1987 cable to Headquarters reported that the U.S. citizen and another individual had been arrested by Dominican Republic authorities upon landing an airplane in that country. The airplane contained various types of military-related equipment. According to the cable:

> [The U.S. citizen and his companion] also claim to be involved in military training in Central America and are reluctant to discuss what they are doing and for whom. Intentional or not, they are leaving the impression that they are working for [CIA].

1039. A March 27, 1987 cable to Headquarters reported that the U.S. citizen had recently sought to sell equipment to the Venezuelan Government and that a Venezuelan official said that the U.S. citizen

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

"showed him 'State Department credentials' . . . and [he] claimed that he worked for [a Central American Station']."

1040. A March 27, 1987, cable in response to the March 26, 1987 report summarized what was known about the U.S. citizen's activities in El Salvador:

> [The U.S. citizen] has falsely represented himself on prior occasions as being associated with [CIA]. He has no relationship with [CIA] but he was in San Salvador until two or three months ago, trying to sell weapons and military gear of various kinds to the Salvadoran military. He left El Salvador in a hurry after a police search of his house here uncovered a large quantity of various unlicensed, unregistered military arms, including hand grenades. When last we heard he was under investigation by U.S. Customs in relation to this incident. He was also under investigation earlier by DEA for possible narcotics smuggling. He seems to have a history of inventing supposed contacts with the USG[overnment], particularly [CIA], which he then uses to pursue his various business interests.
> . . . .

1041. According to an April 8, 1987 cable to Headquarters and several Stations, a CIA officer serving abroad had received an unsolicited telephone call from the U.S. citizen. The cable reported that he told the officer during the call that he had been given the officer's name and telephone number from the commanding officer of the USMILGROUP. The cable, in noting that the commanding officer of the USMILGROUP was out of town, said the gist of the U.S. citizen's conversation with the officer was:

> "You don't know me, but I got your name from [commanding officer, USMILGROUP]. I was just down there and I sell night vision equipment. [Commanding officer, USMILGROUP] thought it might be a good idea to talk to you." [The U.S. citizen] said he planned another visit . . . . on/about 24/25 April and wondered if there would be an opportunity to talk with [the officer].

1042. In a follow-on cable on April 9, 1997 to Headquarters, it was confirmed that the commanding officer of the USMILGROUP

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

had indeed passed the officer's name and phone number to the U.S. citizen after telling the COS that "there was DoD contractor in town selling the . . . military night vision equipment and wanted to know if the police would have any interest." According to the cable, the commanding officer of the USMILGROUP did not mention the name of the contractor, but had passed the officer's name to the U.S. citizen on the assumption that the COS would concur.

1043. In an April 10, 1987 cable, Headquarters provided guidance with respect to contacts with the U.S. citizen:

> [Headquarters] appreciates information provided . . . . regarding telephone conversation with [the U.S. citizen]. As Station is aware, [he] is notorious for falsely claiming [CIA] affiliation in addition to his involvement in other nefarious schemes. In light of this fact, Station is urged to politely but firmly refuse further contact with [the U.S. citizen]. Please advise any further attempts by [him] to contact other Station/Mission personnel.

1044. According to an April 13, 1987 cable to Headquarters, :

> . . . MILGROUP commander is in contact with [the U.S. citizen] and presumably will meet with [him] when latter arrives near end of month. We have no desire to give [him] another window into this mission and we will follow [the April 10 Headquarters] guidance accordingly, but wonder . . . whether it would be more in line with an embassy officer to hear him out and then turn off the contact? . . . .

The cable also asked Headquarters "whether we should have [the U.S. citizen's] plane carefully searched. We think the Customs Police should do so."

1045. In its April 16, 1987 response, Headquarters provided explicit instructions: "Station to avoid contact with [the U.S. citizen]." Further, the Headquarters cable stated that:

> . . . .
> [The U.S. citizen] must be considered [a United States] person since he is evidently a resident and able to freely enter and exit the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> country. [CIA] should not become involved in making any decision whether or not to search his plane. We note that there is no indication in [his] file of any warrant outstanding against him.
> . . . .

1046. The U.S. citizen's name appears in other Agency cables. However, as stated earlier, no information has been found to indicate that he or his activities had any connection to CIA or the Contras.

1047. **CIA Records: Castillo's contacts with CIA officials.** CIA records indicate that in mid-1986, CIA planned an expenditure of $45,000 to purchase three vehicles for the Government of El Salvador. The money was accounted for in CIA records on August 18, 1986, the same date Castillo alleged in *Powderburns* that he received $45,000 from a person he refers to as COS McCavett. Although no information has been found to indicate the process by which the vehicles were purchased and given over to the Salvadorans, no information has been found to indicate that the transaction involved Castillo or DEA in any manner.

1048. **CIA Records: Contacts with STG6.** STG6 became a CIA contact in late 1986. CIA records indicate that DEA had an ongoing operational interest in STG6. According to a November 18, 1987 cable to Headquarters, a regional DEA office had indicated that this particular individual was a "source of information regarding illegal aircraft movements/narcotics trafficking" at Ilopango air base.

1049. A January 18, 1988, cable to Headquarters noted that the DEA representative had said that the DEA regional chief had been briefed regarding STG6 prior to DEA expressing interest in him:

> STG6 has access to valuable and unique information by virtue of his job and [he] said that [DEA] needs this data regarding movement of aircraft in the region. Station wished to pass this view so that appropriate STG6 information can be passed to [DEA] for action.

1050. Information provided by STG6, on occasion, was transmitted with a request that the information be passed to the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

regional DEA office or shared directly with a representative from the regional DEA office during meetings with CIA personnel. Of these reports, only one had any apparent Contra connection:

- A March 23, 1988 cable indicating that Guatemalan citizen and suspected drug trafficker Reyner Veliz was traveling with Contra pilot Marcos Aguado. Included in this cable was a request that this information be passed to the regional DEA office with the following caveat: "Please omit specific locations of travel and location of source [i.e., STG6]"."

1051. According to a July 31, 1989 cable to Headquarters, CIA officers met with a representative from the DEA's regional office in Guatemala City on July 24-28, 1989. As a result of that meeting, an offer was made to the DEA representative to turnover STG6 to DEA.

1052. According to an August 1, 1989 cable, DEA "definitely agrees to take over STG6." Headquarters approved the turnover of him in an August 2, 1989 cable.

1053. **Individual Statements: CIA personnel**. A CIA officer who was closely aware of events at Ilopango during the 1981-1983 time frame and from May 1984 until May 1986 recalls that, until the 1984 congressional cutoff of funds, he had frequent contacts with Contra pilots. After the cutoff, these contacts diminished, although certain authorized contacts were permitted to continue.

1054. The officer says he has no knowledge of drug trafficking at Ilopango. He comments that he frequently observed and--prior to the 1984 funding cutoff--sometimes assisted with the loading of supplies onto Contra aircraft at Ilopango. He is very doubtful that illicit drugs could have been placed on board Contra aircraft during the times he was present. Moreover, he notes that the aircraft he observed being loaded with supplies were destined, not for the United States, but for Contras operating in Central America. Following the 1984 funding cutoff, he says he continued to observe and monitor the activities of Contra aircraft.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1055. According to the officer, the Salvadoran Air Force provided the Contra pilots with identification cards that allowed the pilots to bypass Salvadoran customs upon landing at Ilopango and also allowed them unrestricted access to the air base. He says the commanding officer of Ilopango, General Juan Bustillo, was a staunch supporter of the Contras and, because of this support, he authorized the pilots to be issued the identification cards to facilitate such access. The CIA, according to the officer, had nothing to do with the issuance or control of these identification cards.

1056. The officer says Contra pilots sometimes parked their aircraft at a location some distance away and in an area of the military side of Ilopango that was not easily observable from the base control tower or from the nearby civilian side of the airfield. Particularly after the 1984 funding cutoff, Contra planes could easily come and go from Ilopango without CIA knowledge, he says.

1057. A helicopter pilot who worked for a CIA contractor at Ilopango from 1984 until 1986 says he was instructed by CIA to keep Contra personnel at arms length following the 1984 funding cutoff. He says he has no knowledge of Contras using Ilopango for drug trafficking.

1058. A senior CIA officer who was aware of CIA activities at Ilopango does not recall learning of any specific allegation relating to Contra use of Ilopango to support any drug trafficking activities. He does, however, recall that there were unsubstantiated drug-related allegations against Contra pilot Marcos Aguado.

1059. Another senior officer recalls that he asked the officer who was closely aware of events at Ilopango to look into allegations of drug trafficking by the Contras or others and that the officer was never able to confirm any of the allegations.

1060. A third senior officer who had some awareness of activities at Ilopango says he has no knowledge of Ilopango being used by the Contras for drug trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1061. Another officer who possibly would have been aware of activities at Ilopango says he knows of no Contra drug trafficking activity at Ilopango and opines that the Salvadoran Ilopango base commander, General Bustillo, would not have tolerated such activity.

1062. Another retired CIA officer who frequently visited Ilopango says that he has no recollection of Contras coming through Ilopango during his tour in Ilopango. "I can be definite that [the Contras] never came to my attention," he says.

1063. An officer who says he met former DEA Special Agent Celerino Castillo in Guatemala and, on one occasion, worked with him and others on a project unrelated to the Contras, recalls Castillo discussing suspected narcotics trafficking at Ilopango, but recalls that Castillo made no specific reference to possible Contra involvement in those activities. Contrary to Castillo's claims, this officer emphatically denies that he had any knowledge of Contra drug trafficking activities at Ilopango or elsewhere. He also denies that he made any statement to Castillo relating to such knowledge. He also denies that he ever asked Castillo to back away from any narcotics investigation.

1064. Felix Rodriguez retired from CIA in 1976. He was an advisor to the Salvadoran military in a private capacity at Ilopango from February 1985 until the late 1980s.[36] Rodriguez also assisted the Private Benefactors at Ilopango in providing aid to the Contras. Rodriguez states that he has no knowledge of any alleged Contra drug trafficking activities being conducted from Ilopango or elsewhere. Rodriguez also says he personally knew the U.S. citizen in El Salvador, that he dealt with the Salvadoran military as a salesman of various military related equipment and that he had no apparent links to the Contras. Rodriguez denies having any knowledge of any alleged drug trafficking by the U.S. citizen, but says he understands that he was banned from making further sales to the Salvadoran military when Salvadoran officials determined that

[36] Rodriguez says he used an alias--"Maximo Gomez"--while in El Salvador.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

he was allegedly charging the Salvadoran military exorbitant prices for military equipment.

1065. **Individual Statements: DEA Personnel.** A DEA intelligence analyst recalls that he participated in DEA's review of Castillo's allegations regarding drug trafficking activities at Ilopango. The analyst also states that he participated in DEA's coordination of the January 21, 1987 Memorandum from Acting DCI Robert Gates regarding allegations of Contra drug trafficking that was requested by Assistant Secretary of State for INR Morton Abramowitz. He recalls that DEA found no information to support Castillo's allegations linking the Contras to drug trafficking. "It was [DEA's] experience that all of the Contra allegations lacked substance . . . ," he asserts.

1066. **Individual Statements: A U.S. Citizen.** The U.S. citizen denies any involvement in smuggling weapons or drugs. He says he never worked for CIA and was never recruited to work for CIA. He also says his only connection with the Contras was that he once met "one Contra pilot" briefly. He says he does not recall the pilot's name or the particular circumstance of the meeting. The U.S. citizen claims that Salvadoran authorities allowed him to utilize Hanger 3--not Hangars 4 or 5--at Ilopango to install equipment in Salvadoran military helicopters. According to the U.S. citizen, CIA controlled Hangars 4 and 5 and he never entered those hangars.

1067. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** In addition to the instances described earlier wherein information relating to alleged drug trafficking at Ilopango was shared with DEA, allegations that Ilopango air base may have been used by the Contras for drug trafficking were discussed in the January 21, 1987 Memorandum from Gates to Abramowitz concerning alleged Contra drug trafficking connections that was coordinated with other Intelligence Community agencies and DEA prior to its dissemination. According to the Memorandum:

> In March 1986, DEA/Guatemala began receiving reports of suspicious activities at Ilopango Airfield in San Salvador, El

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Salvador. According to . . . DEA [information], a hangar at the airfield was being used by traffickers to store cocaine en route to the U.S. The hangar reportedly was being used in transporting arms to the Contras. DEA/Guatemala investigated these reports and decided there was insufficient evidence to warrant pursuing a drug investigation. DEA did, however, inform the U.S. Customs Service of other information discovered in the course of the investigation that related to possible weapons smuggling activity.

1068. In a January 21, 1987 letter to Abramowitz that accompanied the Memorandum regarding alleged Contra drug connections, ADCI Gates discussed DEA plans regarding allegations of drug-related activity at Ilopango:

> We are told that DEA Headquarters plans to follow up on the matter of the adequacy of DEA/Guatemala's investigation of alleged drug trafficking at Ilopango Airfield in El Salvador . . . . DEA will report additional information as it becomes available. The Intelligence Community has no information independent of DEA regarding this matter.

1069. A March 31, 1988 Office of Congressional Affairs Memorandum for the Record indicates that SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes was provided a copy of the Gates-Abramowitz Memorandum on March 29, 1988.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

TO WHAT EXTENT DID CIA DISSEMINATE "FINISHED INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTS" THAT INCLUDED INFORMATION ABOUT DRUG TRAFFICKING ON THE PART OF INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONTRAS?[37]

1070. **The Analytic Environment.** Agency analysts who were responsible for counternarcotics issues during the 1980s indicate that three factors accounted for the small number of finished intelligence products during the 1980s that related at all to the Contras and narcotics trafficking. First, Central America in general was not a high priority counternarcotics target for the Agency before 1986. This reflected primarily the focus of U.S. Government policymakers on Latin American drug suppliers, in particular the Medellin Cartel in Colombia. According to a CIA officer, who was Assistant National Intelligence Officer (NIO) for Narcotics from 1984 to 1986 and Chief of a division in the DI's Office of Global Issues (OGI) dealing with international narcotics from 1986 to 1989, the counternarcotics effort was "consumed" with the Medellin Cartel because it was the main actor in the cocaine trade. The Agency concentrated on targets, such as the Cartel, as to which DEA had operations underway or in the planning stages that intelligence could support.

1071. The CIA officer recalls that Central America was on the screen occasionally because the Colombian cartels were setting up alternative transit routes there--particularly in Guatemala and Nicaragua--in an effort to circumvent the U.S. interdiction effort. Even so, the region was "just a blip on the scope" for the most part. This CIA officer and several other Agency analysts note that, with respect to Nicaragua, the U.S. policy focus was on the Sandinista Government's involvement in narcotics trafficking--not on that of the Contras. The policymakers, one analyst asserts, really wanted to "get" the Sandinistas on this subject.

---

[37] Preceding sections of this Report discuss the extent to which CIA shared information related to alleged drug trafficking by specific individuals and organizations associated with the Contras. This section examines the extent to which information about alleged Contra-drug trafficking was shared in a more general sense.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1072. The second factor, which was a consequence of U.S. policymaker priorities, was that the DO assigned a low priority to collecting intelligence concerning the Contras alleged involvement in narcotics trafficking. As a result, Agency analysts had only a small number of reports on which to base their analysis. According to CIA records, only three DO reports regarding Contra drug trafficking were found to have been disseminated between October and December 1984. These were the reports describing the alleged agreement between Pastora's associates and a Miami-based drug trafficker involving material support for the Contras in return for the trafficker's access to the Southern Front's pilots and landing strips.

1073. Furthermore, the reports were disseminated as "Sensitive Memorandums," a format that required strict access control. The internal dissemination lists for the reports indicate that all three were shared with the Directorate of Intelligence's (DI's) Office of African and Latin American Analysis and with the National Intelligence Officer (NIO) for Latin America. The NIO for Narcotics received two of the three reports. However, the Office of Global Issues (OGI), which was responsible for counternarcotics analysis in the DI, did not receive copies of the reports. Further, the strict access controls made it difficult for analysts to incorporate information from the reports into finished intelligence products that would have a broader dissemination.

1074. The analyst who drafted a Memorandum for Vice President Bush in April 1986 that related to potential Contras' involvement in drug trafficking recalls that OGI analysts who worked on counternarcotics issues were not aware of those reports at the time--October to December 1984--that they were first disseminated inside and outside the Agency. However, she says that CATF Chief Fiers did make the reporting available to her in April 1986, stipulating that it could be used only for the Memorandum she was preparing for Vice President Bush.

1075. A CIA officer, who was a Division Chief in ALA from 1984 to 1986, says that he does not recall any significant reporting in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

autumn 1984 with respect to the alleged agreement between Pastora's associates and Miami drug trafficker Morales. Nor does he recall any credible narcotics reporting during his tenure that would have merited treatment in a finished intelligence product.

1076. The Assistant NIO and the then-NIO for Latin America say that the DI was largely unaware of the totality of DO reporting on the issue of Contras and narcotics trafficking. The former of the two officers notes that there was a sharp divide in those days between the DO and DI narcotics analysis and there was not a "free flow of information." He states that, although the DO would cooperate in providing information for certain high priority tasking such as the January 21, 1987 Memorandum from ADCI Gates to Assistant Secretary of State Abramowitz, the DO had to be pulled along on the counternarcotics effort for the most part. The former of the two officers adds that the DO became very engaged in Latin America after April 1986.

1077. The then-NIO for Latin America says that ALA's Division and the DO's LA Division had an agreement that the ALA analysts could review relevant operational cables. LA Division, however, determined what was relevant, and "so-called administrative traffic" involving DO assets was off limits. Further, he states that the DI and National Intelligence Council did not believe that the Contras were an effective insurgent force, thus causing problems for CATF. Consequently, he says, he always felt that Fiers was not showing the analysts any reporting that would cause problems for the Contra program. He cannot document what reporting might have been withheld from him "because . . . you don't know what you're not seeing."

1078. The former ALA Division Chief says that the relationship between his DI division and CATF was fairly collaborative even though Fiers would not be at the top of his list of good collaborators. He asserts that Fiers "played a lot of things close to his vest" as he should have. However, everything of substance was fully discussed among Assistant Secretary of State Elliott Abrams, Fiers and the NIO/Latin America. He recalls discussions of

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Contra stealing, smuggling and other wrongdoing, but no discussion of narcotics trafficking. Narcotics was not one of the topics of concern at the time.

1079. An officer, who served as LA Division Chief of Reports from 1979 to mid-1984 and then served as the ALA Division Chief's deputy in the DI until 1986, says that she is not aware that anyone associated with the Agency suppressed reporting concerning drug trafficking by the Contras. She avers that she would have been "in Fiers' face" were he to "fool with the information to make [CIA] look good." Concerning the lack of finished intelligence concerning the Contras and drug trafficking, she states that the issue would not become apparent without DO reporting, and the analysts would keep whatever information became available in a file until there was a reason to do something with it.

1080. The third factor explaining why very little finished intelligence was produced by the DI regarding Contra drug trafficking was that Agency analysts had limited access to reporting from federal law enforcement agencies at the time. The former ALA Division Deputy Chief points out that DEA, not the DO, was the primary collector of narcotics trafficking information in the early 1980s. Along these lines, one DI analyst recalls that DEA was even reluctant to provide this reporting, probably because it pertained to ongoing investigations.[38] A senior DI officer recalls that CIA analysts had routine access to strategic and tactical DEA intelligence reporting, but not to law enforcement investigative and operational information.

1081. CIA only disseminated three finished intelligence products during the 1980s that related at all to potential Contra involvement in narcotics trafficking. These were: (i) a 1985 National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) concerning the international narcotics trade; (ii) an April 1986 Memorandum for Vice President George Bush; and (iii) the January 1987 Memorandum from Acting DCI

[38] According to DEA, "DEA's first priority is to protect its ongoing investigations, and secondly, to share as much information as is possible with Federal, State, Local or foreign authorities with whom we work."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Robert Gates to Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research Morton Abramowitz.

1082. **1985 National Intelligence Estimate**. National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) 1/8-85, "The International Narcotics Trade: Implications for US Security," was published in November 1985. One paragraph dealt with trends in the "narcotics industry" and noted that the "continued expansion of trafficking routes through Central America" was of "particular concern because of the number of antigovernment insurgent groups active there." The paragraph went on to note:

> We have no confirmed reports that link Central American insurgent groups with drug trafficking, but we cannot rule out the possibility that individual contacts have already occurred.

The estimate made no explicit reference to the Contras or any other specific insurgent group in Central America.

1083. A senior DI officer says that the Contras were not dealt with in the NIE because the focus of the estimate was on the "bad guys"--individuals, groups, and governments, such as the Sandinistas, that were hostile to U.S. interests. The Contras, he states, were regarded as friends and were outside the scope of the Estimate. There was never any discussion about including them; "it just never came up." The then-NIO does not recall any discussion among Intelligence Community analysts who participated in the production of the NIE concerning the acquisition of more intelligence reporting concerning insurgent groups and their ties to narcotics traffickers.

1084. **1986 Memorandum for Vice President Bush**.. On April 6, 1986, a Memorandum entitled "Contra Involvement in Drug Trafficking" was prepared by CIA at the request of Vice President Bush. The Memorandum provided a summary of information that had been received in late 1984 regarding the alleged agreement between Southern Front Contra leader Eden Pastora's associates and Miami-based drug trafficker Jorge Morales. Morales reportedly had offered financial and aircraft support for the Contras in exchange for

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

FRS pilots to "transship" Colombian cocaine to the United States. CIA disseminated this memorandum only to the Vice President.

1085. The DI/OGI analyst who drafted the Memorandum says that there was no follow-up. Furthermore, the analyst recalls no further DI discussion of the Contras' alleged involvement in drug trafficking until the Memorandum that was written for Assistant Secretary of State Abramowitz in 1987.

1086. **1987 Memorandum for Abramowitz**. The most comprehensive discussion of alleged Contra narcotics trafficking was included in a January 21, 1987 Memorandum from Acting DCI Robert Gates to DoS Assistant Secretary for Intelligence and Research Morton Abramowitz. The genesis of this Memorandum, entitled "Assessment of Alleged Connections Between Drug Traffickers and Anti-Sandinista ('Contra') Groups," was a January 9, 1987 memorandum from Abramowitz to then-Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Gates indicating that Assistant Secretary of State Elliott Abrams had expressed concern about the possible involvement of Contras in narcotics trafficking and had requested an Intelligence Community study "on an urgent basis." The memorandum from Abramowitz indicated that Abrams wanted the study "to pull together all foreign and domestically-generated information that is available, rumors and all, and provide an assessment of the credibility of the charges." Further, the memorandum to Gates indicated:

> The Assistant Secretary believes that it is essential that we know before the rest of the world if any of those whom we have funded are engaged in this business so that they can be expelled from the ranks of the resistance.

1087. The Memorandum to Abramowitz was written under the auspices of the NIO/Narcotics and was drafted jointly by officers from the DO and the DI's Office of African and Latin American Analysis. In addition to DO reporting, the assessment relied heavily on DEA information. Six topics were addressed, including:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- Allegations discussed in three disseminated DO reports of October, November and December, 1984 concerning Pastora, Adolfo Chamorro, Gerardo Duran, David Mayorga, and Jorge Morales;

- Statements to FBI and DEA undercover agents by Orlando Bolanos, who claimed to be in command of an anti-communist movement in Nicaragua called the " Internal Front," that he planned to smuggle cocaine into the United States;

- The Frogman Case, which involved Nicaraguan drug traffickers who had been apprehended in early 1983 while swimming ashore near San Francisco, including information indicating that an unnamed suspected drug trafficker had placed 51 calls to a telephone in the FDN office in San Francisco that was later learned to have been listed to one of the defendants in the case. The defendant's name was not given;

- "Suspicious activities" at Ilopango air base in El Salvador;

- An allegation that Roger Herman, political director for the Contra group, KISAN, was involved in cocaine smuggling into the United States; and

- Allegations that the ranches of "two [unnamed] U.S. nationals" in Costa Rica, were used to smuggle weapons to the Contras and cocaine into the United States.

1088. The Memorandum prepared for Abramowitz concluded that there was "no indication that anti-Sandinista groups that have received or now are receiving support from the U.S. Government have engaged in drug trafficking to fund their operations." Moreover, according to the Memorandum, DEA and FBI officials, along with Intelligence Community leaders, said that "no credible information exists to support" allegations of Contra involvement in

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

drug trafficking that "have surfaced over the past four years, particularly when renewed funding for the Nicaraguan insurgency was under consideration in the U.S. Congress."

1089. The Memorandum also concluded that, if Contra organizations had unwittingly received donations from sympathizers who derived the funds from drug trafficking:

> . . . our best judgment is that the donations probably reflected personal decisions on the part of the donor rather than an organizational effort on the part of an anti-Sandinista group.

Further, the Memorandum stated that "we have no information suggesting Pastora's personal involvement" in the alleged agreement between his associates and Miami drug trafficker Morales, but "he may have been aware of them given his apparently close association with these individuals."

1090. A January 21, 1987 transmittal letter that ADCI Gates attached to the Memorandum when it was sent to Abramowitz indicated that the Memorandum was being released with two qualifications:

- DEA Headquarters planned to follow up on the matter of the adequacy of a DEA investigation of alleged drug trafficking at Ilopango.

- The U.S. Customs Service was investigating allegations by Mario Calero that crew members working for Southern Air Transport might have been involved in drug trafficking.

The transmittal letter concluded with the observation that:

> . . . as future drug trafficking cases surface it is likely that we will see more assertions of Contra connections. Such assertions may take the form of self-serving stories by traffickers for use in their legal defenses as well as allegations by the Sandinistas to discredit the insurgents.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1091. According to a senior DI officer, Gates made it clear in commissioning the Memorandum that the issue raised by Abrams and Abramowitz must be addressed "head on and let the chips fall where they may." This officer recalls that the core judgment of the analysts involved in producing the Memorandum was that only a handful of Contras might have been involved in drug trafficking. No one believed, he recalls, that there was a major conspiracy or drug trafficking network at play. Concerning the Ilopango issues, the senior DI officer states that DEA had sent a DEA officer to El Salvador to investigate and the officer had concluded there was no substance to the allegations.

1092. A March 31 1988 MFR by OCA Director John Helgerson indicated that SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes had been provided a copy of the January 21, 1987 Memorandum that had been prepared for Assistant Secretary of State Morton Abramowitz.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

TO WHAT EXTENT DID CIA SHARE INFORMATION WITH CONGRESS REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF DRUG TRAFFICKING ON THE PART OF INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONTRAS?[39]

1093. CIA records indicate that CIA notification to Congress regarding allegations of drug trafficking occurred primarily in response to congressional inquiries until 1984 and did not focus on the Contras specifically. For example, on July 14, 1982, DDCI John McMahon testified before the SSCI concerning the issue of "United States Government Current and Projected Efforts on International Illicit Drug Trafficking." The questions posed to McMahon at that time by the SSCI Staff related to the overall Intelligence Community strategy and reporting responsibilities concerning the narcotics issue. The relevant portions of the SSCI transcript of this hearing contained no explicit references to any connection between the Contras and drug trafficking.

1094. **DO "Nicaraguan Program Summary" Information.** As mentioned earlier, three intelligence reports were disseminated by the DO to senior officials in the intelligence and law enforcement agencies between October and December 1984. These reports indicated that senior Southern Front leaders associated with Eden Pastora had concluded a mutual assistance agreement with Miami-based drug trafficker Jorge Morales. During the fall of 1984, the information provided was also reported in a CIA publication titled the "Nicaraguan Program Summary," a weekly report that was provided to the SSCI at its request. The weekly publication emphasized military activities, but also reported information about "funding, arms and other materiel assistance obtained by the Contras from (or promised by) third governments and private sources."

---

[39] Preceding sections of this Report discuss the extent to which CIA shared information related to alleged drug trafficking by specific individuals and organizations associated with the Contras. This section examines the extent to which information about alleged Contra-drug trafficking was shared with Congress in a general sense.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1095. The information first appeared in the DO "Nicaraguan Program Summary - Week Ending 21 October 1984," dated October 24, 1984. This edition included a special entry entitled "Private Support" that stated:

> Adolpho [*sic*] Chamorro and Marco Antonio Aguado said that the FRS had obtained in early October 1984 two helicopters and one fixed wing aircraft. This support was reportedly the result of Chamorro's and Aguado's recent trip to Miami to secure funds and material support for the FRS.

1096. Another DO "Nicaraguan Program Summary - 14-28 October 1984," dated October 28, 1984, provided additional information to the SSCI:

> Unconfirmed reports have been received which tie Pastora and several command-level members of his organization with [*sic*] drug smuggling operations in the United States. According to these reports, the FRS reached an agreement with an unidentified Cuban narcotics trafficker in Miami to provide operational facilities in Costa Rica and Nicaragua plus assistance with Costa Rican Government officials in obtaining documentation. In exchange, the FRS would receive financial support, aircraft, and pilot training. We have relayed this information to appropriate law enforcement agencies and will apprise the Oversight Committees of additional developments.

1097. The DO "Nicaraguan Program Summary - Week Ending November 11, 1984," dated November 11, 1984, provided still additional detail:

> In late October 1984, negotiations were allegedly completed whereby a Colombian narcotics trafficker would support the FRS with funds and aircraft in exchange for the use of FRS pilots in the drug trafficker's narcotics activities. Under the terms of the agreement, the FRS would provide an unspecified number of pilots for use in narcotics transportation in exchange for the loan of a Cessna 404 aircraft for use in FRS military operations in Costa Rica and El Salvador as well as monthly payments of U.S. $200,000. Information at this time suggests that this drug operation is part of the activity previously described in the last Nicaragua Program

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Summary. We are in the process of acquiring additional details and are coordinating future actions with the Department of Justice.

1098. **Other Information Sharing with Congress.** On January 29, 1985, the Agency forwarded to Steven Berry, HPSCI Associate Counsel, a response to a question he had raised regarding Pastora's possible consummation of a working arrangement with Colombian drug dealers. The Agency response noted that "all relevant details have been reported in the Nicaraguan Program Summary." The response added that:

> To summarize . . . intelligence reporting indicates that members of Pastora's organization (FRS) have agreed--either with Pastora's direct knowledge or tacit approval--to provide pilots and landing strips inside Costa Rica and Nicaragua to a Miami-based Colombian drug dealer in exchange for financial and material support. Information pertaining to Pastora's involvement in drug trafficking has been forwarded to the appropriate Enforcement Agencies.

1099. On January 6, 1986, the SSCI requested Agency comments concerning a December 27, 1985, *Washington Post* article entitled "Nicaragua Rebels Linked to Drug Trafficking." A similar request was levied the next day by HPSCI Staff Director Tom Latimer. CIA's reply to both Committees was provided on January 22, 1986 in a letter signed by ADCI McMahon. The letter described the Agency's knowledge of Adolfo Chamorro's involvement with Morales, provided information about other contacts Chamorro had with suspected drug traffickers and offered a briefing concerning Chamorro's activities. In addition, the letter mentioned Gerardo Duran's arrest in Costa Rica and Duran's connection to Morales.

1100. According to a May 7, 1986 MFR prepared by Louis Dupart of CATF, CIA representatives met with Richard Messick, Chief Counsel of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee (SFRC), on May 7, 1986. Messick reportedly called the meeting to "permit members of Senator [John] Kerry's staff to outline in greater detail information which they had uncovered that pointed to violations of U.S. law"--primarily related to the activities of John Hull. According

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

to this MFR, William Perry of the SFRC staff, Charles Andreae of Senator Richard Lugar's staff, and Ronald Rosenblith, Jonathan Winer and Dick McCall from Senator Kerry's staff represented the Congress. CIA was represented by John Rizzo, OCA Legislative Affairs Chief; George Jameson, Counsel to the DDO; and Louis Dupart, CATF Policy and Plans Chief. DoS was represented by William Walker, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs; Ambassador Duemling, Director/NHAO; and a representative from the DoS Office of the Legal Advisor. Representatives from Justice, FBI, and DEA also attended. The Dupart MFR concluded that:

> . . . Overall, the meeting was not fruitful. Kerry's Staffers were unwilling to provide details or identify their sources. Without this information it was impossible to meaningfully rebut the allegations that have been made of violations of U.S. law.

1101. According to a May 8, 1986 MFR written by a CATF officer, she, Rizzo, Dupart, and David Pearline, met with SFRC Chief Counsel Messick again that day to continue discussions about Senator Kerry's investigation:

> Messick feels that Kerry's staff sandbagged him by not providing all of the relevant correspondence. He noted that he had received a letter written by the U.S. Attorney in San Francisco that refuted the [drug] charges that members of the [Contras] had been involved in drug smuggling.

The MFR also noted discussions in this meeting regarding the nature of the information that American journalists Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey had to support their allegations of murder, attempted murder and drug smuggling and the relationship of their witnesses to the Kerry investigation. According to the MFR, Messick said that:

> [Senator] Kerry called Senator Lugar on 7 May . . . to request a five day hearing on his allegations . . . . Lugar was apparently not sympathetic and told Kerry that he will have time to air his information but will not have five days of hearings on his allegations alone. Messick said the hearing will be in early June and will probably be two morning sessions.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1102. Additionally, the May 8, 1986 MFR stated that Messick was told that the FBI had "extensive information on the people who had been interviewed by Kerry's staff . . . . " Dupart reportedly told Messick that "[Dupart] could not discuss in detail the information provided by the Bureau" and that Messick "would have to go to the Bureau for the details." The MFR stated that the meeting lasted one hour and a half and "at the end it was agreed that we would keep in touch."

1103. An August 1, 1986 MFR from Dupart to CATF Chief Fiers and the LA Division Chief recorded a July 9, 1986 meeting with HPSCI Staff member Mike O'Neil on John Hull. According to the MFR, the meeting was held in CATF Chief Fiers' office. Dupart wrote in his MFR that Fiers noted that:

> We have no information of Hull having been involved in violations of U.S. law. Further, since we are not a law enforcement agency, we have not collected or sought any information on this. Consequently, while it is possible that Hull had in fact violated the law, we have no knowledge of any violations. We would have reported them to the Department of Justice per standard Agency procedures.

The MFR further stated that, in response to other questions from O'Neil, Fiers said that Pastora had voluntarily renounced his role as a resistance leader.

1104. A March 5, 1987 MFR written by OCA's Robert Buckman indicated that Fiers and the ALA Deputy Director briefed SSCI members and staff concerning Nicaragua that same day. The MFR noted that those attending the briefing had been informed that "the BOS risked losing its U.S. aid if it did not fully sever its ties with Adolfo "Popo" Chamorro" because of his possible involvement in drug dealing.

1105. An April 30, 1987 CATF MFR indicated that CATF Chief Fiers briefed the SSCI concerning the Nicaraguan program on the same date. According to the MFR, Fiers explained the allegations

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

regarding Southern Front involvement with drug trafficking dating back to late 1984. Fiers stated that, on learning of the arrangement that was made between Jorge Morales and senior ARDE leaders, CIA had "turned [the matter] over [to] DEA." The MFR also stated that Fiers had added that Octaviano Cesar--brother of BOS leader Alfredo Cesar--had a close relationship with Morales and that Cesar was questioned when this connection became known and Cesar's answers caused CIA to conclude that Cesar was probably involved in drug trafficking. Further, Fiers told the SSCI that the DO had learned that one of its air crew subcontractors was under indictment in Detroit and that CIA was now asking the FBI and DEA to run traces on all subcontractors involved in the Contra program.

1106. CIA received a letter from Representative Charles B. Rangel, Chairman of the U.S. House of Representatives Select Committee on Narcotics Abuse and Control, dated May 14, 1987, requesting information concerning Contra drug trafficking as a result of media allegations. A May 28, 1987 response by the CIA Director of Congressional Affairs, David Gries, denied "any allegation that the Agency was involved in drug trafficking in support of the Contras."

1107. A July 15, 1987 memorandum from OCA Officer Robert Buckman to OCA Director Gries, stated that convicted narcotics trafficker Jorge Morales had testified that same day before the SFRC Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics, and International Operations regarding Contra drug trafficking and gun running. The memorandum stated that Morales had implicated the Agency in drug trafficking, but the memorandum did not describe any specific allegations by Morales.

1108. After Morales' appearance before the SFRC Subcommittee on Terrorism, Narcotics and International Operations, Fiers was called to testify before the SSCI on July 31, 1987. According to the SSCI transcript of that testimony, Fiers summarized CIA information concerning possible Contra involvement in drug trafficking. In his opening remarks, Fiers stated:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> What we have found to date is that none of the people currently involved in the resistance leadership, armed or political, have any--we have uncovered no indications that any of these individuals are involved or have been involved in narcotics trafficking.

Fiers noted, however, that there was one resistance leader--unnamed by Fiers--who might be involved in trafficking and that he was under "active investigation."[40]

1109. Fiers added in his testimony that:

> We have a significant body of evidence with regard to involvement of the former members of ARDE in the Southern Front--Pastora's people--being directly involved in cocaine trafficking to the United States and as part of an effort to maintain and fund their organization during the period of cutoff after May of 1984.
>
> Conversely, we have never found any evidence indicating that the FDN or those around the Northern Front, as it is known today, have been involved in cocaine or any drug dealings, and we have looked very closely at that . . . .
>
> I believe personally, based on the evidence that I have seen, that there is a basis in fact for the claims that Jorge Morales has made in his testimony and in his public statements, that he was involved with members of ARDE in cocaine smuggling.
>
> We first began to develop information on that involvement in October of 1984. There were some vague indicators of problems prior to that in the 1983 time frame, but nothing specific.

Also during his July 31, 1987 SSCI testimony, Fiers described what was known to CIA about narcotics allegations concerning the unnamed resistance leader and Contra-related personnel Marcos Aguado, Octaviano Cesar, David Mayorga, Adolfo and Roberto Chamorro, and Gerardo Duran.

1110. An August 3, 1987 OCA MFR by Buckman recorded a meeting of SSCI and OCA officers that day. Attending for the SSCI

---

[40] As discussed earlier in this Report, the unnamed resistance leader appears to have been Jose Davila.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

were Staff Director Sven Holmes, Jim Dykstra, Dave Holliday, Keith Hall, and Britt Snider. David Gries, Al Dorn, and Robert Buckman represented OCA. According to the MFR, Holmes questioned CIA's use of Alan Hyde in the Contra supply program in light of allegations of drug-related activities by Hyde. The MFR indicated that Fiers was contacted by telephone during the meeting and reportedly stated that Agency policy was that persons such as Hyde could be used in the Contra program if there were no ongoing investigations of wrongdoing or no outstanding indictments.

1111. An October 14, 1987 OCA MFR indicated that in a briefing to the SSCI Staff on that same day, Fiers provided SSCI Staff members additional information about a Contra leader who might be involved in drug trafficking and to whom Fiers had referred in his July 31 testimony. He told Staff members that regarding the Contra leader--Jose Davila--as a result of questioning by CIA Security, there were major concerns regarding narcotics-related issues.

1112. A December 22, 1987 letter from DCI Webster to Senator Kerry of the SFRC stated that Webster:

> . . . welcomed the opportunity to meet with you and discuss your concerns about John Hull and your request for assistance in the Subcommittee's narcotics investigation. This is the kind of open and unencumbered exchange that I believe we must have between the Agency and Congress.

The Webster letter also stated that:

> Concerning John Hull, I can assure you that he is not receiving any support from the Agency, and we have no reason to believe that any other element of the United States Government is supplying such support. As you will recall, you were briefed on John Hull on 15 October 1986 following the Hasenfus crash. My staff is prepared to provide you with an update if you wish.

The Webster letter also stated that Webster wanted to assure Senator Kerry "once again that you will enjoy this Agency's fullest possible cooperation during the course of the Subcommittee's investigation."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1113. John Helgerson, Director of the Office of Congressional Affairs from January 1988 to March 1989, says that CIA attempted to comply with requests for information from committees of Congress--such as the SFRC--other than the intelligence oversight committees by coordinating the requests and Agency responses. He says:

> We in OCA worked over an extended period of time with the SSCI staff to identify what they thought to be information appropriate to the needs of the non-intelligence committees. To the extent of my knowledge, we provided that without reservation [and] considered that "full disclosure" as it fully met the requests of those committees legitimately engaged in the oversight of the CIA.

1114. A January 4, 1988 OCA MFR by Buckman indicated that CATF provided a summary briefing for SSCI concerning the Nicaraguan program on the same date. At the briefing, Senator Bill Bradley inquired about allegations of drug trafficking, and Fiers responded that "Pastora had been involved with Colombian trafficking but that the FDN was clean."

1115. A February 2, 1988 OCA MFR, regarding a January 27, 1988 meeting, indicated that Senator Pete Wilson of California was briefed by CATF regarding allegations of human rights abuses and drug trafficking by the Contras. According to the OCA MFR, Senator Wilson was informed by a CATF officer that:

> . . . we look into the allegations periodically and are assured that there is no drug running going on among groups the US supports. We have had some evidence that two people close to Pastora were implicated in drug trafficking in 1984.

1116. A March 31, 1988 MFR by OCA Director John Helgerson indicated that SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes had been provided a copy of the January 21, 1987 memorandum that had been sent by ADCI Robert Gates to Assistant Secretary of State Morton Abramowitz. The memorandum, entitled "Assessment of Alleged Connections Between Drug Traffickers and Anti-Sandinista ('Contra') Groups," was reportedly coordinated through the Intelligence Community and DEA and contained an assessment of alleged

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Contra-related narcotics trafficking. It concluded that "no credible information exists to support" allegations of Contra involvement in drug trafficking that had been made over the previous four years.

1117. A February 23, 1988 OCA MFR documented one of a series of weekly meetings between OCA representatives and SSCI Staff members. These meetings resulted from a request for information by Senators Kerry and Pell of the SFRC, via the SSCI, for documents relating to the Contras and drug trafficking. The MFR stated:

> A meeting has been held between Senator Kerry with [*sic*] Senator Boren . . . to arrange for SSCI to acquire Agency records on allegations that profits from drug trafficking were channeled to the Contras. Senator Kerry claimed that there were CIA cables which had not been released to the Iran/Contra Congressional investigation.

1118. A March 14, 1988 letter from Senator Pell to Senator Boren referred to discussions between SFRC and SSCI Staff members. The letter stated that "the CIA has a number of documents in its files relating to narcotics and the contras. Specifically, these documents consist of cables to and from Central America which originated with the CIA." The letter made a SFRC formal request to the SSCI for assistance in gaining access to "the above mentioned documents."

1119. A March 15, 1988 OCA memorandum from OCA to a DO Legal officer and LA Division asked for a status report regarding a request from Senator Kerry for Agency information concerning Contra financial support from drug trafficking. The memorandum stated that LA Division was supposed to be reviewing cables Kerry claimed had not been released to the Iran-Contra congressional investigation.

1120. A March 22, 1988 MFR by OCA officer Buckman described a meeting with SSCI Staff members who again asked about the status of Senator Kerry's request for documents relating to the Contras and narcotics. According to the MFR, the SSCI interpreted

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

the request to include "all cable traffic relating to narcotics and Panama, Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Cuba, the Contras, and [John] Hull."

1121. A March 24, 1988 memorandum from OCA Director Helgerson to DDO Richard Stolz, DDI Richard Kerr, the LA Division Chief , and three other component officers noted that Senators Kerry and Pell and the SSCI Staff were seeking information concerning narcotics reporting--specifically "CIA cable traffic [operational traffic and DO intelligence reports] on . . . the Contras and drugs." The memorandum commented that "realistically, we are likely to have to respond somehow--fairly quickly--to the Kerry and Pell requests regarding when we knew what, without passing raw reporting or operational traffic to the SSCI." The memorandum then outlined a strategy of providing DI finished intelligence products, rather than raw reporting, to the SSCI.

1122. A March 31, 1988 MFR by OCA Director Helgerson summarized a meeting with SSCI Staff members on March 29, 1988. The MFR stated that Helgerson provided the SSCI with a collection of materials that responded to the Kerry and Pell requests. According to the MFR, Helgerson pointed out to the Staff members that the collection did not include relevant material that had already been passed to the SSCI and consisted of, for the most part, finished intelligence products that reflected "all significant, substantive information available to the Agency on the questions raised by the Senators." The MFR said that the Helgerson noted that the package:

> . . . did not include, and we have been unable to identify, any significant new body of CIA information not previously passed to the Congress, such as is alleged to exist by Senators Kerry and Pell . . . . We at CIA are committed to no further action at this point, but I have no confidence that the subject will not come up again fairly soon.

1123. "Talking Points," dated April 14, 1988, were prepared for DCI Webster's use with Senators David Boren and William Cohen regarding Senator Kerry's request to see all CIA cable traffic concerning the Contras and drug trafficking. Attached to the talking points was an April 1, 1988 MFR that summarized CIA's efforts to

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

satisfy Kerry's request by providing him with finished intelligence products. Also contained in the Talking Points were two options to resolve the issue. One was to "ask the I[nspector] G[eneral] to review all relevant materials, including operational cable traffic. The DCI could offer to report on the IG's findings to the Intelligence Committee." The other was to assist the SSCI Staff in conducting its own in-depth review by providing "the detailed information on the Central American and other narcotics problems" to a member of the Staff. The Staff would have to obtain additional information from the other relevant agencies, such as DEA, to accomplish its review, according to the Talking Points.

1124. A March 28, 1988 memorandum from DDCI Gates to DDI Kerr and DDO Stolz asked that a briefing concerning Contra involvement in narcotics-related activities be given to the HPSCI and SSCI on April 1, 1988. The material prepared for that briefing as a result of this request included: (1) a narrative entitled "Allegations of Resistance Activities in Narcotics Trafficking," which stated that, "All allegations implying that the CIA condoned, abetted or participated in narcotics trafficking are false;" (2) a copy of a March 31, 1988 memorandum entitled "Pilots, Airlines and Shipping Companies Used in Resupply Efforts That May Have Had Past or Current Ties to Narcotics Related Activities;" (3) a July 31, 1987 MFR prepared by a DO officer that recorded Alan Fiers' July 31, 1987 testimony to the SSCI/HPSCI Staff regarding Contra Narcotics Trafficking Allegations; and (4) seven documents that provided information concerning the following Contra-related individuals and organizations--Mike Palmer, Octaviano Cesar, Aldofo Chamorro, Barry Seal, Marco Aguado, Markair, Sebastian Gonzalez, and David Mayorga. No information has been found to indicate whether this specific briefing was provided to the SSCI or HPSCI by Gates or any other Agency official.

1125. A May 3, 1988 OCA MFR summarized a meeting on April 22, 1988 between Dewey Clarridge and two Staff members of the House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Crime. The MFR stated that Clarridge was interviewed regarding his knowledge of drug trafficking by the Nicaraguan Government or the Contras.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

According to the MFR, Clarridge discussed CIA's assistance to a 1984 DEA sting operation that resulted in the indictment of Federico Vaughn, an aide to Nicaraguan Interior Minister Tomas Borge, as a co-conspirator in a scheme to smuggle drugs into the United States. Clarridge reportedly was also asked a series of questions regarding alleged Contra involvement with drug smuggling. The MFR stated that Clarridge responded that these allegations arose after he left DO/Latin America Division--he was Chief of that Division from 1981 to 1984--and he was not in a position to comment on them.

1126. A May 18, 1988 letter from the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the SSCI to DCI Webster informed Webster that the SSCI was initiating an inquiry into "those aspects of the narcotics trafficking problem in Latin America that fall within the Committee's jurisdiction." The letter also conveyed a set of questions regarding Agency policy and procedure in general. One question focused specifically on Contra linkage to drug trafficking:

> When intelligence indicated drug involvement on the part of a Contra or Contra-[*sic*] supply personality or of a high-ranking military officer (e.g., in Honduras, Haiti or Panama), was this information highlighted for policy-makers in DEA, State and DoD, or brought to the attention of the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board (NDEPB) or an appropriate committee thereof? Did the handling of information pointing to US allies differ from that regarding our adversaries?

(Underlining in original.)

1127. A June 9, 1988 DO MFR documented a June 8, 1988 meeting between SSCI Staff members and CIA personnel to review the questions the SSCI inquiry was going to address. The MFR indicated that the specific question regarding Contra linkage to drug trafficking "refers only to specific persons whom [CIA] can identify." The CIA's answer to this SSCI question was provided in a July 6, 1988 memorandum that stated:

> There were a few raw intelligence reports from DO field stations which indicated Contras or contra-supporters may have been involved in illegal narcotics trafficking. These reports were

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> disseminated through regular intelligence channels to senior policymakers, including those at State, DIA, NSA and other appropriate agencies.

1128. On February 22, 1989, shortly after November 1988 allegations of drug trafficking by Juan Ramon Rivas Romero--a Northern Front Contra leader who had been jailed for drug trafficking prior to his association with the Contras--Deputy General Counsel for Operations John Rizzo advised DDO Stolz that:

> I agree that it would be prudent to advise HPSCI and SSCI of this matter, although I should note that when I mentioned this to John Helgerson last week his initial reaction was that the whole thing was too dated and trivial to warrant telling the committees . . . . My only suggestion is that if and when we notify we do it low-key and on the staff level, since I don't think we have anything to be defensive about.

1129. A March 10, 1989 MFR from OCA Deputy Director for Legislation John Golden advised OCA Director Helgerson that:

> . . . the incident involving [Rivas] some 10 years ago may be viewed by some as being more than trivial. I noted that Norm Gardner [the Deputy Director, House Affairs, OCA] indicated [Rivas] had not been [questioned by CIA Security] on this issue and his current whereabouts are unknown. I recommended to John Helgerson that the Committees be informed of the fact that we recently learned of this matter and wish to bring it to their attention. It is understood that we have no reason to believe [Rivas] was involved in narcotics beyond the mentioned incident . . . . John Helgerson . . . . subsequently told me that he would have the committee staff so informed.

1130. A March 15, 1989 CATF memorandum provided "Talking Points" for OCA use to brief the HPSCI and SSCI regarding Rivas. The memorandum outlined Rivas' involvement in drug activities in Colombia in 1979; his arrest, incarceration and escape; and briefly described his record as "the ERN/N[orth]'s most capable commander." It also noted that:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Rivas is not on the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) "watchlist," and, according to DEA, there is no indication that Rivas is currently involved in illicit drug activity. Further, DEA considers the information on Rivas "historical" . . . .

1131. According to a March 15, 1989 MFR by OCA Deputy Director for Senate Affairs Buckman, SSCI Staff member David Holliday had been briefed that day concerning Rivas. Holliday reportedly had been informed that the Department of State had determined that Rivas "should be removed from his post and that Resistance leaders agreed." The same memorandum noted that Holliday stated "that he would inform the Committee. He did not regard this as a serious matter." According to a March 16, 1989 MFR drafted by OCA, HPSCI Staff member Mike O'Neil was briefed telephonically on the same date regarding Rivas. The MFR noted that O'Neil "appreciated the briefing but had no real comments or remarks to make."

1132. A March 16, 1989 briefing paper entitled "Outline for HPSCI Political Action Briefing on 17 March 1989" stated that CATF would brief HPSCI Staff members on March 17 regarding a list of topics. A March 28, 1989 CATF MFR reported that this briefing was accomplished on March 17, 1989 by the CATF Chief of Operations to HPSCI Staff members Dick Giza, Mike O'Neil, Duane Andrews, and Steve Nelson. The MFR also reported that the Chief of Operations briefed the HPSCI Staff members about allegations of drug trafficking concerning Rivas. He reportedly informed the Staff that the Department of State had decided that Rivas would be "separated from the Resistance" and that State had informed Contra leader Enrique Bermudez of this decision on March 14. In response to a question concerning U.S. Government support for Rivas, the Chief of Operations reportedly said that CIA was not planning to assist in resettling Rivas, that Rivas may have received family assistance funds from the Agency for International Development and that Rivas had never received a salary from the CIA.

1133. **Individual Statements.** John Stein, DDO from July 1981 to July 1984, says that he was not involved in the Contra program as

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

DDO. DCI Casey and LA Division Chief "Dewey" Clarridge ran the Contra program but would keep him informed. Stein says he knew enough to brief Congress since Casey "was not welcome" in Congress to discuss this matter.

1134. The CATF Deputy Chief and Chief from 1982 to 1984, states that the HPSCI was kept fully informed of significant events in the Contra program on a weekly or biweekly basis. He does not recall allegations of drug trafficking by the Contras during his tenure, but notes that such allegations would have triggered "alarm bells" because of the politically charged atmosphere at the time.

1135. John McMahon, DDCI from June 1982 to March 1986, says that he did most of the briefings to Congress regarding "unsavory" issues, but does not recall briefing Congress regarding allegations of Contra drug trafficking. If there were a need to discuss issues in confidence, he would have done so with the Chairman and the Vice Chairman or Ranking Minority Member only. McMahon says that he would not let CATF do something that should not be done and that the ground rules were to be honest with Congress.

1136. An officer who was CATF Chief from 1982-1983 and LA Division Chief from 1986-1989, responded to written questions from OIG and wrote:

> . . . No . . . programs ever conducted by the Agency during my tenure was [*sic*] ever run as transparently as the Central American and Nicaraguan programs. Congressional members and staffers traveled frequently throughout the area and received extensive and detailed briefings on virtually every aspect of the program. Over a period of years the staffers became intimately familiar with the Contra program, and they would have been the first to call our attention to any problems in reporting on allegations of drug trafficking by Contras or Contra-related individuals.
>
> . . . .
>
> I do not remember participating in any briefing of Congressional members or staffers in regard to drug-related activities by the Contras or Contra-related individuals.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1137. Robert Gates, DDCI from April 1986 to January 1987 and May 1987 to March 1989 and ADCI from January to May 1987, says the Agency had an obligation to terminate its relationship with any asset suspected by law enforcement agencies to be engaged in drug trafficking. Gates says that he would not have made an exception and allowed the use of an asset who had past or present involvement in drug trafficking without getting it cleared through Congress and DoJ. Gates notes that, with the Agency's involvement in the Iran-Contra affair, it "needed to be purer than Caesar's wife."

1138. After the $100 million congressional funding authorization in 1986, Gates says there were many legislative enactments that affected the Contra program--what could or could not be done--and constant discussions with congressional staff members who were closer to the issues than individual Committee members. Gates says he went directly to the Chairman or Vice Chairman of the intelligence oversight committees when something was particularly sensitive. As ADCI, Gates says he met with the Chairmen or Vice Chairmen every two to four weeks, discussing a long list of items. According to Gates, representatives of the Agency's Office of Congressional Affairs accompanied him and wrote extensive memoranda of these meetings.

1139. Richard Stolz, DDO from January 1988 to December 1990, says he recalls no drug trafficking connection to the Contras. According to Stolz, the Agency's Counternarcotics Center took the lead in briefing Congress on counternarcotics matters.

1140. Current DCI George Tenet recalls that the SSCI held quarterly hearings on certain CIA activities while he was serving as an SSCI Staff member and Staff Director. Additionally, Tenet recalls that Senator Bill Bradley was personally interested in Nicaragua, received weekly or biweekly briefings on Nicaraguan covert action matters and regularly met with CATF Chief Alan Fiers and other U.S. Government policy officials regarding Nicaragua. Tenet does not, however, recall any briefings of the SSCI by Fiers concerning allegations of Contra involvement in narcotics trafficking.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1141. James Dykstra, former Minority Staff Director for the SSCI, says that biweekly briefings regarding the Contras were held at the request of Senator Bill Bradley who was the only Senator who regularly attended these briefings. Dykstra recalls that the Agency initially resisted the biweekly briefings and recorded transcripts, but ultimately agreed. Dykstra says that, in retrospect, it was good that Senator Bradley insisted on transcripts so issues were placed on the record. Dykstra recalls that Fiers also regularly briefed the SSCI Staff.

1142. Dykstra says that 1986-1987 was a "time of tension" due to the controversy over an Intelligence Oversight Bill. Dykstra says that former DCI William Casey also had strained relationships with Senator David Durenberger--then Chairman of the SSCI--and others in that time period. Dykstra says that, with all this tension, however, Fiers had developed an informal, friendly relationship with all the Senators and SSCI Staff members.

1143. Then-OCA Director John Helgerson states:

> . . . I did not undertake to provide all raw reporting of the DO to non-intelligence committees. I did work with the SSCI [Staff Director Sven Holmes] to provide other committees [*sic*] members with the material we and the SSCI judged met their needs within the limits of the guideline set by the DCI & [*sic*] DDO.
> . . . .
>
> The general DCI & DDO policy was to provide no raw traffic to non-cleared people on non-intell[igence] Committees. I recall that perfectly. [Concerning the Kerry SFRC subcommittee request for CIA documents] we (CIA) and the SSCI negotiated some exceptions designed to meet the legitimate needs of the Congress. Most of the limited raw traffic shown was shown to the SSCI; less to non-SSCI staff.
> . . . .
>
> The DCI's policy, and mine, was to give the SSCI & HPSCI everything appropriate to their inquiries. When requests came from those (oversight) committees for cable traffic and/or raw reports, we (DCI, DDO & me) negotiated with the Committees

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> regarding what, exactly, they needed, & to my memory met their needs. When requests came from non-oversight committees, we negotiated with the SSCI and/or HPSCI on how best to respond.

Helgerson says that his role as Director/OCA was to make sure that the appropriate DO and DI officers "hooked up" with Committee and Staff members to provide substantive briefings regarding the Contras as required. He states that OCA, however, relied on Agency components to provide full and accurate information to Congress. Helgerson does not recall briefings regarding Contras and narcotics trafficking." Helgerson notes:

> I do recall more general questions about Noriega, Contras, and drugs. Very few of these questions originated with the oversight committees--they were primarily passing on the questions of others, as I recall.

1144. Helgerson says that Senators John Kerry and Claiborne Pell, who were not on the SSCI, were driving SSCI Staff Director Sven Holmes and the other SSCI Staff members on the issue of Contras and narcotics. He says that the SSCI Members and Staff were not "taken" with the topic and were very frustrated by the tasking from Senators Kerry and Pell. Helgerson says that he recalls there were two problems from an Agency perspective. First, there was virtually no guidance from Senators Kerry or Pell in terms of the specific information they wanted regarding drugs and Contras --that is, Kerry and Pell were on a "fishing expedition" and would ask "Give us everything you've got" which was too broad. Second, he does not recall how much "raw traffic" could be provided in summary and how much could be provided to the non-cleared people working on the Kerry subcommittee. He says that he recalls that Agency officers sometimes showed documents to the Kerry Staff members in the SSCI secure office space.

1145. Helgerson says that he does not recall a concerted effort by the Agency to get to the bottom of the allegations of narcotics trafficking. The Agency, as he puts it, was in the intelligence business, not law enforcement.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

1146. Helgerson says that he was never comfortable that he was providing the intelligence oversight committees with everything the Agency had on a particular issue because the Agency's information on any subject was held in multiple files and data bases and OCA had to rely on the DI and DO to conduct thorough searches and provide complete responses. He says he was less comfortable with DDO Clair George than with Richard Stolz who succeeded George as DDO in January 1988. Stolz, he recalls, encouraged a "much more cooperative attitude" in the DO's dealings with the Congress. However, he says he is not aware of any decision to withhold cable traffic from SSCI or HPSCI Staff members.

1147. Helgerson says that OCA representatives were not always present at all briefings of the committees, particularly CATF matters in which Fiers enjoyed great autonomy. Fiers, according to Helgerson, often dealt directly with the DDO and even the DCI. As to whether SSCI and HPSCI Staff members could make decisions or provide advice to Agency briefers on sensitive issues without consulting the Chairmen or Ranking Minority Members, Helgerson says that he was amazed at the level of sensitive issues on which Staff members rendered judgments.

1148. An officer who was a Central American COS from 1987 to 1989 and LA Division Chief 1989 to 1992, states in a written response to OIG questions that there was "constant" communication with the oversight committees during the time he was involved in Central American matters. He recalls that Staff members came to CIA Headquarters regularly for briefings and frequently visited field stations.

R J B S

Richard B. Still

CONCUR:

L Britt Snider

L. Britt Snider
Inspector General

Date 10/8/98

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Appendix A

Jack Terrell

1. **Background**. Jack Terrell alleged that John Hull and the Contras were involved in drug trafficking. He also alleged that there was a plot involving Contra supporters to assassinate a U.S. Ambassador on behalf of Colombian drug traffickers. No information has been found to indicate that Jack Terrell had any contact with CIA or that CIA had any information indicating Terrell was involved in drug trafficking with the Contras.

2. **CIA Records** A January 28, 1986 cable to Headquarters requested traces concerning Terrell and stated:

> . . . according to the local [FBI, Terrell] claims to have contact with [CIA] by virtue of his service with the Nicaraguan Democratic Force in Honduras and Nicaragua from 1984-85. . . . [Terrell] said he is known . . . by his nom de guerre of "Flaco." . . . [Terrell] has identified his [CIA] contact as one "Rob Owens."[1]

3. A January 28, 1986 response to the trace request stated that Terrell was believed to be identical with a Civilian Military Assistance (CMA) member who used the alias "Flaco" while in Honduras. The cable noted that:

> . . . In March 85 he and 13 other [American citizens] associated with CMA were asked by [the American] Embassy to return to [the continental United States] from the Mosquito [*sic*] where they allegedly were planning to enter Nicaragua to blow up a bridge. The group including "Flaco" were [*sic*] repatriated to [continental United States] two days later. [Terrell] was not in contact with [CIA]. . . .

---

[1] Rob Owens was involved with NSC officer Oliver North in the provision of various types of support to the Contras during congressional funding cutoffs. No information has been found to indicate he had any relationship with CIA.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

4. A March 24, 1986 FBI telex to CIA provided information from an FBI New Orleans office March 17, 1986 interview of Terrell. Reportedly, Terrell told the FBI that there had been several meetings in the Miami area between December 9 and December 23, 1984 involving CMA members--including Terrell--and Contras Stedman Fagoth and Wycliff Diego. According to Terrell, he was discussing tactics to be used by the Contras when he was called out of the meeting by the leader of the CMA, Tom Posey, to meet a person called "Corbo," who could provide the CMA with money, weapons, transportation, and "everything we've been looking for." Those who had been discussing tactics with Terrell allegedly became angry and told Terrell, "You don't want to meet with [Corbo] because he is into drugs and arms and he works directly for Francisco Chanes." Terrell also reportedly told the FBI that Posey had arranged for him to meet with Francisco Chanes who offered a million dollars if the CMA would assist in the sale of frozen lobster imported from Costa Rica. According to Terrell, a U.S. citizen associate of John Hull, was also present at this meeting.

5. An April 4, 1986 Headquarters cable to the FBI provided traces regarding Terrell and persons mentioned by him in his FBI debriefing:

> Results of this Agency's traces on Jack (Terrell) were passed telephonically to the FBI. In addition... Terrell's February trip to Costa Rica was arranged by [U.S. journalist] Avirgan. Besides falsely claiming employment by the . . . CIA, Terrell claimed arms for the . . . FDN come from Miami, Houston, New Orleans, Panama and El Salvador. Further he stated that the CIA buys arms in the Dominican Republic and ships them via Fort Lauderdale to Honduras. While in Costa Rica Terrell also revealed the names of alleged CIA and Department of Defense personnel who he says are involved in Anti-Sandinista activity. Sources who encountered Terrell described him as an extreme rightist and mentally unstable.

6. On April 11, 1986, an article in *The Washington Post* stated that:

> Jack Terrell, who was a leader of the American paramilitary group . . . CMA, said FBI agents and prosecutors from the U.S. attorney's

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> office in Miami have met with him several times with at least two of those sessions becoming full-day meetings. Terrell said the investigators asked him about alleged weapons shipments from the United States to contra base camps in Central America, contra involvement in drug smuggling, and a reported conspiracy to assassinate the U.S. ambassador to Costa Rica, Lewis Tambs.

7. An April 15, 1986 cable to Headquarters commented that Terrell had made "scurrilous attacks on [CIA]".

8. A May 8, 1986 FBI telex to CIA and other U.S. Government agencies reported that the FBI had attempted "to locate Jack Terrill [*sic*] at his residence" in New Orleans. The owner of the apartment building where Terrell lived and where he served as building manager and handyman had reportedly said that:

> . . . on or about April 20, 1986, she received a call from another of the tenants in the building, stating that a strange woman of oriental appearance was taking the bed from the apartment previously occupied by Terrell. [The owner] went to the apartments [*sic*] and discovered that the bed and the . . . oriental woman were both gone. Terrell had apparently left, taking all of his possessions. Upon further checking, she determined that approximately $2000 was missing from the petty cash fund, as was about $2100 from the receipts from the soft drink machine. [The owner] stated that she had gone to the police and filed a complaint against Terrell. . . . [The owner] stated that she understood that Terrell did some very mysterious things and that it was possible that he had been forced to run and hide owing to his peculiar situation vis-a-vis international affairs.

An unsigned memorandum, dated "summer '86" and entitled "FBI Background on Jack Terrell, stated that:

> . . . [his a]rrest record begins in 1957 with an arrest for auto theft. Thereafter the record indicates numerous arrests for robbery, larceny, grand larceny, and burglary.

9. An unsigned memorandum, with a handwritten date of July 18, 1986 and entitled "Traces on Jack Terrell, a.k.a. Colonel Flaco," stated that information was provided that there was:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> a call from Terrell who called from Senator Kerry's office. . . . Because of FBI interest in the whereabouts of Terrell, we informed the Bureau's Counterterrorism Planning and Special Investigations Office at a 2 May meeting that Terrell was in the Washington area and had met with Senator Kerry's Staff. . . .

10. A July 31, 1986 cable requested traces concerning Terrell on behalf of the FBI: According to the cable, the local FBI office had provided a copy of a report from an FBI office indicating that Terrell had contacted the FBI in Houston, Texas,:

> . . . offering to provide information on Central American groups, Iranians and African National Congress (ANC) principals. [FBI] interviewed [Terrell] in August 1985 and again in February 1986. Terrell provided in-depth details on the "La Tronquera" training camp along the Nicaraguan border with Honduras.

11. A September 29, 1986 cable to Headquarters reported that a FBI Washington Field Office Special Agent had advised that the FBI interrogated Terrell in the course of an investigation concerning a threat to the President. According to the cable, Terrell told the FBI that:

> . . . he had recently returned from Manila where had had been offered one million USD[ollars] to establish a radio station for the New Peoples' Army by the head of the Communist Party [*sic*]. [Terrell] asked the case agent to put him in touch with [CIA] so that he might relate the story directly to those involved. . . . [Terrell] works for the Center for Developmental Policies . . . [in] Washington DC.

12. A March 29, 1988 Headquarters cable provided a draft of a memorandum that Headquarters proposed to distribute to the FBI and several U.S. military organizations as "a summary of all the information [CIA] has received on . . . Terrell since January 1988. . . ." The cable detailed Terrell's involvement with an insurgent leader of the Kachin Independence Organization based in Northern Kachin State, Burma. The cable also noted that "in Burma and Thailand Terrell is advertising himself as a former [CIA] officer." Finally, the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

cable stated that Terrell has recently arrived in Manila and rented an apartment.

13. An April 6, 1988 memorandum from the DDO to the Director of the FBI and several U.S. military organizations included the information that was reported in the March 29 cable. The first paragraph of the memorandum stated that "The CIA has no involvement in Terrell's activities nor do we want any type of relationship with him." The memorandum also included the statement that "Terrell has never been employed by CIA." Most of the memorandum recounted a briefing provided by a U.S. Marine who was an intelligence officer serving at the Marine Barracks at Subic Bay. The Marine officer recounted that Terrell had offered his services to an insurgent group in Burma and claimed to be a former CIA officer. The Marine officer reportedly had received his information from a former Marine who was associated with Terrell and also directly from Terrell.

14. An August 23, 1988 *Washington Post* article mentioned that Terrell had been indicted along with Mario Calero and other Contra supporters for violations of the U.S. Neutrality Act. A July 14, 1989 *Washington Post* article reported that a federal judge had dismissed the Neutrality Act charges.

15. On June 12, 1991, U.S. Embassy Manila reported a plot to assassinate a rebel military leader involving the Philippine Foreign Secretary, Raul Manglapus, and an American. Key evidence of the plot was reportedly a tape recording of a purported discussion of the assassination plot between the Foreign Secretary and the American. An October 8, 1991 cable identified the American as Jack Terrell.

16. An October 9, 1991 draft DoS cable stated that DoS officers had met with the FBI on October 8 and were told that the American involved in the plot:

> . . . has been an asset of the FBI in a number of cases. When polygraphed in connection with this case and asked whether the other voice on the tape was that of foreign secretary Manglapus, his

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

response was described as indicating deception (this tends to confirm our initial judgment that this affair is a hoax of some kind.)

17. An October 17, 1991 Foreign Broadcast Information Service translation of a Manila Broadcasting Company report stated that Foreign Secretary Manglapus:

> . . . strongly denied the television news report implicating him in an attempt to assassinate [the] rebel leader. ["]The charge is categorically false, and I have not plotted to kill anyone, and I have not paid money to anyone to undertake matters on my behalf.["] According to Manglapus the source of the story came from [*sic*] Jack Terrell, an ex-convict with questionable credibility. Manglapus admitted that he got to know Terrell during an occasion at the International Center in Washington, where Terrell said that he was working. . . . Terrell went to Manila to meet him but he was not aware that he was already terminated from the International Center.

18. An October 17, 1991 U.S. Embassy Manila telegram to the DoS described a meeting between Manglapus and the American Ambassador. According to the telegram:

> While Manglapus was in exile in the United States, he was associated with the Center for Development Policy. . . . The American behind this present story, Terrell, came to Manila about two years ago purporting to represent the Center for Development Policy. He informed Manglapus that he was a shrimp trader. Manglapus admits that he unwisely took Terrell into his home and confidence. He failed to check out Terrell's credentials. He has done so since through an American lawyer and understands that Terrell has a prison record, having served eight to ten years "in Alabama" for armed robbery. Manglapus also notes that Terrell perjured himself in some matter related to Contra affairs.

19. A column in the October 25, 1991 edition of the Manila *Inquirer* reported an interview of Terrell's Manila neighbor who had said:

> . . . Jack [Terrell] was by his own account a colonel in the US Army who served in Vietnam and in Guatemala. He knew more about the Iran-Contra affair than did Col. Oliver North who was

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

accused of selling military equipment to Iran to raise funds for the Contra rebels. Jack was forbidden to testify because he knew too much, and was liable to spill the beans on the American high officials. And he was in the Philippines to be out of the reach of US investigators.

He claimed to be a scion of a wealthy Southern family with interest in the railroad line. Disowned when he refused to enter the family business, he joined the US Army which became his second family, and was due to retire in two years. . . .

He left [the Philippines] to join the war in Iraq, fearful on not surviving it, saying that he had to go because he did not want to lose his retirement pay. . . .

In 1992[2] Terrell wrote:

I've lived this life and it didn't count.
. . . . My sister said to me: "You have lived a lie."

[2] *Disposable Patriot. Revelations of a Soldier in America's Secret Wars* by Jack Terrell with Ron Martz, National Press Books, Inc.: 1992.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Appendix B

Frank Castro

1. **Background.** No evidence has been found to indicate that Frank Castro was a member of the Contras . However, information has been found connecting Frank Castro to the Contras and cocaine trafficking. An April 20, 1990 memorandum provided background information on Castro. The memorandum noted that a biographic questionnaire, in Spanish, asked Castro "in what military service, including the 2506 Brigade (Bay of Pigs) have you participated?" According to the memorandum, Castro answered that he had participated in U.S. Army training at Forts Knox, Jackson and Carson and that:

. . . .

> 21. . . . because of this question being answered, a misconception took place and it was reported in Castro's file, that he was part of the 2506 Brigade. According to the files of this Directorate, [Frank Castro] did not work for the CIA during the Bay of Pigs Invasion, nor has he ever been an employee of the CIA in any capacity . . .

2. Eulalio Francisco Castro Paz was born in Cuba on June 4, 1942 and came to the United States, probably in 1961, seeking political asylum. He served in the U.S. Army from November 5, 1962 through December 6, 1963. He changed his name to "Frank Castro" and became a naturalized U.S. citizen sometime during 1971. In the early 1970s, Frank Castro was involved in anti-Fidel Castro activities that included bombings and attempted bombings of Cuban and Soviet facilities.

3. During the 1970s and 1980s, CIA cooperated with the FBI and other U.S. law enforcement entities in monitoring and reporting on Frank Castro's movements and activities. By 1983, Castro's name began to appear in regard to Contra-related activities.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

4. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** According to an October 12, 1983 cable:

> On October 8, Frank Castro . . . revealed FDN interest in opening FDN front in Costa Rica, separate from ARDE. Castro . . . . [said] the FDN would be willing to provide info[rmation] on where they might establish bases, individuals they can trust, how they can operate without interference from [the Government of Costa Rica], etc.

5. In November 1983, according to a document captured by U.S. military forces in Panama City in December 1989:

> Frank Castro, a Cuban, is Pastora's assistant in his drug trafficking business. This Cuban has a group of combatants who pretend to be "Contras," but in reality work for the FSLN in Nicaragua (and Pastora knows it).

According to a November 22, 1983 DO memorandum, OGC had asked for a search of DO records for information concerning Castro. The memorandum noted that Castro was being prosecuted in Texas for drug trafficking, and DoJ had asked CIA about Castro's claims of affiliation with CIA. A handwritten, unsigned, undated note filed with the DO memorandum stated, ". . . DoJ is willing to drop [*sic*] if he was in fact associated [with] Agency."

6. On July 12, 1984 Headquarters responded to a cable that requested information concerning Castro. With regard to drug trafficking, the Headquarters cable stated:

> In 1979, Frank Castro was local drug trafficker and no longer involved in the anti-Castro movement. In 1981 [Castro] was arrested by Miami police on narcotics charges and in October 1982 was out on bond awaiting trial. Also in 1982 [Castro] was under court order not to leave Dade County, Florida.

Also on July 12, 1984, a cable noted that:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> Popo Chamorro had picked up a considerable amount of money in Santo Domingo that has been contributed to [Pastora's] movement. He did not say how much or from whom the money originated.

A handwritten note on the cable indicated "Frank Castro?"

7. On October 12, 1984, a cable reported that:

> When queried ... about who controls "Rene," (a Cuban-American who has approximately 30-40 armed Nicaraguan combatants deployed in northern Guanacaste [Province], Costa Rica) [it was] acknowledged that Frank Castro of Miami controlled "Rene". . . . All available information indicates that the group under Rene's command is not presently associated with either the FRS or MDN/ARDE. Previous reporting indicated that approximately seven Cuban Americans were deployed with this unit which previously (early June) was located at "Monico," [Hull's] [landing zone].

8. According to an October 25, 1984 cable, John Hull said that Frank Castro had donated two helicopters, two light aircraft and one C-47 to the FRS. The cable suggested that the C-47, then located in El Salvador, might be the one that Marcos Aguado had at Ilopango and might be under Dominican Republic registration. According to the cable, Castro had moved from Miami to the Dominican Republic and recently provided an unspecified amount of money to the FRS. John Hull says that, according to Cuban-Americans, Castro gave a lot of money to the Contras and was rumored to be involved in the drug business.

9. On October 31, 1984, a cable reported that:

> . . . personnel from the FRS, recently in Miami, had contacted Frank Castro and [another non-U.S. individual], the latter reportedly is closely associated with Sarkis G. Soghanalian. Castro was reported as having "connections" in Colombia and the Dominican Republic, and was presently located in the [Dominican Republic].

10. According to a cable on December 10, 1984 it has been

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

commented that Frank Castro of Miami . . . continues to support Rene Corvo and his 40-60 man unit. Although no details were available, [it was] commented that Corvo may be involved in drug trafficking operations, supported by Castro.

11. A December 12, 1984, cable reported that:

. . . .

2. . . . Frank Castro, a Miami-based Cuban American with ties to anti-Sandinista guerrillas, is installing or attempting to install a cocaine processing laboratory in northern Costa Rica. Castro is exploiting widespread paramilitary activities in northernmost Costa Rica as a cover for drug trafficking. [Reportedly] . . . Castro sent [an individual], Castro's middleman, to Costa Rica to purchase a ranch (finca) with landing strip near the Nicaraguan border. Reportedly involved with Castro and [this individual] is Rene Corvo, whose independently led anti-Sandinista paramilitary activities in northern Costa Rica and southern Nicaragua were subject of [a previous report]. [It is] said Corvo reportedly traveled briefly to Colombia following Corvo's return to Costa Rica [on or about] 29-30 Nov from Miami. [It was] inferred that travel to Colombia might be drug-related.

3. Request [Headquarters provide information concerning] Castro, [the individual cited above] and Corvo. There are no [local] traces on [this individual] or Corvo. Castro appears [in files] as anti-Communist Cuban American well-known in the exile community in Miami and also known to be involved with the anti-Sandinista struggle. . . . We would be particularly interested in knowing latest info[rmation] linking Castro to drug trafficking.

12. A December 15, 1984 draft intelligence report to Headquarters included a "source comment" that:

There are also fears that Corvo, who has received support from Frank Castro, may be exploiting the military infrastructure in northern Costa Rica as cover for engaging in drug trafficking. Castro, a Cuban-American based in Miami and well-known as an anti-Communist, may be involved in drug trafficking as well.

13. A February 8, 1985, cable reported that an FBI Special Agent had questioned Moises Ruiz Nunez:

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> . . . about ties between [John Hull] and Frank Castro, who has a reputation among Cuban-Americans as an anti-Communist, a right-wing terrorist, and a drug trafficker. [Nunez] told [the FBI Special Agent] that [Hull] knows that Castro should be avoided.

This was followed by a February 27, 1985 cable in which John Hull was discussed. In part, the cable said that a CIA officer "does not believe that [Hull] is involved with Frank Castro in any narcotics trafficking activities."

14. A June 1, 1985 cable referred to Frank Castro as a known narcotics dealer and requested details linking Pastora to Castro, Jorge Morales and a third individual. A June 17, 1985 response to the request provided information about Castro's involvement in drug trafficking in 1979, the "considerable" amount of money that possibly came from Castro in July 1984 and the FRS' receipt of a number of aircraft from Castro in October 1984.

15. A January 2, 1986 cable responding to an FBI request for information concerning Frank Castro reported that Castro was a pilot and gave Castro's most recent address as the Bonnet Travel Agency in Hialeah, Florida. The cable also provided a recapitulation of CIA information concerning Castro's anti-Fidel Castro activities.

16. On March 7, 1986, an FBI cable reported to CIA allegations by Jack Terrell that, some time before February 1985, Frank Castro had presented himself as the representative of Colombian drug trafficker Ochoa who wanted a U.S. Ambassador assassinated and who offered to pay one million dollars to have it done. The cable noted also that Castro was the main liaison between Colombian drug dealers and the Cubans.

17. On April 15, 1986, a cable suggested that a review of certain reporting would show that some:

> . . . consider Rene Corvo and Frank Castro as dangerous and counterproductive in the anti-Sandinista endeavor, however anti-Communist both may be. . . . [There have been] reported

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

> allegations that Castro may engage in drug trafficking. [Certain people] shun [Pastora], while Corvo and Castro have continued to support him.

18. In a November 5, 1986 cable, a specifically named individual was referred to as "a Cuban narcotics dealer . . . [known] to have frequent contacts with Rene Corvo and with one Frank Castro, a narcotics kingpin with alleged Sandinista connections."

19. In a February 10, 1987 cable to CIA regarding information about Carlos Lehder-Rivas, the FBI reported that Frank Castro was considered to be a narcotics trafficker, mercenary and a person who would do anything for money. The cable also noted that Castro was the subject of a Miami narcotics case and that Castro's break from the anti-Fidel Castro movement was consistent with the ideology of Lehder-Rivas who was pro-Fidel Castro.

20. A February 13, 1987 FBI cable to CIA Headquarters provided background information on Frank Castro, his father, brothers and other associates. With regard to Castro, the cable said that:

> . . . In 1981 he was arrested on four counts of [sale, delivery] and importation of narcotics (case was dismissed); . . . Castro pled guilty to carrying a concealed weapon, [adjudication withheld] fined [$]500.00. In 1983, Castro was [subject] in DEA case [involving conspiracy], importation of 425,000 lbs. of marijuana . . . Beaumont, Texas. Castro was also the main subject in the Miami Police Department Tick-Tock case in 1981.
>
> Frank Castro is a potential subject in the Rene Corvo; et al; neutrality matter . . . where allegations have been made by several individuals that Frank Castro, along with Francisco Chanes . . . plotted to assassinate U.S. Ambassador Lewis Tambs in early 1985. . . . The allegations are that Frank Castro, as drug king Jorge Luis Ochoas [*sic*] representative, offered several individuals who are subjects in the above investigation, one million dollars, if they killed Ambassador Tambs.
>
> . . . In 1983 he was the leader and main supporter of another paramilitary training group operating in Naples, Florida. The

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

group trained dozens of Nicaraguans and anti-Communist Cubans who later travelled to Central America to combat the Sandinista Nicaraguan government.

Frank Castro was also one of the founders and main supporters of the Miami Cuban and Nicaraguan group named the Saturnino Beltran Commandos operating near the Costa Rica/Nicaragua border area. Unsubstantiated allegations have been made that Castro and others have used this Contra camp as a front to traffic drugs between Colombia and the United States.

. . . Castro has very good connections with [the] Medellin Cartel Ochoa brothers. Castro is also known to travel [frequently] between Miami, Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic. Castro has [opened] a food processing [plant] in Costa Rica. Miami Police Department detectives believe Castro may be currently addicted to cocaine.

[Another individual], a.k.a. "El Nino," . . . former subject in the MPD Tick-Tock drug investigation and current part owner of [a] Travel Agency . . . is a close associate and partner of Castros [*sic*]. [He] . . . is a pilot and resides [in] Hialeah, Florida.

21. A November 25, 1987 cable noted:

. . . that DEA/Miami requested a meeting . . . . to discuss the development of case involving Frank Castro and [a specifically named] Cuban exile. . . . These individuals also figured in a narcotics case involving Cuba. Both men claimed to be working under the direction of [CIA]. Station assured DEA that we were not in any way involved with these persons.

22. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained above, CIA collected and shared information concerning Frank Castro with various U.S. law enforcement entities before, during and after the 1980s. No records have been found to indicate that CIA shared the information it collected concerning Castro with Congress.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Appendix C

To what extent did CIA have information indicating that the Government of Nicaragua, the Government of Cuba, or Nicaraguan- or Cuban-sponsored individuals were involved in alleged drug trafficking activities of individuals associated with the Contras?

1. No information has been found to indicate that either the Government of National Reconstruction (GRN) or the Government of Cuba (GOC) was involved in drug trafficking activities with individuals associated with the Contras. CIA reporting concerning drug trafficking by the GRN and the GOC during the 1980s concerned the use of Nicaragua and Cuba for the transshipment of drugs to the United States. None of the reporting linked any Contra organization or Contra member with such activities.

2. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** A September 23, 1982 cable reported that Interior Minister Tomas Borge Martinez was interested in making some sort of arrangement with a bank in Panama. Interior Ministry official Federico Vaughan took $350,000 with him to Panama for deposit in the Bank Continental. It was suspected the money and the arrangement with a bank in Panama were both related to drug trafficking.

3. An October 8, 1982 cable to Headquarters reported that U.S. fugitive Robert Vesco and Interior Ministry official Paul Atha had said in early October 1982 that the GRN was planning a drug smuggling operation to earn cash for the GRN Ministry of the Interior (MINT).

4. An October 9, 1982 cable to Headquarters reported information that the nine member GRN Junta had approved a plan for narcotics operations in July or August 1982. Reportedly Interior Minister Tomas Borge Martinez would organize and direct the operation with U. S. fugitive Robert Vesco acting as his advisor.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

According to this report, the GRN was laying the groundwork through trusted collaborators in Colombia, Panama and Costa Rica.

5. A December 4, 1982 cable to Headquarters reported that the GOC was involved in planning possible narcotics shipments from Colombia to Corn Island in the Caribbean. This report identified Interior Ministry officials Paul Atha and Federico Vaughan as involved in the drug smuggling scheme. A December 9, 1982 cable to Headquarters indicated that Atha had said that Corn Island airstrip and El Bluff, Nicaragua would be used for drug air shipments. Managua airfields, would be used for emergencies and "decoy flights."

6. A February 1, 1983 cable to Headquarters reported that Atha and Vaughan went to Panama with $380,000 to deposit in a specifically identified bank. The deposit was reportedly the product of drug operations by Borge, Atha and Vaughan. The cable said that it was surmised that "higher GRN officials know about Borge's drug activities."

7. A May 26, 1983 cable to Headquarters reported rumors concerning cultivation of marijuana on the Nicaraguan Atlantic Coast and shipment to the United States. The cable stated the idea was credible and consistent with the GRN's desire to damage the United States in any way possible through drug trafficking.

8. A June 30, 1983 cable to Headquarters reported that GRN Vice Minister of Aviation Marco Salinas Pasos, FSLN Party official Jose Talavera, Cuban Ambassador Carlos Diaz, and a Cuban Intelligence Officer were involved in a drug trafficking conspiracy with Colombian drug trafficker Jorge Morales and a Haitian exile. It was reported that Salinas had agreed to arrange the purchase of a DC-6 in Managua for use in transporting a drug shipment from Colombia to the United States.

9. A December 30, 1983 cable to Headquarters reported that Federico Vaughan and Paul Atha intended to come to San Jose to introduce a person to cocaine dealers so that person could be the go-

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

between for buyers and sellers. Reportedly Vaughan said "The idea is to flood the U.S. with cocaine to the detriment of the imperialist youth while proceeds will help the Nicaraguan revolution." Vaughan also reportedly indicated that the GRN wanted to buy a ranch in Costa Rica with a landing strip to receive shipments of cocaine. Vaughan, Borge, Atha, and the Cubans reportedly used a codebook to encode messages regarding cocaine trafficking.

10. An April 3, 1984 cable to Headquarters reported information that Paul Atha and Tomas Borge were still involved in delivering drugs to Corn Island as of April 1984.

11. Cables dated April 28 and May 3, 1984 to Headquarters reported that the drug operation described in June 1983 involving Salinas and the purchase of a DC-6 apparently never occurred. The cable further indicated that there had been reporting beginning in April 1984 that a Salvadoran Farabundo Marti National Liberation representative in Managua, Jacinto Bustillos, and GOC Ambassador Diaz were involved in a drug trafficking conspiracy with Jorge Morales and the GRN leadership.

12. On December 11, 1984, a cable to Headquarters reported that a Nicaraguan defector who had worked for the GRN Front company H&M believed that Atha and Vaughan were involved in drug trafficking because of the large amounts of dollars they handled without any apparent source. Atha was the Managing Director of H&M and Vaughan worked directly for him.

13. A July 19, 1985 cable to Headquarters identified a Cuban-American as the General Counsel for COPA airline in Managua and a long-time friend and attorney for Atha. According to the cable, the Cuban-American had commented that Atha "has gone very far into highly dangerous activities that include the smuggling of drugs."

14. A September 27, 1985 CIA "Narcotics Report" stated that there was confirmation that Minister of Interior Tomas Borge was involved in smuggling cocaine from Colombia to the United States via Nicaragua. Reportedly this was a secret known only to Borge, his

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

assistant Franco Montealegre, the Chiefs of Police and State Security, and members of the National Directorate. Reportedly the Ministry of the Interior (MINT) became involved in drug trafficking in order to obtain money for clandestine operations by Intelligence and State Security Departments outside Nicaragua.

15. A typewritten Note for File, dated November 4, 1985, indicated that a former MINT officer had stated that H&M officials were former State Security officials or Sandinista policemen whose loyalty was unquestionable and that both Borge and Atha were extremely corrupt individuals.

16. In a February 27, 1986 cable to a number of Latin America Stations, Headquarters reported the former MINT officer's statement that Tomas Borge was directly involved with Colombian narcotics traffickers who used Nicaragua as a transit point for distributing drugs throughout the world. The Headquarters cable indicated that the officer claimed that Borge made arrangements with GRN Customs officials to ensure that selected aircraft coming from Colombia were not subjected to searches. The officer believed the income from narcotics operations was used to finance espionage operations. He testified to Congress in 1986 that Sandinista officials supported drug trafficking to earn foreign currency for espionage operations.

17. An August 8, 1986 cable to Headquarters reported that, in a 1982 meeting of MINT personnel, Borge commented that drugs seized in Nicaragua would be used as a "strategic arm" against the United States. According to Borge, this use of cocaine seized in Nicaragua would bring foreign currency to the Government and it would cause chaos within the United States. Further, Borge reportedly stated that the United States would be too occupied attempting to stop the drug traffic to be able to meddle in Nicaragua's affairs.

18. A November 8, 1988 cable to Headquarters forwarded a FBI Miami Field Office request for comment concerning information provided by the FBI relating to a 1984 agreement between Colombian

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Medelin Cartel drug traffickers Carlos Lehder Rivas, Pablo Escobar Gaviria, Jose Gonzalo Rodriguez Gacha, and the GRN by which they would be allowed to stay in Managua, establish a base of operations, and be secure from Colombian and U.S. authorities. According to the cable, the FBI information indicated that $3 million had been turned over to Tomas Borge by the cartel leaders as consideration for the agreement. The cable also reported that a number of drug smuggling operations took place at Los Brasiles airport.

19. The November 8, 1988 cable further reported to Headquarters that the cartel used the services of Robert Vesco in October or November 1984 to gain GOC approval to overfly Cuba with drug shipments. Raul Castro reportedly was involved in the agreement. The cartel established a scheme to transship drugs through Corn Island and Cuba to San Andros Island, Bahamas with all communications concerning impending drug shipments made by secure means between the GRN and the GOC to prevent any disclosure of their association with the Colombian traffickers. The operation came to a halt sometime in early 1985.

20. An April 20, 1989 cable to Headquarters reported statements attributed to a former assistant to Paul Atha in H&M. The former assistant reportedly stated that the MINT was involved in early 1989 in supporting Borge's front company in transshipping drugs from Colombia through Corn Island, Nicaragua to the Bahamas.

21. A July 7, 1989 cable to Headquarters reported information provided by a GRN defector. According to him, a GRN officer stated in mid-1984 that the MINT had accommodated international drug traffickers from the Medelin cartel in a MINT safehouse in Managua. He also reportedly had stated that the GRN contact point for the drug traffickers was Paul Atha and that the GRN was involved in international drug trafficking targeted at the United States. The report also indicated that "drug trafficking would support revolutionary goals and was a means to an end," i.e., to improve the dire economic situation in Nicaragua and weaken the United States.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

22. **CIA Response to Allegations of Drug Trafficking.** Information received by CIA throughout the 1980s alleging that individuals associated with the Cuban and Nicaraguan Governments were involved in drug trafficking appears to have been broadly shared with other U.S. Government entities. This was accomplished through intelligence reports that were disseminated by CIA to Intelligence Community agencies and in finished intelligence publications that were disseminated more broadly. For example:

- On April 15, 1983, LA Division disseminated an Intelligence Report to all Intelligence Community agencies reporting that the GRN, as of late September 1982, planned to purchase drugs in Colombia for eventual resale in the United States. The report stated that Tomas Borge, Nicaraguan Interior Minister, would organize and direct the drug smuggling operation and American fugitive Robert Vesco would act as his advisor. The report was disseminated to the FBI, DEA, Treasury, Customs, DIA, DoS, and NSA.

- On March 8, 1984, a DI analysis reported that drugs were to be shipped from Cuba to Nicaragua for onward transport in a Nicaraguan ship and delivery to the United States. The article conjectured that the GOC and GRN might be motivated to facilitate drug trafficking in order to obtain hard currency.

- An October 9, 1984 CIA DI analysis, titled "Nicaragua: Involvement in Drug Trafficking," reported that:

> There is a growing body of evidence that high-level officials of the Sandinista government have been conspiring with Colombian drug traffickers to smuggle cocaine from Nicaragua into the US. . . . Despite recent publicity on Nicaragua's role in the drug trade and indictments issued in the US against [Federico] Vaughan and some of the other key participants, the prospect of hard currency earnings probably will keep the Sandinistas involved. This could result in a serious setback for US law enforcement and drug interdiction efforts.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- An October 1984 DI Intelligence Assessment summarized CIA and DEA reporting that supported a conclusion that high level Nicaraguan Government officials had conspired with Colombian drug traffickers to smuggle cocaine from Nicaragua into the United States. The Assessment specifically cited Tomas Borge as probably directing the operation, with at least the tacit approval of the Sandinista National Directorate and the Junta. The report was disseminated to the DoJ, DEA, Treasury, Customs, DoS, and NSA.

- A December 1984 National Intelligence Council Interagency Intelligence Memorandum titled, "Cuban Government Involvement in Drug Trafficking," stated that the GRN and GOC were working together in facilitating the shipment of drugs from Colombia to the United States.

23. **Information Sharing with Other U.S. Government Entities.** As explained above, much of the intelligence regarding alleged drug trafficking by the Sandinista Government was shared with other U.S. Government intelligence and law enforcement agencies. This was accomplished by dissemination of intelligence reports and finished intelligence products.

24. On April 6, 1984, CIA provided a response to questions posed by the SSCI concerning Nicaraguan involvement in drug trafficking. The response indicated that, although uncorroborated reports indicating Nicaraguan involvement in shipping cocaine to the United States had been received, CIA was unable to confirm reports implicating high-level Sandinista leaders in drug trafficking at that time.

25. On August 9, 1984, the National Intelligence Officer for Narcotics provided the DCI with summaries used to brief Congress concerning narcotics activity in Cuba and Nicaragua. The summaries described field reporting that indicated Nicaragua had been used as a

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

transit point for the shipment of drugs into the United States, possibly for the purpose of obtaining hard currency for the GRN. The summaries also provided information regarding cooperation between Cuba and Nicaragua in plans for the processing and shipment of narcotics purchased from Colombian drug dealers.

26. On March 16, 1988, CIA Deputy Director for Intelligence Richard J. Kerr testified before the House Select Committee on Narcotics Abuse and Control that the Nicaraguan Government may have been involved in narcotics activity to obtain hard currency. He cited the 1984 DEA sting operation that resulted in the indictment of Federico Vaughan, an aide to Interior Minister Tomas Borge, as a co-conspirator in a scheme to smuggle drugs into the United States.

27. According to a May 3, 1988 Memorandum for the Record prepared by OCA, Dewey Clarridge--who served as Chief of DO/Latin America Division from 1981 to 1984--was interviewed by two Staff members of the House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Crime regarding his knowledge of drug trafficking by the Nicaraguan Government or the Contras. Clarridge, the MFR reported, discussed CIA's assistance to the 1984 DEA sting operation.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Appendix D

Potential Disinformation and CIA-Contra Drug Allegations

Were there indications of foreign government efforts in the 1980s to promote allegations that Contras were engaged in drug trafficking?

1. In 1985 and 1986, the Sandinista Government made a variety of claims that CIA or the Contras were connected to drug trafficking:

- In 1985 Nicaraguan Foreign Minister D'Escoto had complained to the Costa Rican Foreign Minister that Eden Pastora was building airfields which were to be used for narcotics trafficking to support his group.

- In October 29, 1985 discussing the First Ladies Drug Conference, the Nicaraguan newspaper *El Nuevo Diario* had said that "if Mrs. Reagan, in reality, with honesty, attempted to combat the distribution of drugs," she should prevent the CIA from providing drugs to the Contras, drugs that "unleash [the Contra's] bestial actions" against indefensible citizens and children.

- On November 8, 1986, the Foreign Broadcast Information Service reported translated excerpts from a speech by Sandinista leader Daniel Ortega that included allegations of CIA involvement in drug-related airstrips at Ilopango, El Salvador; Aguacate, Honduras; and Nicoya, Costa Rica.

- The Nicaraguan Ministry of the Interior (MINT) announced on November 23, 1986 that their investigations had uncovered a "vast net of drug traffickers' backed by the FDN, MISURA and 'the mafia'".

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

- A December 3, 1986 review of Sandinista media stories stated that *El Nuevo Diario* on November 28 carried an article titled "Contras Drowning in Drug Trade." The article reported that *La Nacion* of San Jose had "revealed" that the Secretary of the Conservative Party of Nicaragua, currently residing in Costa Rica, was connected with the shipment of "100 million dollars worth of cocaine" to the United States.

2. In the mid-1980s, Contra drug allegations were also featured in foreign publications outside Nicaragua. The U.S. Government agencies that reported these allegations, in many cases, attributed them to various Communist Parties, the Soviet Union and Cuba and mentioned a planned propaganda campaign involving the CIA-Contra drug theme.

- In a February 4, 1986 cable titled "Possible Disinformation re Contras," a cable informed Headquarters of an article in the January 9, 1986 issue of the West German illustrated magazine *Der Stern* asserting that the Contras, while being supported by the U.S. Government, were also financing their efforts through drug trafficking. The article reportedly commented on the apparent irony of President Reagan accusing the Nicaraguans of drug trafficking in 1985 if it were true that the United States was supporting drug-financed Contra activities in 1986.

- A February 5, 1987 cable describing a pro-Soviet political action campaign by the French General Confederation of Labor (CGT) notified Headquarters that the French Communist Party had instructed the CGT to begin a pro-Soviet political action campaign that included among its themes U.S. support for drug trafficking by the Contras.

- A story in the February 15, 1987 issue of a Tanzanian newspaper that was attributed to the Cuban newspaper agency, *Granma*, reportedly alleged that U.S. Government

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

officials and CIA had diverted funds from the sale of narcotics to help fund the Nicaraguan Contra forces.

- In a May 4, 1987 program summary of Radio Moscow Spanish language transmissions to Chile, the Foreign Broadcast Information Service Station included an item that cited the U.S. Congress as reporting that the "war against the Sandinist[a] regime is . . . paid with drugs."

- An August 6, 1987 cable notified Headquarters of an "anti-[U.S. Government]" article in the Athens-based PASOK Party weekly *Exormisi* on August 2, 1987 titled "Drug Smuggling as a Method for Exercising Foreign Policy," and including quotations from an Italian publication L'*Unita* that alleged drug smuggling to be the source of funds for the Contras. In response, Headquarters noted that "*L'Unita* is a mouthpiece of the Italian Communist Party."

- A October 31, 1987, Headquarters cable noted a report on Soviet trade union activities in the international labor movement that noted that the Soviets were making a major effort to influence and control labor organizations worldwide "in order to use them to support Soviet foreign policy objectives and to further the aims of World Communism." Headquarters also stated that the French CGT had rejoined the World Federation of Trade Unions and had begun its new propaganda campaign including Contra-drug trafficking, at Soviet and French Communist Party direction.

- A September 15, 1988, cable mentioned articles in Bolivian newspapers were alleging that drug traffickers in Huanchaca, Bolivia were receiving protection from the U.S. Government and that the drug profits were being used to finance the Contras. Another cable on September 17, 1988 stated that a press service that was distributing the same articles in Rome was thought to have "long-standing Cuban

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

links." In a September 29, 1988 telegram, the U.S. Embassy in La Paz reported to the Department of State (DoS) that the son of Bolivian drug trafficker Roberto Suarez was also spreading the Huanchaca allegations. An October 7 cable mentioned "[the] likely Cuban-sourced disinformation that [the U.S. Government] is narco-trafficking in Bolivia to fund the Contras."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Appendix E

Allegations by Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey of CIA and Contra Involvement in Drug Smuggling

1. **Allegations of Drug Trafficking by CIA and the Contras.** Anthony Avirgan and Martha Honey were husband and wife journalists and U.S. citizens. Avirgan was present at the press conference of Eden Pastora at La Penca, Nicaragua on May 30, 1984 where a bomb exploded. Avirgan and Honey asserted in the media that the perpetrator of the La Penca bombing was a right-wing, Libyan-origin terrorist who they said was named Amac Galil or Ahmed Khalil, and who used the identify of the Danish journalist, Per Anker Hansen. According to Avirgan and Honey, the terrorist was tied to the drug-financed "Secret Team" of FDN Contras and CIA agents. A June 5, 1984 cable to Headquarters reported that Martha Honey had "verified" that an individual at La Penca named Per Anker Hansen was there using false identification papers.

2. An August 27, 1985 cable notified Headquarters that Hansen, a Danish citizen whose passport had been used as identification by the attempted assassin, had been affiliated with organizations sympathetic to "South America" for several years.

3. According to media articles, between August 1985 and April 1987, Avirgan and Honey publicized their version of the La Penca incident and of a drug-financed CIA-Contra "Secret Team" in press articles and appearances in Norway, Denmark, The Netherlands, Spain, the United Kingdom, India, Uruguay, Nicaragua, and the United States, as well as in Costa Rica. In an article entitled "The Carlos File," published in the October 5, 1985 edition of *The Nation*, Avirgan and Honey cited a specific individual--Carlos Rojas Chinchilla--as the source of allegations linking the CIA-Contra "Secret Team" with drug trafficking. The article stated that Rojas said he was in contact with an individual named "David," who allegedly was a driver who had been killed by John Hull and buried on Hull's

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

ranch. Rojas was later arrested by Costa Rican authorities in August 1987 and at that time made a statement that "he made-up the 'David' story. . . . in return for a payment of $10,000." Avirgan and Honey also published their allegations in 1985 as a book titled *La Penca: Report of Investigation*.

4. **Legal Actions Resulting From Drug Trafficking Allegations**. Between 1985 and 1994, Avirgan and Honey were involved in several U.S. and Costa Rican legal actions as a result of their allegations of a CIA-Contra team of drug-financed secret operators with John Hull as their common focal point. The earliest was a libel suit that John Hull filed against the couple in Costa Rica in response to their 1985-86 press allegations. On April 11, 1986, Headquarters was informed of the Christic Institute's interest in this case. The suit was scheduled for final arguments on May 23, 1986.[1]

5. In May 1986, Daniel Sheehan filed a civil action, *Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey, Plaintiffs vs. John Hull, et al., Defendants,* in the U.S. District Court for Southern Florida, under the sponsorship of the Christic Institute. The May 29, 1986 complaint in what became known as the "La Penca Case" charged 30 individuals--including John Hull, Rene Corbo, Felipe Vidal, Moises Nunez, and Adolfo Calero--with conspiracy, injuries, battery, assault, intentional infliction of mental distress, and intentional interference with the business of the plaintiffs. The suit charged the defendants used six criminal means to "effectuate the unlawful objective of this Federal Neutrality Act Conspiracy."

6. Included among these alleged "criminal means" was a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1401, et seq., and 1952 [*sic*], to fund the equipping, arming, training, and supplying of members of a "foreign military expeditionary force" by smuggling cocaine from the Republic of Colombia through Costa Rica into the United States. The suit also alleged "one-dozen criminal overt acts

[1] David Corn's 1994 book, *The Blond Ghost: Ted Shackley and the CIA's Crusades*, states that the libel suit was decided in 1986 in favor of Avigran and Honey "on technical grounds."

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

committed by the Defendants. . . ." Three of these alleged "criminal overt acts" involved drug trafficking or dealing with drug traffickers:

> . . . .
> 4. The transportation and sale of thousands of kilograms of cocaine from the Republic of Colombia, through Costa Rica on the land owned or managed by Defendant John Hull in Northern Costa Rica into the United States, where it was sold and distributed inside and beyond the State of Florida;
>
> 5. The purchase of . . . lethal military equipment . . . the money for which purchases being obtained from the sale of said cocaine inside the United States and said money being delivered for such sales of military equipment inside the United States; and
>
> . . . .
>
> 10. The conspiracy to bomb the United States Embassy in San Jose, Costa Rica, and to assassinate Lewis Tambs, the United States Ambassador . . . for the purpose of obtaining a $1 million "bounty" offered for the death of Lewis Tambs by Defendant PABLO ESCOBAR, a portion of which monies would be used to fund the Defendants' criminal enterprise.
> . . . .

7. In a June 6, 1986 cable, Headquarters characterized the proceedings:

> On 29 May 1986 Daniel Sheehan filed a lawsuit captioned Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey v. John Hull et al in the United States District Court of Southern Florida in which they asked for $24 Million in damages. This suit tracks the allegations contained in [an earlier cable] and was not unexpected. The complaint is essentially a artfully [*sic*] woven story about alleged excesses of the Nicaraguan resistance and its supporters in Central America with the La Penca bombing at its center.

8. The May 29, 1986 complaint was amended twice. On December 12, 1986, Sheehan filed an affidavit that represented his recounting of the "Secret Team theory" as a supplemental filing to the amended complaint.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

9. On June 23, 1988, the presiding judge in the civil action signed an order granting summary judgments to the defendants. On February 2, 1989, the Judge signed an order that granted the defendant's motions for costs and attorney's fees for the defendants and stated:

> After two years of protracted and extensive discovery of scores of witnesses across the United States, Costa Rica, and elsewhere, the plaintiffs were unable to produce a single witness who could state that the defendants exploded the bomb or were responsible for the assassination attempt. . . . The attorneys for the plaintiffs, The Christic Institute, must have known prior to suing that they had no competent evidence to substantiate the theories alleged in their complaint. . . . Based upon the affidavit of plaintiffs' counsel, the plaintiffs were permitted to conduct two years of discovery. This discovery failed to produce any admissible evidence regarding causation. . . . This abuse of the judicial process requires that the plaintiffs make the defendants whole by paying the fees the defendants have been forced to expend for attorneys in this action.

The Judge awarded the defendants a total of $1,034,381.36.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

OGC 82-02197

2 March 1982

Honorable William French Smith
Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Bill:

Thank you for your letter of 11 February regarding the procedures on reporting of crimes to the Department of Justice, which are being adopted under Section 1-7(a) of Executive Order 12333. I have signed the procedures, and am returning the original to you for retention at the Department.

I am pleased that these procedures, which I believe strike the proper balance between enforcement of the law and protection of intelligence sources and methods, will now be forwarded to other agencies covered by them for signing by the heads of those agencies.

With best regards,

Yours,

William J. Casey

Enclosure

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Office of the Attorney General
Washington, D. C. 20530

Executive Registry
82-0476

February 11, 1982

Honorable William J. Casey
Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Bill:

Thank you for your letter regarding the procedures governing the reporting and use of information concerning federal crimes. I have reviewed the draft of the procedures that accompanied your letter and, in particular, the minor changes made in the draft that I had previously sent to you. These proposed changes are acceptable and, therefore, I have signed the procedures.

I have been advised that a question arose regarding the need to add narcotics violations to the list of reportable non-employee crimes (Section IV). 21 U.S.C. §874(h) provides that "[w]hen requested by the Attorney General, it shall be the duty of any agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government to furnish assistance to him for carrying out his functions under [the Controlled Substances Act] . . ." Section 1.8(b) of Executive Order 12333 tasks the Central Intelligence Agency to "collect, produce and disseminate intelligence on foreign aspects of narcotics production and trafficking." Moreover, authorization for the dissemination of information concerning narcotics violatons to law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice, is provided by sections 2.3(c) and (i) and 2.6(b) of the Order. In light of these provisions, and in view of the fine cooperation the Drug Enforcement Administration has received from CIA, no formal requirement regarding the reporting of narcotics violations has been included in these procedures. We look forward to the CIA's continuing cooperation with the Department of Justice in this area.

In view of our agreement regarding the procedures, I have instructed my Counsel for Intelligence Policy to circulate a copy which I have executed to each of the other agencies covered by the procedures in order that they may be signed by the head of each such agency.

Sincerely,

William French Smith
Attorney General

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

REPORTING AND USE OF INFORMATION CONCERNING FEDERAL CRIMES

I. Scope. Section 1-7(a) of Executive Order 12333 requires senior officials of the Intelligence Community to:

> Report to the Attorney General possible violations of federal criminal laws by employees and of specified federal criminal laws by any other person as provided in procedures agreed upon by the Attorney General and the head of the department or agency concerned, in a manner consistent with the protection of intelligence sources and methods, as specified in those procedures.

These procedures govern the reporting of information concerning possible federal crimes to the Attorney General and to federal investigative agencies acquired by agencies within the Intelligence Community in the course of their functions. They also govern the handling and use of such information by the Department of Justice and federal investigative agencies in any subsequent investigations or litigation. These procedures are promulgated under the authority of 28 U.S.C. § 535 and Executive Order 12333, § 1-7(a).

II. Definitions.

A. "Agency" means those agencies within the Intelligence Community, as defined in Executive Order 12333, § 3-4(f) except for the intelligence elements of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Department of the Treasury.

B. "Department" means the Department of Justice.

C. "Employee" means:

1. A staff employee or contract employee of an Agency;

2. Former officers or employees of an Agency, for purposes of offenses committed during their employment; and

3. Former officers or employees of an Agency, for offenses involving a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 207.

D. Except as specifically provided otherwise, "General Counsel" means the general counsel of the Agency or the department of which it is a component or a person designated by him to act on his behalf.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

III. General Considerations.

A. These procedures govern the reporting of information which the Agency or its current employees become aware of in the course of performing their functions. They do not authorize the Agency to conduct any investigation or to collect any information not otherwise authorized by law.

B. These procedures require a current employee of the Agency to report to the General Counsel facts or circumstances that appear to the employee to indicate that a criminal offense may have been committed. Reports to the Department of Justice or to a federal investigative agency will be made by the Agency as set forth below.

C. When an Agency has received allegations, complaints or information [hereinafter "allegations"] tending to show that an employee of that agency may have violated any federal criminal statute, or another person may have violated a federal criminal statute contained within one of the categories listed in Section IV below, the Agency shall within a reasonable period of time determine through a preliminary inquiry whether or not there is any basis to the allegations (that is, are clearly not frivolous or false). If the allegations can be established as without basis, the General Counsel will make an appropriate record of his findings and no reporting under these procedures is required. If the allegations cannot be established as without basis, the reporting procedures set forth below will be followed. A preliminary inquiry shall not include interviews with persons other than current employees of the Agency or examination of premises not occupied by the Agency without the prior notification and approval of the Department of Justice, except that the Agency may interview a non-employee for the sole purpose of determining the truth of a report that such non-employee has made an allegation or complaint against an Agency employee. The foregoing provisions shall neither limit the techniques which the Agency may otherwise be authorized to use, nor limit the responsibility of the Agency to provide for its security functions pursuant to Executive Order 12333.

D. Allegations shall be reported pursuant to the procedures in effect at the time the allegations came to the attention of the Agency.

E. Allegations that appear to involve crimes against property and involve less than $500 need not be reported pursuant to the procedures set forth below. The General Counsel will, however, make an appropriate record of his findings.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

F. In lieu of following the procedures set forth below, the General Counsel may orally report periodically, but at least quarterly, to the Department concerning those offenses which, while subject to these reporting requirements, are in the opinion of the General Counsel of such a minor nature that no further investigation or prosecution of the matter is necessary. If an oral report is made, the General Counsel will meet with the Assistant Attorney General or a designated Deputy Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division, Department of Justice to obtain his concurrence or nonconcurrence with the General Counsel's opinion. If such concurrence is obtained, no further reporting under these procedures is required. If concurrence is not obtained, the reporting procedures set forth below will be followed.

IV. Non-Employee Reportable Offenses

A. Allegations concerning offenses in the following categories are reportable, if they pertain to a person other than an employee.

1. Crimes involving intentional infliction or threat of death or serious physical harm. Such crimes may include:

Assault -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 111-113(A)

Homicide -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 1111-14, 1116, 2113(e)

Kidnapping -- 18 U.S.C. § 1201

Presidential assassination, assault or kidnapping -- 18 U.S.C. § 1751

Threats against the President and successors to the President -- 18 U.S.C. § 871

2. Crimes likely to impact upon the national security, defense or foreign relations of the United States. Such crimes may include:

Communicating classified information -- 50 U.S.C. § 783(b)

Espionage -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 793-98

Sabotage -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 2151-57

Arms Export Control Act -- 22 U.S.C. § 2778

Atomic Energy Act -- 42 U.S.C. §§ 2077, 2092, 2111, 2122.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Export Administration Act -- 50 U.S.C. App. § 2410

Neutrality offenses -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 956-60

Trading with the Enemy Act -- 50 U.S.C. App. §§5(b), 16

Agents of foreign government --18 U.S.C. § 951

Government employee acting for a foreign principal -- 18 U.S.C. § 219

Communication, receipt or disclosure of restricted data -- 42 U.S.C. § 2274-77

Registration of certain persons trained in foreign espionage systems -- 50 U.S.C. §§ 851, 855.

Foreign Agents Registration Act -- 22 U.S.C. § 618(a)

Unlawfully entering the United States -- 8 U.S.C. § 1325

Any other offense not heretofore listed which is contained within Chapter 45 of Title 18 U.S.C.

3. Crimes involving foreign interference with the integrity of United States governmental institutions or processes. Such crimes may include, when committed by foreign persons:

Bribery of public officials and witnesses -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 201-208

Conspiracy to injure or impede an officer -- 18 U.S.C. § 372

Election contributions and expenditures -- 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a-j, 599-600

4. Crimes which appear to have been committed by or on behalf of a foreign power or in connection with international terrorist activity. Such crimes may include:

Aircraft piracy -- 49 U.S.C. § 1472(i)

Distribution, possession, and use of explosives -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 842(a) - (i)

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Unlawful electronic surveillance -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 2511(1), 2512(1), 50 U.S.C. §1809

Passport and visa offenses -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 1541-44, 1546

Distribution, possession, transfer, and use of firearms -- 18 U.S.C. § 922, 924; 26 U.S.C. 5861

Transporting explosives on board aircraft -- 49 U.S.C. § 1472(h)

Conspiracy to injure or impede an officer -- 18 U.S.C. § 372

Counterfeiting U.S. obligations -- 18 U.S.C. § 471-74

False statements and false official papers -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001-02, 1017-18

Obstruction of justice -- 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503-06, 1508-10

Perjury -- 18 U.S.C. § 1621-23

B. Any conspiracy or attempt to commit a crime reportable under this section shall be reported if the conspiracy or attempt itself meets the applicable reporting criteria.

C. The General Counsel will make an appropriate record of any matter brought to his attention which he determines is not reportable under this section.

D. Notwithstanding any of the provisions above, the General Counsel may report any other possible offense when he believes it should be reported.

V. Reporting Procedures -- Format

The fact that a referral has been made pursuant to these procedures shall be reflected in a letter or memorandum sent by the Agency to the entity designated to receive the referral under these procedures. In each instance that a referral is required, information sufficiently detailed to allow the Department of Justice to make informed judgments concerning the appropriate course of subsequent investigations or litigation shall be transmitted, either orally or in writing, to the Attorney General, the Assistant or a designated Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Department of Justice, or the Assistant Director, Criminal Investigative or Intelligence

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Agency shall supplement its referral when any additional information relating to the original referral comes to its attention.

VI. Reporting Procedures -- No Security Considerations Involved

A. Where the Agency determines in accordance with these procedures that a matter must be reported, and where the Agency further determines that no public disclosure of classified information or intelligence sources and methods would result from further investigation or prosecution, and the security of ongoing intelligence operations would not be jeopardized thereby, the Agency will report the matter to the appropriate federal investigative agency, or to the appropriate United States Attorney for an investigative or prosecutive determination. In each such instance, the Agency shall also notify the Department of Justice, Criminal Division of the referral.

B. The Agency will inform the entity receiving such report that, unless notified otherwise by the Agency or by the Department, the security and consulting requirements set forth in Section VII of these procedures need not be followed.

C. A federal investigative agency or United States Attorney receiving information from the Agency pursuant to Section VI of these procedures is required promptly to advise the Agency of the initiation and conclusion of any investigation or prosecution involving such information.

VII. Reporting Procedures -- Security Considerations Involved

A. Where the Agency determines in accordance with these procedures that a matter must be reported, and where the Agency also determines that further investigation or prosecution of the matter would or might result in a public disclosure of classified information or intelligence sources or methods or would jeopardize the security of ongoing intelligence operations, the Agency will report the matter to the Assistant Attorney General or a designated Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Department of Justice or Assistant Director, Criminal Investigative or Intelligence Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, in the manner described in section V, above. In any instance in which a matter is reported to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Agency shall also notify the Department of Justice, Criminal Division of the referral. Upon request, the Agency will explain the security or

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

operational problems that would or might arise from a criminal investigation or prosecution.

B. Persons who are the subject of reports made pursuant to this section may be identified as John Doe #_____ in any written document associated therewith. The true identities of such persons will be made available when the Department of Justice determines that they are essential to any subsequent investigation or prosecution of the matter reported.

C. Information contained in Agency reports will be disseminated to persons other than the Assistant or Deputy Assistant Attorney General or the Assistant Director, Criminal Investigative or Intelligence Division, FBI, only as follows:

1. No Department or Federal investigative employee will be given access to classified information unless that person has been granted appropriate clearances, including any special access approvals. The Assistant or Deputy Assistant Attorney General or the Assistant Director, Criminal Investigative or Intelligence Division, FBI, will ensure that access by an employee is necessary for the performance of an official function and that access is limited to the minimum number of cleared persons necessary for investigative or prosecutorial purposes. The Department will provide the head of the Agency with a detailed report regarding any disclosure not authorized by these procedures and will take appropriate disciplinary action against any employee who participates in such a disclosure.

2. With regard to information reported to the Criminal Division, Department of Justice, which the general counsel of an Agency designates in writing as particularly sensitive and for which special dissemination controls are requested pursuant to this provision, dissemination will only occur after consultation with the General Counsel of the Agency. The designation of information as particularly sensitive may be made only by the general counsel or acting general counsel of an Agency.

3. Except as permitted by these procedures, classified information which has been received by the Department, the FBI, or other federal investigative agency pursuant to these procedures may not be disseminated outside of that entity without the advance written consent of the General Counsel or the head of the Agency.

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

D. When it becomes apparent to the Department or federal investigative agency that any investigative or legal action may result in the disclosure of classified information or intelligence sources or methods, the Department or federal investigative agency will, at the earliest possible time, fully advise and consult with the Agency to determine the appropriate course of action and the potential harm to intelligence sources and methods by the contemplated use or disclosure of the classified information. Except in exigent circumstances no investigative or legal action will be taken without such advance notice and consultation.

1. "Exigent circumstances" means situations in which a person's life or physical safety is reasonably believed to be in imminent danger, or information relating to the national security is reasonably believed to be in imminent danger of compromise, or expiration of a statute of limitations is imminent, or loss of essential evidence in any of these cases is imminent, or a crime is about to be committed, or the opportunity to arrest a person is about to be lost where there is probable cause to believe that the person has committed a crime.

2. If, due to exigent circumstances, any investigation or significant contemplated action in any legal proceeding is taken without advance notice or consultation, the Department or federal investigative agency, within twenty-four hours of taking such action, will provide the reporting agency an explanation of the circumstances requiring that action. Thereafter, there will be full adherence to the notification and consultation requirements of these procedures.

3. For purposes of this provision, consultation will include the specific investigative and legal actions the Department or federal investigative agency proposes to take and a specification of legal and investigative issues involved. The purpose of the consultation is to assure an opportunity for the Agency to provide its judgment to the Department or federal investigative agency regarding the potential damage, if any, to the national security of the disclosure or use of the information at issue. During this process, the Agency will promptly provide as detailed an identification and analysis as is possible at the time of the potential consequences for the intelligence sources or methods and for the national security from the contemplated disclosure or use of the classified information. The Agency will also

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

provide any changes to or elaborations of this analysis as soon as they become evident.

4. If the Agency and the Department or federal investigative agency agree that the risk of the use or disclosure and any resulting consequences are acceptable, the contemplated investigative or legal action may commence or proceed.

5. If the Agency and the Department of Justice or federal investigative agency are unable to agree as to the appropriate use of classified information provided pursuant to these procedures by the Agency, each entity will be responsible for pursuing timely resolution of such issues as may exist through appropriate channels within their respective organizations. Each entity will provide notice to the other entity if it intends to seek a resolution of the issues by a higher authority in the other entity's department or agency. Where issues remain, they shall be referred to the Attorney General for final determination after appropriate consultation with the head of the Agency, and, where appropriate, the Director of Central Intelligence. The decision of the Attorney General may be appealed to the President with prior notice to the Attorney General and the Director of Central Intelligence. While such an appeal is pending, no action will be taken that would render moot the President's decision.

E. When security considerations warrant such action, any matter may be reported directly by the head of the Agency to the Attorney General or the Acting Attorney General, in the manner described in section V above. In considering such reports, the Attorney General or the Acting Attorney General may consult with any person whose advice he considers necessary and who has the required security clearance, provided that the Attorney General or the Acting Attorney General will consult with the head of the reporting agency or the General Counsel thereof concerning dissemination of material designated "Eyes Only."

F. If requested by the Agency, classified information provided by the Agency to the Department or a federal investigative agency will, to the maximum extent possible and consistent with investigative and prosecutive requirements, be stored by the Agency.

VIII. Relation to Other Procedures and Agreements

A. If the Agency for administrative or security reasons desires to conduct a more extensive investigation into the

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497

activities of its employees relating to any matter reported pursuant to these procedures, it will inform the Department or federal investigative agency, as is appropriate. The Agency may take appropriate administrative, disciplinary, or other adverse action at any time against any employee whose activities are reported under these procedures. However, such investigations and disciplinary action will be coordinated with the appropriate investigative or prosecuting officials to avoid prejudice to any criminal investigation or prosecution.

B. Nothing in these procedures shall be construed to restrict the exchange of information among the Agencies in the Intelligence Community or between those Agencies and law enforcement entities other than the Department of Justice.

C. If the subject of a referral is an employee of another agency other than a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Criminal Division may refer the matter to that agency for preliminary investigation and possible administrative action. The employing agency will report the results of any such preliminary investigation under the procedures for reporting possible crimes by agency employees.

D. Notwithstanding the November 23, 1955, Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice, notice of crimes which violate both federal criminal statutes and the Uniform Code of Military Justice shall be given to the Department of Justice as provided. Thereafter, the handling of matters relating to individuals subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice shall be coordinated by the Criminal Division with the appropriate military service in accordance with existing agreements between the Departments of Justice and Defense.

2/11/82
Date

2 MAR 1982
Date

William J. Casey
William J. Casey
Director of Central Intelligence

Approved for Release: 2025/05/19 C06974497